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Limits of Predicate Logic I
Limits
group axioms for $\langle G, \circ\rangle$ and neutral element e:
(1) For all $x, y, z \in G,(x \circ y) \circ z=x \circ(y \circ z)$.
(2) For all $x \in G, x \circ \mathrm{e}=x$.
(3) For all $x \in G$, there is a $y \in G$ with $x \circ y=\mathrm{e}$.
cannot be expressed in propositional logic:
$\quad$ objects $x, y, z$ from $G$
$\quad$ object references $(x \circ y)$ with function $\circ$
$\quad$ equality $=$
$\quad$ "for all", "there is"
$\quad$ need more expressive logic
$\rightsquigarrow$ predicate logic
German: Prädikatenlogik
M. Helmert (Univ. Basel)

## B3.2 Syntax of Predicate Logic

- Signatures define allowed symbols. analogy: variable set $A$ in propositional logic
- Terms are associated with objects by the semantics. no analogy in propositional logic
- Formulas are associated with truth values (true or false) by the semantics.
analogy: formulas in propositional logic
German: Signatur, Term, Formel
B3. Predicate Logic I Syntax of Predicate Logic

Signatures: Definition

## Definition (Signature)

A signature (of predicate logic) is a 4-tuple $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ consisting of the following four disjoint sets:

- a finite or countable set $\mathcal{V}$ of variable symbols
- a finite or countable set $\mathcal{C}$ of constant symbols
- a finite or countable set $\mathcal{F}$ of function symbols
- a finite or countable set $\mathcal{P}$ of predicate symbols (or relation symbols)

Every function symbol $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ and predicate symbol $\mathrm{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ has an associated arity $\operatorname{ar}(\mathrm{f}), \operatorname{ar}(\mathrm{P}) \in \mathbb{N}_{1}$ (number of arguments).

German: Variablen-, Konstanten-, Funktions-, Prädikat- und Relationssymbole; Stelligkeit

Example: Arithmetic

- $\mathcal{V}=\left\{x, y, z, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}$
- $\mathcal{C}=\{$ zero, one $\}$
- $\mathcal{F}=\{$ sum, product $\}$
- $\mathcal{P}=\{$ Positive, SquareNumber $\}$
$\operatorname{ar}($ sum $)=\operatorname{ar}($ product $)=2, \operatorname{ar}($ Positive $)=\operatorname{ar}($ SquareNumber $)=1$

Example: Genealogy

- $\mathcal{V}=\left\{x, y, z, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}$
- $\mathcal{C}=\{$ roger-federer, lisa-simpson $\}$
- $\mathcal{F}=\emptyset$
- $\mathcal{P}=\{$ Female, Male, Parent $\}$
$\operatorname{ar}($ Female $)=\operatorname{ar}($ Male $)=1, \operatorname{ar}($ Parent $)=2$


## Terms: Definition

Definition (Term)
Let $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ be a signature.
A term (over $\mathcal{S}$ ) is inductively constructed
according to the following rules:

- Every variable symbol $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is a term.
- Every constant symbol $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is a term.
- If $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ are terms and $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ is a function symbol with arity $k$, then $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ is a term.

German: Term

## examples:

$x_{4}$

- lisa-simpson
- $\operatorname{sum}\left(x_{3}, \operatorname{product}\left(\right.\right.$ one,$\left.\left.x_{5}\right)\right)$

Formulas: Definition
Definition (Formula)
For a signature $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ the set of predicate logic formulas (over $\mathcal{S}$ ) is inductively defined as follows:

- If $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}$ are terms (over $\mathcal{S}$ ) and $\mathrm{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ is a $k$-ary predicate symbol, then the atomic formula (or the atom) $\mathrm{P}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ is a formula over $\mathcal{S}$.
- If $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are terms (over $\left.\mathcal{S}\right)$, then the identity $\left(t_{1}=t_{2}\right)$ is a formula over $\mathcal{S}$.
- If $x \in \mathcal{V}$ is a variable symbol and $\varphi$ a formula over $\mathcal{S}$, then the universal quantification $\forall x \varphi$ and the existential quantification $\exists x \varphi$ are formulas over $\mathcal{S}$.

German: atomare Formel, Atom, Identität, Allquantifizierung,
Existenzquantifizierung
M. Helmert (Univ. Basel)

Theorie

Definition (Formula)
For a signature $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ the set of predicate logic formulas (over $\mathcal{S}$ ) is inductively defined as follows:

- If $\varphi$ is a formula over $\mathcal{S}$, then so is its negation $\neg \varphi$.
- If $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are formulas over $\mathcal{S}$, then so are the conjunction $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ and the disjunction $(\varphi \vee \psi)$.


## German: Negation, Konjunktion, Disjunktion

Abbreviations and Placement of Parentheses by Convention
abbreviations:

- $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ is an abbreviation for $(\neg \varphi \vee \psi)$
- $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ is an abbreviation for $((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge(\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$.
- Sequences of the same quantifier can be abbreviated.

For example:

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z \varphi \rightsquigarrow \forall x y z \varphi$
- $\exists x \exists y \exists z \varphi \rightsquigarrow \exists x y z \varphi$
- $\forall w \exists x \exists y \forall z \varphi \rightsquigarrow \forall w \exists x y \forall z \varphi$
placement of parentheses by convention:
- analogous to propositional logic
- quantifiers $\forall$ and $\exists$ bind more strongly than anything else.
- example: $\forall x \mathrm{P}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}(x)$ corresponds to $(\forall x \mathrm{P}(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Q}(x))$, not $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$.

Examples: Arithmetic and Genealogy

- Positive $\left(x_{2}\right)$
- $\forall x(\neg$ SquareNumber $(x) \vee$ Positive $(x))$
- $\exists x_{3}\left(\right.$ SquareNumber $\left.\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \neg \operatorname{Positive}\left(x_{3}\right)\right)$
- $\forall x(x=y)$
- $\forall x(\operatorname{sum}(x, x)=\operatorname{product}(x$, one $))$
- $\forall x \exists y$ (sum $(x, y)=$ zero $)$
- $\forall x \exists y(\operatorname{Parent}(y, x) \wedge$ Female $(y))$

Terminology: The symbols $\forall$ and $\exists$ are called quantifiers. German: Quantoren

Semantics of Predicate Logic

## B3.3 Semantics of Predicate Logic

Let $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ be a signature.
Definition (Interpretation, Variable Assignment)
An interpretation (for $\mathcal{S}$ ) is a pair $\mathcal{I}=\left\langle U, \mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{I}}\right\rangle$ of:

- a non-empty set $U$ called the universe and
- a function ${ }^{I}$ that assigns a meaning to the constant, function, and predicate symbols:
- $\mathrm{c}^{\mathcal{I}} \in U$ for constant symbols $\mathrm{c} \in \mathcal{C}$
- $\mathrm{f}^{\mathcal{I}}: U^{k} \rightarrow U$ for $k$-ary function symbols $\mathrm{f} \in \mathcal{F}$
- $\mathrm{P}^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq U^{k}$ for $k$-ary predicate symbols $\mathrm{P} \in \mathcal{P}$

A variable assignment (for $\mathcal{S}$ and universe $U$ )
is a function $\alpha: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow U$.
German: Interpretation, Variablenzuweisung, Universum (or Grundmenge)

## B3. Predicate Logic I Semantics of Predicate Logic

Interpretations and Variable Assignments: Example

## Example

signature: $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ with $\mathcal{V}=\{x, y, z\}$,
$\mathcal{C}=\{$ zero, one $\}, \mathcal{F}=\{$ sum, product $\}, \mathcal{P}=\{$ SquareNumber $\}$
$\operatorname{ar}($ sum $)=\operatorname{ar}($ product $)=2, \operatorname{ar}($ SquareNumber $)=1$
$\mathcal{I}=\left\langle U, \mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{I}}\right\rangle$ with

- $U=\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}\right\}$
- zero $^{\mathcal{I}}=u_{0}$
- one $^{\mathcal{I}}=u_{1}$
- $\operatorname{sum}^{\mathcal{I}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=u_{(i+j) \bmod 7}$ for all $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, 6\}$
- product $^{\mathcal{I}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=u_{(i \cdot j) \bmod 7}$ for all $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, 6\}$
- SquareNumber ${ }^{\mathcal{I}}=\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{4}\right\}$
$\alpha=\left\{x \mapsto u_{5}, y \mapsto u_{5}, z \mapsto u_{0}\right\}$


## B3. Predicate Logic I

Semantics of Predicate Logic
Semantics: Informally

Example: $(\forall x(\operatorname{Block}(x) \rightarrow \operatorname{Red}(x)) \wedge \operatorname{Block}(a))$
"For all objects $x$ : if $x$ is a block, then $x$ is red.
Also, the object called a is a block."

- Terms are interpreted as objects.
- Unary predicates denote properties of objects (to be a block, to be red, to be a square number, ....)
- General predicates denote relations between objects (to be someone's child, to have a common divisor, ... )
- Universally quantified formulas (" $\forall$ ") are true if they hold for every object in the universe.
- Existentially quantified formulas (" $\exists$ ") are true if they hold for at least one object in the universe.

Let $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ be a signature.
Definition (Interpretation of a Term)
Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\langle U, \mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{I}}\right\rangle$ be an interpretation for $\mathcal{S}$,
and let $\alpha$ be a variable assignment for $\mathcal{S}$ and universe $U$.
Let $t$ be a term over $\mathcal{S}$.
The interpretation of $t$ under $\mathcal{I}$ and $\alpha$, written as $t^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}$, is the element of the universe $U$ defined as follows:

- If $t=x$ with $x \in \mathcal{V}$ ( $t$ is a variable term):
$x^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}=\alpha(x)$
- If $t=\mathrm{c}$ with $\mathrm{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ ( $t$ is a constant term):

$$
\mathrm{c}^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathcal{I}}
$$

- If $t=\mathrm{f}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)(t$ is a function term $)$ : $\mathrm{f}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}=\mathrm{f}^{\mathcal{I}}\left(t_{1}^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \ldots, t_{k}^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}\right)$

Example
signature: $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$
with $\mathcal{V}=\{x, y, z\}, \mathcal{C}=\{$ zero, one $\}, \mathcal{F}=\{$ sum, product $\}$,
$\operatorname{ar}($ sum $)=\operatorname{ar}($ product $)=2$
$\mathcal{I}=\left\langle U,{ }^{\mathcal{I}}\right\rangle$ with

- $U=\left\{u_{0}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}, u_{6}\right\}$
- zero $^{\mathcal{I}}=u_{0}$
- one $^{\mathcal{I}}=u_{1}$
- $\operatorname{sum}^{\mathcal{I}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=u_{(i+j) \bmod 7}$ for all $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, 6\}$
- $\operatorname{product}^{\mathcal{I}}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=u_{(i \cdot j) \bmod 7}$ for all $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, 6\}$
$\alpha=\left\{x \mapsto u_{5}, y \mapsto u_{5}, z \mapsto u_{0}\right\}$
M. Helmert (Univ. Basel)


## Semantics of Predicate Logic Formulas

Let $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ be a signature.
Definition (Formula is Satisfied or True)
Let $\mathcal{I}=\left\langle U, I^{\mathcal{I}}\right\rangle$ be an interpretation for $\mathcal{S}$,
and let $\alpha$ be a variable assignment for $\mathcal{S}$ and universe $U$.
We say that $\mathcal{I}$ and $\alpha$ satisfy a predicate logic formula $\varphi$
(also: $\varphi$ is true under $\mathcal{I}$ and $\alpha$ ), written: $\mathcal{I}, \alpha \vDash \varphi$,
according to the following inductive rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \mathrm{P}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}\right) & \text { iff }\left\langle t_{1}^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}, \ldots, t_{k}^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}\right\rangle \in \mathrm{P}^{\mathcal{I}} \\
\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models\left(t_{1}=t_{2}\right) & \text { iff } t_{1}^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha}=t_{2}^{\mathcal{I}, \alpha} \\
\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \neg \varphi & \text { iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \not \models \varphi \\
\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models(\varphi \wedge \psi) & \text { iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text { and } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi \\
\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models(\varphi \vee \psi) & \text { iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \varphi \text { or } \mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \psi
\end{aligned}
$$

M. ${ }^{-}$Helmert (Univ. Basel)

Let $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$ be a signature.
Definition (Formula is Satisfied or True)
...

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \forall x \varphi & \text { iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha[x:=u] \models \varphi \text { for all } u \in U \\
\mathcal{I}, \alpha \models \exists x \varphi & \text { iff } \mathcal{I}, \alpha[x:=u] \models \varphi \text { for at least one } u \in U
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha[x:=u]$ is the same variable assignment as $\alpha$,
except that it maps variable $x$ to the value $u$.
Formally:
$(\alpha[x:=u])(z)= \begin{cases}u & \text { if } z=x \\ \alpha(z) & \text { if } z \neq x\end{cases}$
German: $\mathcal{I}$ und $\alpha$ erfüllen $\varphi$ (also: $\varphi$ ist wahr unter $\mathcal{I}$ und $\alpha$ )
M. Helmert (Univ. Basel)

Example
signature: $\mathcal{S}=\langle\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}\rangle$
with $\mathcal{V}=\{x, y, z\}, \mathcal{C}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}, \mathcal{F}=\emptyset, \mathcal{P}=\{$ Block, Red $\}$,
$\operatorname{ar}($ Block $)=\operatorname{ar}($ Red $)=1$
$\mathcal{I}=\left\langle U,{ }^{\mathcal{I}}\right\rangle$ with

- U $=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{4}, u_{5}\right\}$
- $\mathrm{a}^{\mathcal{I}}=u_{1}$
- $\mathrm{b}^{\mathcal{I}}=u_{3}$
- Block $^{\mathcal{I}}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$
- $\operatorname{Red}^{\mathcal{I}}=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{5}\right\}$
$\alpha=\left\{x \mapsto u_{1}, y \mapsto u_{2}, z \mapsto u_{1}\right\}$
- Predicate logic is more expressive than propositional logic and allows statements over objects an their properties.
- Objects are described by terms that are built from variable, constant and function symbols.
- Properties and relations are described by formulas that are built from predicates, quantifiers and the usual logical operators.
- As with all logics, we analyze
- syntax: what is a formula?
- semantics: how do we interpret a formula?

