

## 4. Primitive Recursiveness

Having described (in §3.4) two ways of systematically forming new functions from existing ones, we introduce the class of *initial functions*, and the concepts of *primitive recursive (PR) closedness*, and *primitive recursive functions*.

### 4.1 PR-closed classes

The three *initial functions* are

- the *zero function*  $Z = \lambda x \cdot 0$ ,
- the *successor function*  $S = \lambda x \cdot (x + 1)$ , and
- the *projection functions*  $U_i^n = \lambda x_1, \dots, x_n \cdot x_i$  for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , of which the *identity function*  $U_1^1 = \lambda x \cdot x$  is a special case.

A class  $\mathcal{C}$  of functions is *PR-closed* iff

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the *initial functions*, and
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is *closed* under *composition* and *definition by primitive recursion*, i.e., any function obtained from functions in  $\mathcal{C}$  by *composition* or *primitive recursion* is also in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Example** of a PR-closed class:

- FN (trivially).

**Lemma 4.0.** *The intersection of two PR-closed classes is PR-closed.*

**Lemma 4.1.** *TFN is PR-closed.*

**Proof:** By definition, the initial functions are total. From Lemmas 3.3, 3.6 and 3.8, totality is preserved by composition and prim. rec.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.**  *$\mathcal{G}$ -COMP is PR-closed.*

**Proof:** The  $\mathcal{G}$ -programs  $\boxed{\text{skip}}$ ,  $\boxed{\begin{array}{l} Y \leftarrow X \\ Y++ \end{array}}$ , and  $\boxed{Y \leftarrow X_i}$  compute the *zero*, *successor*, and *projection* functions respectively. By Thms 3.4, 3.7, and 3.9,  $\mathcal{G}$ -COMP is closed under comp. and prim. rec.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.**  $\mathcal{G}$ -TCOMP is PR-closed.

**Proof:** By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, the classes TFN and  $\mathcal{G}$ -COMP are PR-closed. Hence their intersection  $\mathcal{G}$ -TCOMP is PR-closed.  $\square$

## 4.2 Primitive recursive functions

A function  $f$  is *primitive recursive* (PR) iff it is obtained from the *initial functions* by a finite number of applications of *composition* and *primitive recursion*. In other words,  $f$  is primitive recursive iff there is a *finite sequence* of functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  such that  $f_n = f$ , and for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , either  $f_i$  is an *initial function*, or  $f_i$  is obtained from some  $f_j$ 's, for  $j < i$ , by *composition* or *primitive recursion*. Such a sequence is called a *PR-derivation* of  $f$ , of length  $n$ .

More formally, a *PR-derivation* of a function  $f$  is a sequence of labelled function symbols of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &\leftarrow L_1 \\ f_2 &\leftarrow L_2 \\ &\vdots \\ f &= f_n \leftarrow L_n \end{aligned}$$

where for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  one of the following cases applies:

- Case 1:  $f_i$  is an *initial function*, and label  $L_i$  is (correspondingly) one of ' $\mathbf{Z}$ ', ' $\mathbf{S}$ ' or ' $\mathbf{U}_j^m$ '.
- Case 2:  $f_i$  is obtained from an  $\ell$ -ary function  $f_j$ , and  $m$ -ary functions  $f_{k_1}, \dots, f_{k_\ell}$  by *composition*, for  $j, k_1, \dots, k_\ell < i$ , and the label  $L_i$  is ' $f_j, f_{k_1}, \dots, f_{k_\ell}$  (compos :  $\ell, m$ )'.
- Case 3a:  $f_i$  is obtained from  $f_j$  and  $f_k$ , for  $j, k < i$ , by *recursion* with  $m$  parameters ( $m > 0$ ), and the label  $L_i$  is ' $f_j, f_k$  (rec :  $m$ )'.
- Case 3b:  $f_i$  is obtained from  $f_k$ , for  $k < i$  by recursion without parameters, and initial value  $c$ , and the label  $L_i$  is ' $c, f_k$  (rec : 0)'.

(We are not distinguishing here between functions and their symbols).

The class of primitive recursive functions, and the class of  $n$ -ary primitive recursive functions are denoted by PR and  $\text{PR}^{(n)}$  respectively.

**Lemma 4.4.** PR is PR-closed

**Proof:** from the definition.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any PR-closed class of functions. Then  $\text{PR} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proof:** We can show that for all  $f$ ,

$$f \in \text{PR} \implies f \in \mathcal{C}$$

by *CV induction* [or: by *LNP*] on the length of a PR-derivation of  $f$ . There are three cases:

- Case 1:  $f$  is an *initial function*. Then  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ , since  $\mathcal{C}$  is PR-closed.
- Case 2:  $f$  is obtained from earlier functions  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  in the derivation by *composition*. Then  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  have *shorter* PR-derivations (i.e. the initial parts of the PR-derivation of  $f$  ending with them), and so by the *induction hypothesis* they are in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence again, since  $\mathcal{C}$  PR-closed,  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- Case 3:  $f$  is obtained from earlier functions in the derivation by *primitive recursion*. This is similar to Case 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6.** PR is the smallest PR-closed class. In other words:

- (i) PR is PR-closed; and
- (ii) PR is contained in every PR-closed class.

**Proof:** By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.**  $\text{PR} \subseteq \text{TFN}$ .

**Proof:** By Lemma 4.1, TFN is PR-closed, and so by Theorem 4.6,  $\text{PR} \subseteq \text{TFN}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.**  $\text{PR} \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-COMP}$ .

**Proof:** By Lemma 4.2,  $\mathcal{G}\text{-COMP}$  is PR-closed, and so by Theorem 4.6,  $\text{PR} \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-COMP}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.**  $\text{PR} \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-TCOMP}$ .

**Proof:** By Corollaries 4.7 and 4.8, or since, by Lemma 4.3,  $\mathcal{G}\text{-TCOMP}$  is PR-closed.  $\square$

So clearly (cf. p. 3-9):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{G}\text{-COMP} & \subseteq & \text{EFF} & \subseteq & \text{FN} \\
 \cup & & \cup & & \cup \\
 \text{PR} & \subseteq & \mathcal{G}\text{-TCOMP} & \subseteq & \text{TEFF} & \subseteq & \text{TFN}
 \end{array}$$

Once again, the questions as to the properness of the various “ $\subseteq$ ” inclusions still need to be answered.

**Examples** of PR functions:

- **Sum function**  $f = \lambda x, y \cdot (x + y)$

This has the well-known recursive definition:

$$\begin{cases} f(x, 0) = x \\ f(x, y + 1) = f(x, y) + 1 \end{cases}$$

However, we must put it in the form required by (3) on p. 3-8:

$$\begin{cases} f(x, 0) = g(x) \\ f(x, y + 1) = h(x, y, f(x, y)) \end{cases}$$

where  $g, h \in \text{PR}$  (with one parameter:  $x$ ). So let us take  $g(x) = x$ , and  $h(x, y, z) = z + 1$ . Putting  $g(x) = \mathbf{U}_1^1(x)$  and  $h(x, y, z) = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{U}_3^3(x, y, z))$ , a PR-derivation for  $f$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1 &\leftarrow \mathbf{U}_1^1 \\
 f_2 &\leftarrow \mathbf{S} \\
 f_3 &\leftarrow \mathbf{U}_3^3 \\
 f_4 &\leftarrow f_2, f_3 \text{ (compos : 1, 3)} \\
 f &= f_5 \leftarrow f_1, f_4 \text{ (rec : 1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- **Product function**  $f = \lambda x, y \cdot (x * y)$

Recursive definition:

$$\begin{cases} f(x, 0) = 0 \\ f(x, y + 1) = f(x, y) + x \end{cases}$$

Required form:

$$\begin{cases} f(x, 0) = g(x) \\ f(x, y + 1) = h(x, y, f(x, y)) \end{cases}$$

where  $g, h \in \text{PR}$  (with one parameter:  $x$ ). Put  $g(x) = \mathbf{Z}(x)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y, z) &= z + x \\ &= \mathbf{sum}(z, x) \\ &= \mathbf{sum}(U_3^3(x, y, z), U_1^3(x, y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

A PR-derivation for  $f$  is

$$\begin{aligned} &\vdots \\ \mathbf{sum} &= f_5 \leftarrow \cdots \\ f_6 &\leftarrow \mathbf{Z} \\ f_7 &\leftarrow U_3^3 \\ f_8 &\leftarrow U_1^3 \\ f_9 &\leftarrow f_5, f_7, f_8 \text{ (compos : 2, 3)} \\ f &= f_{10} \leftarrow f_6, f_9 \text{ (rec : 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

- **Factorial**  $f = \lambda x \cdot x!$

Recursive definition:

$$\begin{cases} f(0) = 1 \\ f(x + 1) = f(x) * (x + 1) \end{cases}$$

Required form:

$$\begin{cases} f(0) = k \\ f(x + 1) = h(x, f(x)) \end{cases}$$

where  $h \in \text{PR}$  (with no parameters). Putting  $k = 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, y) &= y * (x + 1) \\ &= \mathbf{prod}(y, \mathbf{S}(x)) \\ &= \mathbf{prod}(U_2^2(x, y), \mathbf{S}(U_1^2(x, y))), \end{aligned}$$

we can obtain an appropriate PR-derivation, as before.

Clearly, we need an easier way to show that functions are PR!  
We address this problem in §5.

### 4.3 Relative primitive recursiveness

Let  $\vec{g} = g_1, \dots, g_n$  be any functions. A function  $f$  is **primitive recursive in  $\vec{g}$**  iff  $f$  is obtained from the **initial functions** and/or  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  by a finite number of applications of **composition** and **primitive recursion**. Equivalently,  $f$  is **PR in  $\vec{g}$**  iff there is a finite sequence of functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  such that  $f_n = f$  and, for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , either  $f_i$  is an **initial function**, or  $f_i$  is one of the  $g_j$ 's, or  $f_i$  is obtained from some  $f_j$ 's ( $j < i$ ) by **composition** or **primitive recursion**. Such a sequence is called a **PR-derivation** of  $f$  from  $\vec{g}$ .

$\text{PR}(\vec{g})$  is the class of functions PR in  $\vec{g}$ .

**Lemma 4.10.**

[cf. Lemma 3.1, p. 3-6]

- (a)  $\text{PR} \subseteq \text{PR}(\vec{g})$
- (b)  $\text{PR} = \text{PR}(\langle \rangle)$
- (c) If  $\vec{g} \subseteq \vec{h}$ , then  $\text{PR}(\vec{g}) \subseteq \text{PR}(\vec{h})$ .

**Proof:** Clear from the definition.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.11 (Transitivity).**

[cf. Thm 3.2, p. 3-6]

- (a) If  $f \in \text{PR}(\vec{g})$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \text{PR}$ , then  $f \in \text{PR}$ .  
More generally:
- (b) If  $f \in \text{PR}(\vec{g})$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \text{PR}(\vec{h})$ , then  $f \in \text{PR}(\vec{h})$ .
- (c) If  $f \in \text{PR}(\vec{g}, \vec{h})$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \text{PR}(\vec{h})$ , then  $f \in \text{PR}(\vec{h})$ .

**Proof:**

- (a) Prepend PR-derivations of  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  to a PR-derivation of  $f$  from  $\vec{g}$ .
- (b), (c) Similarly.  $\square$

A class  $\mathcal{C}$  of functions is said to be **PR( $\vec{g}$ )-closed** iff  $\mathcal{C}$  is PR-closed and contains  $\vec{g}$ ; i.e.,

- (i)  $\mathcal{C}$  contains the **initial functions and  $\vec{g}$** , and
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}$  is **closed** under **composition** and **definition by PR**.

**Q.** Is FN PR( $\vec{g}$ )-closed? Is TFN?

**Lemma 4.12.**

[cf. Lemma 4.4, p. 4-3]

$\text{PR}(\vec{g})$  is  $\text{PR}(\vec{g})$ -closed.

**Proof:** from the definition.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.13.**

[cf. Lemma 4.5, p. 4-3]

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be any  $\text{PR}(\vec{g})$ -closed class of functions. Then  $\text{PR}(\vec{g}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

**Proof:** We can show that

$$f \in \text{PR}(\vec{g}) \implies f \in \mathcal{C}$$

by CV induction on the length of the PR-derivation of  $f$  from  $\vec{g}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.14.**

[cf. Theorem 4.6, p. 4-3]

$\text{PR}(\vec{g})$  is the smallest  $\text{PR}(\vec{g})$ -closed class. In other words,

(i)  $\text{PR}(\vec{g})$  is  $\text{PR}(\vec{g})$ -closed; and

(ii)  $\text{PR}(\vec{g})$  is contained in every  $\text{PR}(\vec{g})$ -closed class.

**Proof:** By Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.15.**

[cf. Cor. 4.9, p. 4-3]

$\text{PR}(\vec{g}) \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-COMP}(\vec{g})$

**Proof:** Since  $\mathcal{G}\text{-COMP}(\vec{g})$  contains  $\vec{g}$  and is PR-closed.  $\square$

Note that  $\text{PR}(\vec{g})$  need not consist of total functions only, since the  $g_i$  might not be total! So if  $\text{TPR}(\vec{g})$  is the class of *total* functions PR in  $\vec{g}$ , then the *relativised* version of the diagram on page 4-4 is

$\text{PR}(\vec{g})$	$\subseteq$	$\mathcal{G}\text{-COMP}(\vec{g})$	$\subseteq$	$\text{EFF}(\vec{g})$	$\subseteq$	$\text{FN}$
$\cup$	$ $	$\cup$	$\cup$	$\cup$	$\cup$	$\cup$
$\text{TPR}(\vec{g})$	$\subseteq$	$\mathcal{G}\text{-TCOMP}(\vec{g})$	$\subseteq$	$\text{TEFF}(\vec{g})$	$\subseteq$	$\text{TFN}$

As before, the questions as to the properness of the various “ $\subseteq$ ” inclusions need to be answered.