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## 1. Detailed Review

This section outlines the work undertaken and the provisional results of the Detailed Review, covered under the following subsections:

- 1.1 Grouping by Congestion Problem,
- 1.2 Site Visits,
- 1.3 Traffic Counts,
- 1.4 Crash Data,
- 1.5 Traffic Signal Data, and
- 1.6 Categorization of Sites.

The relationship of these tasks is shown in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Relationship of Detailed Review Subtasks
In order to capture and present all of the information gathered during the detailed analysis, Site Summaries in Appendix C were created for each site and grouped accordingly.

### 1.1. Grouping of Sites by Congestion Problem

Sites have been grouped according to their related congestion problems so that decisions made about each site, which are sometimes linked to decisions for other sites, can be placed into context. Grouping is based on the following four general classifications:

- Uncongested sites involve sites that are not adjacent to significant congestion but were retained as per the Steering Committee meeting on 02/19/16 (see Table 1).
- Individual sites involve one congestion problem that is related to only one candidate ramp metering site (see Table 2).
- Multiple sites involve one congestion problem that is related to, or adjacent to, a number of candidate ramp metering sites (see Table 3).
- Groups of congestion involve a number of congestion problems that exist and overlap on a stretch of freeway. For example, the spillback from a downstream congestion problem overlaps the flow breakdown point of an upstream congestion

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties Final Detailed Analysis Report
problem, or congestion problems exist at different times of the day. In this analysis, eight such groups of congestion can be found (see Table 4). Each of these contain multiple candidate sites.

Table 1. Uncongested Sites

| Site <br> Log | TO <br> Freeway | Freeway <br> Direction | Cross Street | Approx. <br> Exit | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148 | I-77 | SB | Langtree Rd. | 31 | Iredell |
| 149 | I-77 | NB | Langtree Rd. | 31 | Iredell |

Table 2. Individual Sites

| Congestion <br> Reference <br> No. | Primary <br> Site <br> Log | TO Freeway | Freeway <br> Direction | Cross Street | Approx. <br> Exit | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M007 | 045 | I-85 | NB | Little Rock Rd. | 32 | Mecklenburg |
| M011 | 067 | I-85 | NB | Sugar Creek Rd. | 41 | Mecklenburg |
| M013 | 075 | I-85 | NB | Mallard Creek Rd. | 46 | Mecklenburg |
| M037 | 146 | I-77 | SB | Goodrum Rd / <br> Griffith St. | 30 | Mecklenburg |
| M039 | 180 | I-485 | Outer | West Blvd. | 6 | Mecklenburg |
| M040 | 181 | I-485 | Inner | West Blvd. | 6 | Mecklenburg |
| M041 | 182 | I-485 | Outer | US 74 / US 29 <br> (Wilkinson Blvd.) | 9 | Mecklenburg |
| M058 | 250 | US 74/ <br> Independence <br> Blvd. | WB | Briar Creek Rd. | 244 | Mecklenburg |

Table 3. Multiple Sites

| Congestion <br> Reference <br> No. | Primary <br> Site <br> Log | TO <br> Freeway | Freeway <br> Direction | Cross Street | Approx. <br> Exit | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M005 | 030 | I-85 | NB | Cox Rd. | 21 | Gaston |
| M005 | 032 | I-85 | NB | S Main St. | 22 | Gaston |
| M005 | 034 | I-85 | NB | McAdenville Rd. | 23 | Gaston |
| M048 | 150 | I-77 | SB | Williamson Rd. / US 21 <br> (Charlotte Hwy.) | 33 | Iredell |
| M048 | 153 | I-77 | SB | SR 1100 <br> (Brawley School Rd.) | 35 | Iredell |
| M049 | 175 | I-485 | Inner | Arrowood Rd. | 3 | Mecklenburg |
| M049 | 177 | I-485 | Inner <br> (Loop) | Steele Creek Rd. | 4 | Mecklenburg |
| M049 | 179 | I-485 | Outer | Steele Creek Rd. | 4 | Mecklenburg |
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Table 4. Groups of Congestion and Sites in each Group

| Congestion Group No. | Primary Site Log | TO <br> Freeway | Freeway Direction | Cross Street | Approx. Exit | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group 1(M004,M006) | 033 | I-85 | SB | McAdenville Rd. | 23 | Gaston |
|  | 035 | I-85 | SB | Belmont-Mt. Holly Rd. | 26 | Gaston |
|  | 037 | I-85 | SB | Beatty Dr. / Park St. | 27 | Gaston |
| Group 2 (M008, M009, M012) | 064 | I-85 | SB (Loop) | Graham St. | 40 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 069 | I-85 | SB | University City Blvd. | 43 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 072 | 1-85 | SB | Harris Blvd. | 45 | Mecklenburg |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Group } 3 \\ & \text { (M020, } \\ & \text { M021, } \\ & \text { M023, } \\ & \text { M027, } \\ & \text { M044, } \\ & \text { M029) } \end{aligned}$ | 093 | I-77 | SB | Westinghouse Blvd. | 1A | Mecklenburg |
|  | 097* | I-77 | SB | I-485 | 1B | Mecklenburg |
|  | 099 | 1-77 | SB | Arrowood Rd. | 3 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 102 | 1-77 | SB | Nations Ford Rd. | 4 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 104 | 1-77 | SB | Tyvola Rd. | 5 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 109 | 1-77 | SB | Clanton Rd. | 7 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 111 | 1-77 | SB | Remount Rd. | 8 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 117 | 1-77 | SB (Loop) | West Trade St. | 10 | Mecklenburg |
| Group 4 (M028, M026, <br> M025, <br> M024, <br> M022) | 105 | I-77 | NB | Tyvola Rd. | 5 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 103 | 1-77 | NB | Nations Ford Rd. | 4 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 101 | 1-77 | NB | Arrowood Rd. | 3 | Mecklenburg |
| Group 5 (M031, M045) | 129* | I-77 | NB | I-85 SB | 13 | Mecklenburg |
| Group 6 (M038, <br> M036, <br> M035, <br> M033) | 147 | I-77 | NB | Goodrum Rd. / Griffith St. | 30 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 145 | I-77 | NB | US 21 (Catawba Ave.) | 28 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 143 | 1-77 | NB | NC 73 (Sam Furr Rd.) | 25 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 140 | 1-77 | NB | Gilead Rd. | 23 | Mecklenburg |
| Group 7 <br> (M051, <br> M053, <br> M055) | 230 | I-485 | Outer | NC 16 (Providence Rd.) | 57 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 232 | I-485 | Outer (Loop) | NC 16 (Providence Rd.) | 57 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 234 | I-485 | Outer | Rea Rd. | 59 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 235 | I-485 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Outer } \\ & \text { (Loop) } \end{aligned}$ | Rea Rd. | 59 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 238 | I-485 | Outer | US 521 (Johnston Rd.) | 61 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 239 | I-485 | Outer (Loop) | US 521 (Johnston Rd.) | 61 | Mecklenburg |
| Group 8 (M054, M052) | 237 | I-485 | Inner | Rea Rd. | 59 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 236 | I-485 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inner } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | Rea Rd. | 59 | Mecklenburg |
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| Congestion <br> Group No. | Primary <br> Site Log | TO <br> Freeway | Freeway <br> Direction | Cross Street | Approx. <br> Exit | County |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 233 | I-485 | Inner <br> (Loop) | NC 16 (Providence <br> Rd.) | 57 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 231 | I-485 | Inner <br> (Loop) | NC 16 (Providence <br> Rd.) | 57 | Mecklenburg |
|  | 229 | I-485 | Inner | E John St. | 52 | Mecklenburg |

* Freeway to Freeway (F2F) sites that have been included in the detailed analysis to help understand the characteristics; currently, there are no plans to implement ramp metering at these sites.

Assumptions made about the congestion that could be reduced by each potential ramp metering site depend on whether the site has been classified as Individual, Multiple or Group. These assumptions, as well as the calculations performed for Multiple and Group sites, are described in Appendix B.

### 1.2. Site Visits

Each potential ramp metering site has been visited to gather the following information, summarized in the Observations section of the Site Summaries in Appendix C:

- General description of location;
- Confirmation of findings from the high-level geometric data analysis;
- Sight line distances;
- Ramp gradient;
- Pavement condition;
- Position of guardrail;
- Presence of shoulder or other facility for parking of maintenance/enforcement vehicles;
- Potential for altering layouts (e.g., increasing number of lanes on the entrance ramp if required);
- Closed-circuit television (CCTV) coverage;
- Presence of existing NCDOT fiber-optic communications cable; and
- Other general observations pertinent to the feasibility of a ramp meter at that location.


### 1.3. $\quad$ Traffic Counts

In order to assess whether a site is feasible for the implementation of ramp metering, it is important to understand the traffic volumes on the ramp and on the freeway, both directly upstream and downstream of the merge. Maximum and minimum volumes in each of these locations are outlined in the Typical Design Criteria, produced as part of this study, and are used to determine if the traffic volumes are within acceptable limits for each site during the times of day when congestion is observed. Traffic counts were collected to ascertain upstream, downstream, and entrance ramp volumes at each of the 51 sites.

The results of the traffic counts analysis can be found in the Traffic Volumes section of the Site Summaries. Results are detailed for each hour between 6:00 AM and 8:00 PM to determine if the volumes are feasible for the operation of ramp metering. For ramp metering to be successful, the hours during which volumes are feasible must correspond
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with the hours during which congestion is observed. The Site Selection Comments section in the Site Summaries notes these results. If the volumes on the entrance ramp are too high, the comments also contain information on increasing the number of lanes on the entrance ramp to increase its suitability for ramp metering. Most ramps proposed to move forward in this study have medium to heavy amounts of congestion, especially during the peak hours. These locations would see the most benefit to the implementation of metering the ramp. Those with lower volumes, for the most part, would not see a benefit in the reduction of congestion. Therefore implementing ramp metering at these locations is not recommended.

### 1.4. Crash Data

NCDOT provided crash data covering a period of 5 years from March 2011 to February 2016 in the vicinity of each of the potential ramp metering sites. These data have been analyzed to identify rear-end, slow, or stop as well as sideswipe or same-direction crashes. These types of accidents are associated with congestion and can potentially be corrected with the implementation of ramp metering. A look at the possible benefits from implementing Ramp Metering at the proposed locations could see congestion related accidents reduced between $25 \%$ and $75 \%$.

The results of the crash data analysis are expressed as a number and a percentage of overall crashes at each location, and can be found in the Crash Data section of the Site Summaries in Appendix C.

### 1.5. Traffic Signal Data

Where traffic signals on the surface street feed to a potential ramp metering site, it is important to know how long the platoons of traffic released by signals onto the ramp are, especially where ramp volumes are high or the entrance ramp is short. This information is used to assess whether the queue management system will become overwhelmed and to address any related recommendations. Table 5 shows which sites have signals on the surface street with relatively high volumes, and require queue management evaluation. Platoon lengths for these sites were calculated for the relevant peak hour based upon the actual signal cycle length.

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties Final Detailed Analysis Report

Table 5. Platoon Length Analysis

| Site <br> Log | FROM Cross Street | TO <br> Freeway | Freeway <br> Direction | Peak <br> Approx. <br> Exit | County | Cour <br> Lencle <br> (seng.) | Vehicles <br> Per <br> Cycle | Platoon <br> (ength <br> (ft.) per <br> Cycle |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 064 | Graham St. | I-85 | SB <br> (Loop) | 40 | Mecklenburg | 120 | 22 | 550 |
| 075 | Mallard Creek Rd. | I-85 | NB | 46 | Mecklenburg | 140 | 43 | 1075 |
| 093 | Westinghouse Blvd. | I-77 | SB | 1 A | Mecklenburg | 120 | 40 | 1000 |
| 103 | Nations Ford Rd. | I-77 | NB | 4 | Mecklenburg | 110 | 20 | 500 |
| 140 | Gilead Rd. | I-77 | NB | 23 | Mecklenburg | 105 | 20 | 500 |
| 143 | NC 73 (Sam Furr Rd.) | I-77 | NB | 25 | Mecklenburg | 130 | 23 | 575 |
| 145 | US 21 (Catawba Ave.) | I-77 | NB | 28 | Mecklenburg | 120 | 43 | 1075 |
| 146 | Goodrum Rd. / Griffith <br> St. | I-77 | SB | 30 | Mecklenburg | 120 | 22 | 550 |
| 230 | NC 16 (Providence <br> Rd.) | I-485 | Outer | 57 | Mecklenburg | 120 | 12 | 300 |
| 231 | NC 16 (Providence <br> Rd.) | I-485 | Inner <br> (loop) | 57 | Mecklenburg | 120 | 31 | 775 |
| 233 | NC 16 (Providence <br> Rd.) | I-485 | Inner | 57 | Mecklenburg | 120 | 26 | 650 |
| 236 | Rea Rd. (Loop) | I-485 | Inner | 59 | Mecklenburg | 120 | 35 | 875 |
| 239 | US 521 <br> (Johnston Rd.) | I-485 | Outer <br> (Loop) | 61 | Mecklenburg | 150 | 29 | 725 |
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### 1.6. Categorization of Sites

The analysis performed during the detailed review determined the following:

- Any serious physical issues that may exist at each potential ramp metering location,
- Whether these issues can be rectified,
- How much congestion is at the site and whether traffic volumes are feasible for metering, and
- Other useful factors that influence the site's suitability for ramp metering.

Each candidate ramp metering site has been considered in detail and in relation to any other candidate ramp metering locations, and all comments and observations are noted in the Site Summaries.

From this information, it is possible to further categorize sites into the following groups:

- Not Feasible - a critical issue has been identified that makes the site not feasible for ramp metering, such as very low entrance ramp volumes. This could include, but not be limited to, traffic volumes are too high or too low, geometric issues, site might be secondary to another site that needs correcting first, etc.
- Review in Future - in some locations with "multiple sites" or "groups of congestion", the analysis attributed the main cause of congestion to one or two of the downstream sites. In this case, sites further upstream may cease to be congested once the downstream sites are implemented, so it has been noted that the site should be further evaluated once the downstream sites have been implemented and operating for a period of time. Sites in this category may also have traffic volumes that are marginal for being feasible
- Feasible for Taking Forward - these sites demonstrate good characteristics and the potential to reduce observed congestion. These sites will be taken forward into the next stage of the process: an economic analysis will outline the implementation of ramp metering and the sites will be prioritized for implementation.

The following diagrams show the locations of the various sites in their associated categories.
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Figure 2. Feasible Ramp Meter Sites
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Figure 3. Review in Future Ramp Meter Sites
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Figure 4. Not Feasible Ramp Meter Sites
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### 1.7. Summary

Recommendations based on the results of the detailed analysis are shown in Table 6. The table is ordered as follows:

- Individual sites - where a single identified congestion problem is adjacent to one site only,
- Multiple sites - where a single identified congestion problem is adjacent to a number of sites, and
- Group sites - where multiple congestion problems overlap and form a larger congestion problem, which is adjacent to a number of sites.

The order that these are shown in the table below is not intended to show an order of implementation of sites, nor is it meant to suggest that sites grouped together should be implemented at the same time. The prioritization of site implementation will come in a later stage.

For more information about specific individual sites, including the rationale for the selection or ruling out of sites, please refer to the Site Selection Comments section in the Site Summaries.

Table 6. Recommendations For Each Site

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site } \\ & \text { Log } \end{aligned}$ | To Freeway | Cross Street | Approx. Exit | Direction | County | Categorization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individual Sites |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 045 | I-85 | Little Rock Rd. | 32 | NB | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |
| 067 | I-85 | Sugar Creek Rd. | 41 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 075 | 1-85 | Mallard Creek Rd. | 46 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 146 | I-77 | Goodrum Rd. / Griffith St. | 30 | SB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 180 | 1-485 | West Blvd. | 6 | Outer | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |
| 181 | I-485 | West Blvd. | 6 | Inner | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 182 | I-485 | US 74 / US 29 (Wilkinson Blvd.) | 9 | Outer | Mecklenburg | Review in Future |
| 250 | US 74/ <br> Independence Blva. | Briar Creek Rd. | 244 | WB | Mecklenburg | Review in Future (although site does not meet criteria, will continue analysis per SC request) |
| Multiple Sites (M005) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 030 | 1-85 | Cox Rd. | 21 | NB | Gaston | Review in Future |
| 032 | I-85 | S Main St. | 22 | NB | Gaston | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 034 | I-85 | McAdenville Rd. | 23 | NB | Gaston | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| Multiple Sites (M048) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150 | 1-77 | Williamson Rd. / US 21 (Charlotte Hwy.) | 33 | SB | Iredell | Not Feasible |
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| Site <br> Log | To <br> Freeway | Cross Street | Approx. <br> Exit | Direction | County | Categorization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 153 | I-77 | SR 1100 <br> (Brawley School Rd.) | 35 | SB | Iredell | Not Feasible |

## Multiple Sites (M049)

| 175 | I-485 | Arrowood Rd. | 3 | Inner | Mecklenburg | Review in Future |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 177 | I-485 | Steele Creek Rd. | 4 | Inner | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| 179 | I-485 | Steele Creek Rd. | 4 | Inner | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |

## Group 1

| 033 | I-85 | McAdenville Rd. | 23 | SB | Gaston | Not Feasible |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 035 | I-85 | Belmont-Mt. Holly Rd. | 26 | SB | Gaston | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| 037 | I-85 | Beatty Dr. / Park St. | 27 | SB | Gaston | Review in Future |

Group 2

| 064 | I-85 | Graham St. | 40 | SB (Loop) | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 069 | I-85 | University City Blvd. | 43 | SB | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |
| 072 | I-85 | Harris Blvd. | 45 | SB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |

Group 3

| 093 | I-77 | Westinghouse Blvd. | 1A | SB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $097^{*}$ | I-77 | I-485 | 1 B | SB | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |
| 099 | I-77 | Arrowood Rd. | 3 | SB | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |
| 102 | I-77 | Nations Ford Rd. | 4 | SB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| 104 | I-77 | Tyvola Rd. | 5 | SB | Mecklenburg | Review in Future |
| 109 | I-77 | Clanton Rd. | 7 | SB | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |
| 111 | I-77 | Remount Rd. | 8 | SB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| 117 | I-77 | West Trade St. | 10 | SB (Loop) | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |

Group 4

| 105 | I-77 | Tyvola Rd. | 5 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 103 | I-77 | Nations Ford Rd. | 4 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| 101 | I-77 | Arrowood Rd. | 3 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
| Group 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $129^{*}$ | I-77 | I-85 SB | 13 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking <br> Forward |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Site } \\ & \text { Log } \end{aligned}$ | To <br> Freeway | Cross Street | Approx. Exit | Direction | County | Categorization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 | I-77 | Goodrum Rd. / Griffith St. | 30 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 145 | I-77 | US 21 (Catawba Ave.) | 28 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 143 | I-77 | NC 73 (Sam Furr Rd.) | 25 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 140 | I-77 | Gilead Rd. | 23 | NB | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| Group 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 230 | I-485 | NC 16 <br> (Providence Rd.) | 57 | Outer | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 232 | I-485 | NC 16 <br> (Providence Rd.) | 57 | Outer | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 234 | I-485 | Rea Rd. | 59 | Outer | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 235 | I-485 | Rea Rd. | 59 | Outer | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |
| 238 | I-485 | US 521 (Johnston Rd.) | 61 | Outer | Mecklenburg | Not Feasible |
| 239 | I-485 | US 521 (Johnston Rd.) | 61 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Outer } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| Group 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 237 | I-485 | Rea Rd. | 59 | Inner | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 236 | I-485 | Rea Rd. | 59 | Inner | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 233 | I-485 | NC 16 <br> (Providence Rd.) | 57 | Inner | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 231 | I-485 | NC 16 (Providence Rd.) | 57 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inner } \\ & \text { (Loop) } \end{aligned}$ | Mecklenburg | Feasible for Taking Forward |
| 229 | I-485 | E John St. | 52 | Inner | Mecklenburg | Review in Future |

* F2F sites that have been included in the detailed analysis to help understand the characteristics. Currently there are no plans to implement ramp metering at these sites.
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## 2. Conclusions

This report outlines the process carried out to conduct the Detailed Analysis. The findings indicate the sites that have been ruled out because of specific characteristics that make them unfeasible, sites that should be reviewed again in future, and sites that are feasible and should be taken forward to the next stage.

Table 7 summarizes the results of the detailed analysis. Recommendations for the 51 sites subject to detailed analysis are shown in Table 6 in the preceding section, and the number of sites in each category is summarized in Table 7.

Table 7. Number of Sites following Screening and Detailed Analysis

| Site Categorization | Number <br> of Sites |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Sites | 251 |
| Sites in Detailed Analysis | 51 |
| Not Feasible | 14 |
| Review in Future | 7 |
| Feasible for Taking Forward | 30 |

The sites selected as feasible for taking forward for ramp metering have demonstrated appropriate geometry, acceptable traffic volumes that will allow the system to work, and are located in a position to improve existing observed traffic problems.

The sites identified for future review (locations where ramp metering installation would result in reduced effectiveness) should be reconsidered after the first ramp meter sites have been installed and operated for a period of time to re-evaluate the observed congestion.

The next stage of the feasibility study is to use the information already collected to perform a high-level cost-benefit analysis for each site. The results will be added to the Costs and Benefits section of the Site Summaries. Following this, the most beneficial sites will be identified and prioritized for implementation. Future tasks are shown in Figure 5.
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Figure 5. Relationship of Future Tasks

Appendices

## Appendix A: Summary Recommendations for All Sites

 those that will be taken forward into the next stages.

Table 8. Summary Recommendations for all Sites

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80 | Concord Mills / Bruton Smith Blvd. | 1-85 | NB | 49 | Cabarrus | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 79 | Concord Mills / Bruton Smith Blvd. | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ (\mathrm{Loop}) \end{gathered}$ | 49 | Cabarrus | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 78 | Concord Mills / Bruton Smith Blvd. | I-85 | SB | 49 | Cabarrus | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 83 | Kannapolis Pkwy./ George Liles Pkwy. | I-85 | 7SB | 52 | Cabarrus | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 82 | Poplar Tent Rd. | I-85 | NB | 52 | Cabarrus | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 81 | Poplar Tent Rd. | I-85 | SB | 52 | Cabarrus | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 84 | Kannapolis Pkwy./ George Liles Pkwy. | 1-85 | NB | 54 | Cabarrus | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 86 | NC 73 (Davidson Hwy.) | I-85 | NB | 55 | Cabarrus | 01/05/16 - Site is at the extreme back of the congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 85 | NC 73 (Davidson Hwy.) | I-85 | SB | 55 | Cabarrus | 02/19/2016 - Construction works caused congestion - AB | - | - | Review in Future |
| 88 | US 29-601 (Concord Pkwy.) | 1-85 | NB | 58 | Cabarrus | 01/31/2016 - Construction works caused congestion -AB | - | - | No Further Study |
| 87 | US 29-601 (Concord Pkwy.) | 1-85 | SB | 58 | Cabarrus | 01/31/2016 - Construction works caused congestion -AB | - | - | No Further Study |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Repor

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 90 | Dale Earnhardt Blvd. | I-85 | NB | 60 | Cabarrus | 01/31/2016 - Construction works caused congestion -AB | - | - | No Further Study |
| 89 | Dale Earnhardt Blvd. | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 60 | Cabarrus | 01/31/2016 - Construction works caused congestion -AB | - | - | No Further Study |
| 92 | Lane St. | I-85 | NB | 63 | Cabarrus | 01/31/2016 - Construction works caused congestion -AB | - | - | No Further Study |
| 91 | Lane St. | I-85 | SB | 63 | Cabarrus | 01/25/2016 - Construction works caused congestion - JE | - | - | Review in Future |
| 24 | N Chester St. | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 17 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 23 | N Chester St. | I-85 | SB | 17 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 26 | Ozark Ave. | I-85 | NB | 19 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 25 | Ozark Ave. | I-85 | SB | 19 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 28 | New Hope Rd. | I-85 | NB | 20 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Site is at the extreme back of the congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 27 | New Hope Rd. | I-85 | SB | 20 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 30 | Cox Rd. | I-85 | NB | 21 | Gaston | - | - | 5/13/16 - Review in Future from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 29 | Cox Rd. | I-85 | SB | 21 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 32 | S Main St. | I-85 | NB | 22 | Gaston | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | S Main St. | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ (\mathrm{Loop}) \end{gathered}$ | 22 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 34 | McAdenville Rd. | I-85 | NB | 23 | Gaston | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 33 | McAdenville Rd. | I-85 | SB | 23 | Gaston | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 36 | Belmont-Mt. Holly Rd. | I-85 | NB | 26 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 35 | Belmont-Mt. Holly Rd. | I-85 | SB | 26 | Gaston | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 38 | Beatty Dr. / Park St. | I-85 | NB | 27 | Gaston | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 37 | Beatty Dr. / Park St. | I-85 | SB | 27 | Gaston | - | - | 5/13/16 - Review in Future from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 149 | Langtree Rd. | I-77 | NB | 31 | Iredell | 02/19/16 - Retain per SC Mtg. - $A B$ | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 148 | Langtree Rd. | I-77 | SB | 31 | Iredell | 02/19/16 - Retain per SC Mtg. - <br> AB | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 152 | Williams Rd. / US 21 (Charlotte Hwy.) | I-77 | NB | 33 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 151 | Williams Rd. / US 21 (Charlotte Hwy.) | I-77 | SB | 33 | Iredell | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Overpass | - | Review in Future |
| 150 | Williams Rd. / US 21 (Charlotte Hwy.) | I-77 | SB | 33 | Iredell | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 154 | SR 1100 (Brawley School Rd.) | I-77 | NB | 35 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 153 | SR 1100 (Brawley School Rd.) | I-77 | SB | 35 | Iredell | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 156 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NC } 150 \\ \text { (W Plaza Dr.) } \end{gathered}$ | I-77 | NB | 36 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NC } 150 \\ \text { (W Plaza Dr.) } \end{gathered}$ | I-77 | SB | 36 | Iredell | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Downstream Ramp | - | Review in Future |
| 158 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { US 21-NC } 115 \\ & \text { (Main St. / } \\ & \text { Charlotte Hwy.) } \end{aligned}$ | I-77 | NB | 42 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 157 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { US 21-NC } 115 \\ & \text { (Main St. / } \\ & \text { Charlotte Hwy.) } \end{aligned}$ | I-77 | SB | 42 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 160 | Amity Hill Rd. | I-77 | SB | 45 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 159 | Amity Hill Rd. | I-77 | NB | 45 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 164 | Salisbury Rd. | I-77 | NB | 49 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 163 | Salisbury Rd. | I-77 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 49 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 162 | US 70 (Garner Bagnal Blvd.) | I-77 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 49 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 161 | US 70 (Garner Bagnal Blvd.) | I-77 | SB | 49 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 166 | East Broad St. | I-77 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 50 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 165 | East Broad St. | I-77 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 50 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 170 | I-40 WB | I-77 | NB | 51 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 169 | I-40 WB | I-77 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 51 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 168 | I-40 EB | I-77 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 51 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 167 | I-40 EB | I-77 | SB | 51 | Iredell | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 3 | I-77 NB | I-277 | Outer | 1 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 2 | Clarkson St. | I-277 | Outer | 1 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 1 | I-77 NB | I-277 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Inner } \\ & \text { (Loop) } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Sight distance AB | - | No Further Study |
| 18 | N Graham St. | I-277 | Outer (Loop) | 4 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 21 | I-77 NB | I-277 | Outer | 5 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Bridge widening $A B$ | - | No Further Study |
| 7 | South Blvd. | I-277 | Outer | 1E | Mecklenburg | 01/13/16 - Site is at the extreme back of the congestion - JC | - | - | Review in Future |
| 6 | Church St. | I-277 | Outer | 1E | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 5 | South Blvd. | I-277 | Inner | 1E | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 4 | Church St. | I-277 | Inner | 1E | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 12 | E 3rd St. / 4th St. | I-277 | Outer | 2A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 10 | Stonewall St. | I-277 | Outer | 2A | Mecklenburg | 02/24/16 - Drop per SC Mtg. AB | - | - | Review in Future |
| 9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { E 3rd St ./E 4th } \\ \text { St. } \end{gathered}$ | I-277 | Inner | 2A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 8 | Stonewall St. | I-277 | Inner | 2A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 13 | US 74 (Independence Blvd.) | I-277 | Outer | 2B | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - lack of clear zone protection AB | - | No Further Study |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | US 74 (Independence Blvd.) | I-277 | Inner | 2B | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 16 | N Caldwell St. | I-277 | Outer | 3A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 15 | N Brevard St. | I-277 | Inner | 3A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 14 | N Davidson St. | I-277 | Inner | 3A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 17 | N Church St. | I-277 | Outer | 3B | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 22 | I-77 SB | I-277 | Outer | 5A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 Mod./Light Traffic AB | - | No Further Study |
| 20 | I-77 SB | I-277 | Inner | 5A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 19 | I-77 NB | I-277 | Inner | 5A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 173 | S Tryon St. | I-485 | Inner | 1 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Site is at the extreme back of the congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 172 | S Tryon St. | I-485 | Outer | 1 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 171 | S Tryon St. | I-485 | Outer | 1 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 175 | Arrowood Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 3 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Review in Future from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 174 | Arrowood Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 3 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 179 | Steele Creek Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 4 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 178 | Steele Creek Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 4 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 177 | Steele Creek Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 4 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 176 | Steele Creek Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 4 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 181 | West Blvd. | I-485 | Inner | 6 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Review in Future |
| 180 | West Blvd. | I-485 | Outer | 6 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 182 | US 74 / US 29 (Wilkinson Blvd.) | I-485 | Outer | 9 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Review in Future from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 184 | I-85 | I-485 | Inner | 10 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 183 | I-485 CD (Wilkinson Blvd.) | I-485 | Inner | 10 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 186 | Moores Chapel Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 12 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 185 | Moores Chapel Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 12 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 187 | Mt Holly Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 12 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 188 | Mt Holly Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 14 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 192 | NC 16 | I-485 | Inner | 16 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 191 | NC 16 | I-485 | Outer | 16 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 190 | NC 16 | I-485 | Inner | 16 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 189 | NC16 | I-485 | Outer | 16 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 195 | WT Harris Blvd. | I-485 | Inner | 21 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 194 | WT Harris Blvd. | I-485 | Outer | 21 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 193 | WT Harris Blvd. | I-485 | Outer | 21 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 200 | Old Statesville Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 23 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 199 | Old Statesville Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 23 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 198 | I-77 (North) | I-485 | Inner | 23 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 197 | I-77 (North) | I-485 | Outer | 23 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 196 | I-77 (North) | I-485 | Outer | 23 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 202 | Prosperity Church Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 26 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 201 | Prosperity Church Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 26 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 204 | Mallard Creek Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 28 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 203 | Mallard Creek Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 28 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 206 | I-85 | I-485 | Inner | 31 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 205 | I-85 | I-485 | Outer | 31 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 209 | US 29 | I-485 | Inner | 32 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 208 | US 29 | I-485 | Inner | 32 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 207 | US 29 | I-485 | Outer | 32 | Mecklenburg | 02/05/2016 - Construction works caused congestion - JE | - | - | Review in Future |
| 211 | University City Blvd. | I-485 | Inner | 33 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 210 | University City Blvd. | I-485 | Outer | 33 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 213 | Rocky River Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 36 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 212 | Rocky River Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 36 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 215 | Harrisburg Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 39 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 214 | Harrisburg Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 39 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 217 | NC 24-27 <br> Albemarle Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 41 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 216 | NC 24-27 <br> Albemarle Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 41 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 219 | NC 51 | I-485 | Inner | 43 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties

## FINAL Detailed Analysis Repor

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 218 | NC 51 | I-485 | Outer | 43 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 221 | NC 218 <br> (Fairview Rd.) | I-485 | Inner | 44 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 220 | NC 218 <br> (Fairview Rd.) | I-485 | Outer | 44 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 223 | Lawyers Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 47 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 222 | Lawyers Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 47 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 225 | Idlewild Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 49 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 224 | Idlewild Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 49 | Mecklenburg | 02/19/16 - Drop per SC Mtg. AB | - | - | Review in Future |
| 227 | US 74 | I-485 | Inner | 51 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Site is at the extreme back of the congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 226 | US 74 | I-485 | Outer | 51 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 229 | E John St. | I-485 | Inner | 52 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Review in Future from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 228 | E John St. | I-485 | Outer | 52 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 233 | NC 16 <br> (Providence Rd.) | I-485 | Inner | 57 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 232 | NC 16 <br> (Providence Rd.) | I-485 | Outer | 57 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 231 | NC 16 <br> (Providence Rd.) | I-485 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Inner } \\ & \text { (Loop) } \end{aligned}$ | 57 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 230 | NC 16 (Providence Rd.) | I-485 | Outer | 57 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 237 | Rea Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 59 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 236 | Rea Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 59 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties

## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 235 | Rea Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 59 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 234 | Rea Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 59 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 240 | US 521 <br> (Johnston Rd.) | I-485 | Inner | 61 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 239 | $\begin{gathered} \text { US } 521 \\ \text { (Johnston Rd.) } \end{gathered}$ | I-485 | Outer (Loop) | 61 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 238 | US 521 <br> (Johnston Rd.) | I-485 | Outer | 61 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 242 | NC 51 | I-485 | Inner | 64 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 245 | Pineville Rd. / South Blvd. | I-485 | Inner | 65 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 244 | Pineville Rd. / South Blvd. | I-485 | Inner | 65 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 243 | Pineville Rd. / South Blvd. | 1-485 | Outer | 65 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 241 | NC 51 | I-485 | Outer | 66 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 249 | I-77 SB CD / Arrowood Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 67 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 248 | I-77 NB CD / Westinghouse Rd. | I-485 | Inner | 67 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 247 | I-77 SB CD / Arrowood Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 67 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 246 | I-77 NB CD / Westinghouse Rd. | I-485 | Outer | 67 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 101 | Arrowood Rd. | I-77 | NB | 3 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties

## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | Arrowood Rd. | I-77 | SB | 3 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 103 | Nations Ford Rd. | I-77 | NB | 4 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 102 | Nations Ford Rd. | I-77 | SB | 4 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 105 | Tyvola Rd. | I-77 | NB | 5 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 104 | Tyvola Rd. | I-77 | SB | 5 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Review in Future from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 110 | Clanton Rd. | I-77 | NB | 7 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 109 | Clanton Rd. | I-77 | SB | 7 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 111 | Remount Rd. | I-77 | SB | 8 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 112 | I-77 CD <br> (US 74 (Wilkinson Blvd)/ Freedom Dr. / I-277 (John Belk Fwy.) | I-77 | SB | 9 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 118 | 5th St. | I-77 | NB | 10 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 117 | West Trade St. | I-77 | SB | 10 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 116 | West Trade St. | I-77 | SB | 10 | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Downstream Ramp | - | No Further Study |
| 115 | US 29-NC 27 <br> (Morehead St.) | I-77 | NB | 10 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 122 | I-277 Outer | I-77 | NB | 11 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 121 | NC 16 (Brookshire Fwy.) | I-77 | NB | 11 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 120 | I-277 Outer | 1-77 | SB | 11 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Bridge widening $A B$ | - | No Further Study |

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties

## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119 | NC 16 <br> (Brookshire Fwy.) | I-77 | SB | 11 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - lack of clear zone protection AB | - | No Further Study |
| 124 | LaSalle St. / Atando Ave. | I-77 | NB | 12 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 123 | LaSalle St./ Atando Ave. | I-77 | SB | 12 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 129 | I-85 SB | I-77 | NB | 13 | Mecklenburg | 2/18/16 Retain F2F Site | - | - | No Further Study |
| 128 | I-85 NB | I-77 | NB | 13 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Left hand merge $A B$ | - | No Further Study |
| 127 | I-85 SB / Statesville Rd. | I-77 | SB | 13 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 126 | I-77 SB HOV | I-77 | SB | 13 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 125 | I-85 NB | I-77 | SB | 13 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 135 | WT Harris Blvd. | I-77 | NB | 18 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 133 | WT Harris Blvd. | I-77 | NB | 18 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 132 | WT Harris Blvd. | I-77 | SB | 18 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 131 | Sunset Rd. | I-77 | NB | 18 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 130 | Sunset Rd. | I-77 | SB | 18 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 138 | I-485 Outer | I-77 | NB | 19 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 137 | I-485 Outer | I-77 | SB | 19 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Loop Ramp AB | - | No Further Study |

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties

## FINAL Detailed Analysis Repor

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 136 | I-485 Inner | I-77 | SB | 19 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 Mod./Light Traffic AB | - | No Further Study |
| 134 | WT Harris Blvd. | I-77 | SB | 19 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 140 | Gilead Rd.. | 1-77 | NB | 23 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 143 | NC 73 (Sam Furr Rd) | I-77 | NB | 25 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 139 | Gilead Rd. | I-77 | SB | 25 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 142 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NC } 73 \\ \text { (Sam Furr Rd.) } \end{gathered}$ | I-77 | SB | 26 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 141 | NC 73 (Sam Furr Rd.) | I-77 | SB | 26 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 145 | US 21 <br> (Catawba Ave.) | I-77 | NB | 28 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 144 | US 21 <br> (Catawba Ave.) | I-77 | SB | 29 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 147 | Goodrum Rd. / Griffith St. | I-77 | NB | 30 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 146 | Goodrum Rd. / Griffith St. | I-77 | SB | 30 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 96 | Westinghouse Blvd. | I-77 | NB | 1A | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Downstream Ramp | - | Review in Future |
| 93 | Westinghouse Blvd. | I-77 | SB | 1A | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 100 | I-485 | I-77 | NB | 1B | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 98 | Welcome Center | I-77 | NB | 1B | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Site is at the extreme back of the congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 97 | I-485 | I-77 | SB | 1B | Mecklenburg | 2/18/16 Retain F2F Site | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | No Further Study |
| 106 | Woodlawn Rd. | I-77 | SB | 6 A | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Overpass | - | No Further Study |

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties

## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | S Tryon St. | I-77 | SB | 6B | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Downstream Ramp | - | No Further Study |
| 107 | S Tryon St. | I-77 | NB | 6B | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 113 | West Blvd. | I-77 | NB | 9A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 114 | I-77 CD <br> (US 74 (Wilkinson Blvd.)/ Freedom Dr. / I-277 (John Belk Fwy.)) | I-77 | NB | 9 B | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Bridge widening AB | - | No Further Study |
| 95 | Westinghouse Blvd. | I-77 CD | NB | 1A | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 94 | Westinghouse Blvd. | I-77 CD | NB | 1B | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 39 | Sam Wilson Rd. | I-85 | SB | 29 | Mecklenburg | $01 / 13 / 16$ - Site is at the extreme back of the congestion - JC | - | - | Review in Future |
| 43 | I-485 Inner | I-85 | NB | 30 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 Mod./Light Traffic AB | - | No Further Study |
| 42 | I-485 Outer | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 30 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Sight distance AB | - | No Further Study |
| 41 | Sam Wilson Rd. | I-85 | NB | 30 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Primary site for congestion is F2F - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 40 | I-485 Inner / Wilkinson Blvd. | I-85 | SB | 30 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 45 | Little Rock Rd. | I-85 | NB | 32 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 44 | Little Rock Rd. | I-85 | SB | 32 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties

## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | Billy Graham Pkwy. | I-85 | NB | 33 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 47 | Billy Graham Pkwy. | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 33 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 46 | Billy Graham Pkwy. | I-85 | SB | 33 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 51 | Freedom Dr. | I-85 | NB | 34 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 50 | Freedom Dr. | I-85 | SB | 34 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 49 | Tuckaseegee Rd. | I-85 | SB | 34 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 53 | Glenwood Dr. | I-85 | NB | 35 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 52 | Glenwood Dr. | I-85 | SB | 35 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 55 | NC 16 <br> (Brookshire Blvd.) | I-85 | NB | 36 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 54 | NC 16 <br> (Brookshire Blvd.) | I-85 | SB | 36 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 57 | Beatties Ford Rd. | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 37 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Site is at the extreme back of the congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 56 | Beatties Ford Rd. | I-85 | SB | 37 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 62 | I-77 NB | 1-85 | NB | 38 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 60 | I-77 SB | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 38 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16-F2F site - JE | 3/10/16 - Sight distance AB | - | No Further Study |
| 59 | I-77 NB | I-85 | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 38 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
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## FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

| Site <br> Log | Cross Street | TO Freeway | Direction | Approx. Exit | County | Screening Analysis Stage 1 (Congestion) | Screening Analysis - Stage 2 (Geometric) | Detailed Analysis | Site Status at End of Detailed Analysis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | I-77 SB | I-85 | SB | 38 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 63 | Statesville Ave. | I-85 | NB | 39 | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Wall | - | Review in Future |
| 61 | Statesville Ave. | 1-85 | SB | 39 | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Overpass | - | No Further Study |
| 66 | Sugar Creek Rd. | I-85 | SB | 40 | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Wall | - | Review in Future |
| 65 | Graham St. | 1-85 | NB | 40 | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Wall | - | No Further Study |
| 64 | Graham St. | 1-85 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB } \\ \text { (Loop) } \end{gathered}$ | 40 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 67 | Sugar Creek Rd. | 1-85 | NB | 41 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 68 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { US } 29 \text { Connector } \\ & \text { (US 29/49) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | I-85 | SB | 42 | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Wall | - | No Further Study |
| 71 | University City Blvd. | I-85 | NB | 43 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 70 | University City Blvd. | I-85 | SB | 43 | Mecklenburg | - | 02/18/16 AB - No Overpass | - | Review in Future |
| 69 | University City Blvd. | I-85 | SB | 43 | Mecklenburg | - | - | 5/13/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JE | Review in Future |
| 73 | Harris Blvd. | I-85 | NB | 45 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 72 | Harris Blvd. | I-85 | SB | 45 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Review in Future |
| 75 | Mallard Creek Rd. | I-85 | NB | 46 | Mecklenburg | - | - | - | Detailed Analysis |
| 74 | Mallard Creek Rd. | I-85 | SB | 46 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | - | - | No Further Study |
| 77 | I-485 Inner | I-85 | NB | 48 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 76 | I-485 Outer | I-85 | SB | 48 | Mecklenburg | 01/05/16 - Not adjacent to significant congestion - JE | 3/10/16 - Not sig. congest. - AB | - | No Further Study |
| 250 | Briar Creek Rd. | US 74/ Independence Blvd. | WB | 244 | Mecklenburg | - | 9/2/16 - Not sig. congest. - AT | 9/27/16 - Not feasible from Detailed Analysis - JO | Review in Future (although site does not meet criteria, will continue analysis per SC request) |
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## Appendix B: Analysis of Congestion

## B. 1 Assumptions made about the Potential Benefits of Ramp Metering

The congestion reduction that can be attributed to each site has been considered and recorded in the Congestion section of the Site Summaries. The method used depends on whether it is classified as individual, multiple or group (see Section 1.1). The potential impact of congestion reduction has been identified by determining the length, duration, and number of occurrences of congestion which can be impacted on by each site. These figures will be used in the next phase to determine the number of vehicle-hours delay (VHD) associated with each site and an assumption will be made about the potential percentage reduction achieved by ramp metering.

The figures used in the calculations that have been performed using the methods described below for Multiple and Group sites are contained in Section B.2.

## B.1.1 Individual Sites

It is assumed that ramp metering at such a site could provide a benefit relating to the whole congestion problem. Therefore, the length, duration, and occurrences of congestion are the same as for the associated congestion problem.

## B.1.2 Multiple Sites

Because a number of sites are in the vicinity of a particular congestion problem, it cannot be assumed that they can all have an equally significant impact. There are two reasons for this:

- The application of ramp metering at one of the sites could completely or substantially resolve the congestion problem, meaning no further installations are justified.
- However, where there is a significant congestion problem, ramp metering at a second site in the vicinity could provide a similar percentage delay reduction to the first, on the remaining congestion.

A site that is a significant distance upstream of a bottleneck may not have a significant impact on the traffic downstream of the site.

The following rules have been applied to weight the potential impacts of sites related to a particular congestion problem:

- For the primary site, it is assumed that ramp metering could provide a benefit relating to the whole congestion problem. Therefore, the length, duration, and occurrences of congestion are the same as for the associated congestion problem.
- For each secondary site, it is assumed that ramp metering would only provide a reduction in the congestion that occurs upstream of the site. While this is a simplification, it is considered a sensible application of engineering judgement. It builds in some allowance for the fact that secondary sites might not have such a
significant impact as the primary site which is likely to be the prime cause of a congestion problem. However, it allows for the fact that where the problem is large, secondary sites can provide significant benefits. This assumption is applied as follows:
- The length of congestion is the distance from the site to the back of the queue;
- The number of occurrences is the same;
- The duration of congestion is reduced by the same proportion as the length, to reflect the fact that the queue upstream of the secondary site has a shorter duration, as it reaches the site later and dissipates to this point sooner.
- Where the primary site has been deemed unfeasible for ramp metering (e.g. because ramp volumes are too low) then it is assumed that the secondary site could provide a benefit relating to the whole congestion problem. Therefore, the length, duration, and occurrences of congestion are the same as for the associated congestion problem.


## B.1.3 Group Sites

For sites classified in groups, each congestion problem might have an associated primary site; it is assumed that each primary site could provide a benefit relating to the whole congestion problem. Therefore, the length, duration, and occurrences of congestion are the same as for the associated congestion problem. However, the same site could also be a secondary site for a congestion problem which starts further downstream, in which case it could provide some additional benefits.

The assumptions used for group sites are the same as for multiple sites to attribute congestion reduction to primary and secondary sites. Then where a particular site relates to two congestion problems in the group, the following additional rules are applied:

- The length of congestion is the weighted average of the lengths associated with the two congestion problems;
- The number of occurrences is the sum of the occurrences associated with the two congestion problems (because the site can potentially impact on both);
- The duration of congestion is the weighted average of the durations associated with the two congestion problems.

In this way the resulting length, duration and occurrences of congestion give a representative picture of the total potential impact of the site.

The Congestion Review data for the Metrolina Ramp Metering sites can be found in Table 9. This data is used in the calculations of the multiple and group sites found in Appendix B.2. Please refer to Appendix $C$ and $D$ in the Screening Analysis Report for the congestion/bottleneck scans and the aggregation of the congestion scan data.

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties

Table 9. NCDOT Ramp Metering Feasibility Study - Congestion Review

|  |  |  |  |  | September Congestion |  |  |  |  | April Congestion |  |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO Freeway |  | Bottleneck Reference Number | Mainline Primary Log 1 | FROM Cross Street (from Congestion Scan) |  | Average <br> max <br> length <br> (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \tilde{U} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Impact factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 』 } \\ & 0 \\ & \frac{U}{C} \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & U \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Impact Factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact factor | Merged Congestion Reference Number |
| I-277 | SB | C046 | 1 | I-77/US-21 <br> Exit 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 0.76 | 54 | 1,395 |  | 33.03 | 0.70 | 5250 | 1208 | M001 |
| I-277 | SB | C269 | 1 | I-77/US-21 <br> Exit 1 | 32 | 0.63 | 51 | 1,029 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33.03 | 0.70 | 52.50 | 1,208 | M001 |
| I-277 | NB | C083 | 10 | NC-16 W 2nd St/Kenilworth Ave Exit 2A |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 0.59 | 14 | 281 |  |  |  |  | 281 | M002 |
| 1-277 | NB | C094 | 13 | Davidson St Exit 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 33 | 0.5 | 13 | 215 | 548 |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-277 | NB | C058 | 13 | US-74 Exit 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 44 | 0.57 | 28 | 702 |  | 46.90 | 0.55 | 20.33 | 526 | M003 |
| I-277 | NB | C281 | 13 | US-74 Exit 2 | 60 | 0.56 | 20 | 671 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-85 | SB | C021 | 33 | NC-7 Exit 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 98 | 5.42 | 17 | 9,030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-85 | SB | C230 | 33 | NC-7 Exit 23 | 148 | 6.1 | 11 | 9,935 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 117.64 | 5.69 | 14.00 | 9,367 | M004 |
| I-85 | NB | C033 | 34 | NC-7 Exit 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 65 | 3.21 | 16 | 3,338 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-85 | NB | C238 | 34 | NC-7 Exit 23 | 60 | 3.9 | 21 | 4,919 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62.16 | 3.60 | 18.50 | 4,142 | M005 |
| I-85 | SB | C026 | 35 | Belmont-Mt <br> Holly Exit 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 83 | 4.31 | 17 | 6,081 |  |  |  |  | 6,081 | M006 |
| I-85 | NB | C275 | 45 | Billy Graham Pkwy Exit 33 | 42 | 1.77 | 12 | 893 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 893 | M007 |
| I-85 | SB | C027 | 61 | Statesville Ave Exit 39 |  |  |  |  |  | 74 | 5.86 | 14 | 6,071 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-85 | SB | C241 | 61 | Statesville Ave Exit 39 | 68 | 4.06 | 13 | 3,592 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 71.11 | 4.99 | 13.50 | 4,794 | M008 |
| I-85 | SB | C042 | 64 | Graham St Exit 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 2.82 | 18 | 2,081 |  |  |  |  | 2,081 | M009 |
| I-85 | NB | C036 | 65 | Graham St Exit 40 |  |  |  |  |  | 72 | 2.21 | 17 | 2,705 |  |  |  |  | 2,705 | M010 |
| I-85 | NB | C014 | 67 | Sugar Creek Rd Exit 41 |  |  |  |  |  | 112 | 4.4 | 23 | 11,334 |  | 100.18 | 3.81 | 16.50 | 6,291 | M011 |
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|  |  |  |  |  | September Congestion |  |  |  |  | April Congestion |  |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO <br> Freeway |  | Bottleneck Reference Number | Mainline Primary Log 1 | FROM Cross <br> Street (from <br> Congestion Scan) |  | Average <br> max <br> length <br> (miles) |  | Impact factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact <br> Factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact factor | Merged Congestion Reference Number |
| I-85 | NB | C259 | 67 | Sugar Creek Rd Exit 41 | 73 | 2.44 | 10 | 1,780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-85 | SB | C037 | 68 | US-29 Connector Exit 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 3.38 | 15 | 2,535 |  |  |  |  | 2,535 | M012 |
| I-85 | NB | C065 | 75 | Mallard Creek Church Rd Exit 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 1.14 | 10 | 570 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-85 | NB | C285 | 75 | Mallard Creek Church Rd Exit 46 | 31 | 1.46 | 9 | 407 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.0 | 1.29 | 9.50 | 503 | N013 |
| I-85 | SB | C215 | 84 | NC-73 Exit 55 | 178 | 11.22 | 18 | 35,961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,961 | M014 |
| I-85 | NB | C032 | 86 | US-29 Alt Exit 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 3.62 | 13 | 3,577 |  | 3 | 314 | 23.50 | 5399 | M015 |
| I-85 | NB | C233 | 86 | US-29 Alt Exit 58 | 72 | 2.96 | 34 | 7,244 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | 3.14 | 23.50 | 5,399 | M015 |
| I-85 | SB | C008 | 87 | US-29 Alt Exit 58 |  |  |  |  |  | 101 | 5.78 | 43 | 25,103 |  | 82.04 | 4.58 | 40.00 | 15,021 | M016 |
| I-85 | SB | C234 | 87 | US-29 Alt Exit 58 | 60 | 3.18 | 37 | 7,060 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82.04 |  | 40.00 | 15,021 |  |
| I-85 | SB | C280 | 89 | Dale Earnhardt Blvd Exit 60 | 38 | 1.9 | 10 | 721 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 721 | M017 |
| I-85 | NB | C051 | 90 | Dale Earnhardt Blvd Exit 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 2.45 | 9 | 1,058 |  |  | 2 | 50 |  | M018 |
| I-85 | NB | C248 | 90 | Dale Earnhardt Blvd Exit 60 | 55 | 2.78 | 16 | 2,445 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 | 2.66 | 12.50 | 1,746 | M018 |
| I-85 | NB | C 015 | 92 | Lane St Exit 63 |  |  |  |  |  | 119 | 5.88 | 16 | 11,196 | 19,125 | 135.31 | 8.84 | 18.00 | 21,525 | M19 |

[^0]Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

|  |  |  |  |  | September Congestion |  |  |  |  | April Congestion |  |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO Freeway |  | Bottleneck Reference Number | Mainline Primary Log 1 | FROM Cross Street (from Congestion Scan) |  | Average max length (miles) |  | Impact factor | Ave Impact <br> Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact Factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact factor | Merged Congestion Reference Number |
| I-85 | NB | C218 | 92 | Lane St Exit 63 | 141 | 8.33 | 23 | 27,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-85 | NB | C007 | 92 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NC-152 Exit } \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 144 | 12.77 | 15 | 27,583 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | SB | C006 | 93 | Westinghouse Blvd Exit 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 101 | 6.7 | 38 | 25,715 |  | 09.03 | 6.34 | 36.00 | 24,870 | M020 |
| I-77 | SB | C219 | 93 | Westinghouse Blvd Exit 1 | 118 | 5.93 | 34 | 23,775 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 09.03 | 6.34 | 36.00 | 24,870 | 1020 |
| I-485 | Outer | C097 | 76 | I-85 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 0.51 | 12 | 184 |  |  |  |  | 184 | M042 |
| 1-77 | SB | C012 | 102 | Nations Ford Rd Exit 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 116 | 4.9 | 27 | 15,347 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | SB | C225 | 102 | Nations Ford Rd Exit 4 | 109 | 4.79 | 26 | 13,581 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 112.57 | 4.85 | 26.50 | 14,456 | M021 |
| I-77 | NB | C043 | 103 | Nations Ford Rd Exit 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 60 | 3.1 | 10 | 1,860 |  |  |  |  | 1,860 | M022 |
| I-77 | SB | C028 | 104 | Tyvola Rd Exit 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 83 | 3.64 | 20 | 6,042 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | SB | C261 | 104 | Tyvola Rd Exit 5 | 44 | 2.72 | 14 | 1,673 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 66.94 | 3.26 | 17.00 | 3,711 | M023 |
| I-77 | NB | C024 | 105 | Tyvola Rd Exit 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 3.79 | 30 | 7,163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | NB | C236 | 105 | Tyvola Rd Exit 5 | 64 | 3.25 | 26 | 5,410 | 397 |  |  |  |  | 14,351 | 86.07 | 4.34 | 28.00 | 10,453 | M024 |
| I-77 | NB | C010 | 105 | Woodlawn Rd Exit 6 |  |  |  |  | 3,397 | 124 | 5.79 | 30 | 21,539 |  | 86.07 | 4.34 | 28.00 | 10,453 | M024 |
| I-77 | NB | C229 | 105 | Woodlawn Rd Exit 6 | 91 | 4.38 | 26 | 10,365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | NB | C029 | 107 | NC-49/Tryon St Exit 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 128 | 4.46 | 9 | 5,138 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | NB | C265 | 107 | NC-49/Tryon St Exit 6 | 54 | 2.13 | 10 | 1,148 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89.05 | 3.23 | 9.50 | 2,736 | M025 |
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|  |  |  |  |  | September Congestion |  |  |  |  | April Congestion |  |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO Freeway |  | Bottleneck Reference Number | Mainline Primary Log 1 | FROM Cross <br> Street (from <br> Congestion Scan) |  | Average max length (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 』 } \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Impact factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact Factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact factor | Merged Congestion Reference Number |
| I-77 | NB | C022 | 110 | Clanton Rd Exit 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 97 | 3.72 | 27 | 9,743 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | NB | C231 | 110 | Clanton Rd Exit 7 | 328 | 2.96 | 10 | 9,697 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 159.43 | 3.51 | 18.50 | 10,366 | M026 |
| I-77 | SB | C017 | 111 | Remount Rd Exit 8 |  |  |  |  |  | 73 | 2.87 | 49 | 10,266 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | NB | C221 | 111 | Remount Rd Exit 8 | 83 | 4.78 | 47 | 18,665 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77.90 | 3.81 | 48.00 | 14,227 | M027 |
| I-77 | NB | C009 | 114 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-277/US-74 } \\ & \text { Exit } 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 107 | 8.19 | 25 | 21,908 |  | 93.39 | 7.98 | 35.50 | 26,445 | M02 |
| I-77 | NB | C216 | 114 | I-277/US-74 $\text { Exit } 9$ | 86 | 7.86 | 46 | 31,095 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93. | 7.9 | 35.50 | 26,445 | M028 |
| 1-77 | SB | C053 | 123 | I-277/NC- <br> 16/W 11th St/ <br> Brookshire <br> Exit 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 1.14 | 16 | 912 |  |  |  |  | 912 | M029 |
| I-77 | NB | C237 | 124 | LaSalle St Exit 12 | 62 | 5.75 | 15 | 5,347 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,347 | M030 |
| I-77 | NB | C002 | 129 | I-85/ Statesville Ave Exit 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 128 | 8.76 | 56 | 62,792 |  |  |  |  | 62,792 | M031 |
| I-77 | SB | C003 | 139 | Gilead Rd Exit 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 131 | 5.69 | 74 | 55,159 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | SB | C214 | 139 | Gilead Rd Exit 23 | 102 | 7.13 | 64 | 46,536 | ,630 |  |  |  |  |  | 128.83 | 7.62 | 57.00 | 55,959 | M032 |
| I-77 | SB | C213 | 139 | I-485 Exit 19 | 176 | 12.9 | 33 | 74,906 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-77 | NB | C077 | 140 | Gilead Rd <br> Exit 23 |  |  |  |  |  | 30 | 0.96 | 13 | 374 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-77 | NB | C250 | 140 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gilead Rd } \\ & \text { Exit } 23 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 63 | 1.97 | 19 | 2,355 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49.59 | 1.56 | 16.00 | 1,238 | M033 |
| I-77 | SB | C273 | 141 | NC-73 Exit 25 | 31 | 1.91 | 16 | 949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 949 | M034 |
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|  |  |  |  |  | September Congestion |  |  |  |  | April Congestion |  |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO Freeway |  | Bottleneck Reference Number | Mainline <br> Primary Log 1 | FROM Cross <br> Street (from Congestion Scan) |  | Average <br> max length (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & u \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Impact factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact Factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | Impact factor | Merged Congestion Reference Number |
| 1-77 | NB | C048 | 143 | NC-73 Exit 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 1.88 | 14 | 1,211 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-77 | NB | C245 | 143 | NC-73 Exit 25 | 66 | 3.79 | 11 | 2,752 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54.80 | 2.72 | 12.50 | 1,863 | M035 |
| 1-77 | NB | C005 | 145 | US-21 Exit 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 81 | 5.36 | 67 | 29,089 |  | 2.6 | 5. | 51 | 19 | M036 |
| 1-77 | NB | C232 | 145 | US-21 Exit 28 | 57 | 4.72 | 36 | 9,678 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | . 14 | 51.50 | 19,207 | O36 |
| I-77 | SB | C034 | 146 | Griffith St Exit 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 69 | 1.77 | 24 | 2,931 |  | 3.03 | 74 |  |  |  |
| I-77 | SB | C274 | 146 | Griffith St <br> Exit 30 | 50 | 1.66 | 11 | 914 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.03 | 1.74 | O | 1,914 | M037 |
| I-77 | NB | C018 | 147 | Griffith St Exit 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 103 | 6.45 | 15 | 9,965 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-77 | NB | C001 | 147 | Iredell/Meckle nburg County Line |  |  |  |  |  | 238 | 9.83 | 62 | 145,051 | 118,736 | 190 | 8 | 27.25 | 46,401 | M03 |
| I-77 | NB | C220 | 147 | Iredell/ Mecklenburg County Line | 148 | 8.1 | 16 | 19,171 | 10,483 |  |  |  |  |  | 190.80 |  | 27.25 | 46,401 | , |
| I-77 | NB | C222 | 147 | Griffith St Exit 30 | 133 | 8.56 | 16 | 18,216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-485 | Outer | C258 | 180 | West Blvd/Garrison Rd Exit 6 | 39 | 2.45 | 19 | 1,818 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,818 | M039 |
| I-485 | Inner | C266 | 181 | West Blvd/Garrison Rd Exit 6 | 45 | 1.26 | 20 | 1,135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,135 | M040 |
| I-485 | Outer | C057 | 182 | US-74/US29/Wilkinson Blvd/Exit 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 49 | 0.82 | 18 | 723 |  | 5722 | 138 | 18.50 | 1.460 | M041 |
| I-485 | Outer | C249 | 182 | US-74/US- <br> 29/Wilkinson <br> Blvd/Exit 6 | 65 | 1.91 | 19 | 2,357 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57.22 |  | 18.50 | 1,460 |  |
| 1-485 | Outer | C277 | 224 | Idlewild Rd <br> Exit 49 | 38 | 1.49 | 13 | 737 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 737 | M043 |
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|  |  |  |  |  | September Congestion |  |  |  |  | April Congestion |  |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO <br> Freeway |  | Bottleneck Reference Number | Mainline Primary Log 1 | FROM Cross Street (from Congestion Scan) |  | Average max length (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 』 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & U \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Impact factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { U. } \\ & \text { d } \\ & \frac{2}{y} \\ & \text { U } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Impact Factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact factor | Merged Congestion Reference Number |
| I-77 | SB | C268 | 119 | I-277/NC- <br> 16/W 11th <br> St/Brookshire <br> Exit 11 | 61 | 1.62 | 11 | 1,086 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,086 | M044 |
| I-77 | NB | C226 | 128 | I-85/ Statesville Ave Exit 13 | 97 | 6.77 | 20 | 13,137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,137 | M045 |
| I-77 | SB | C004 | 136 | I-485 Exit 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 160 | 9.88 | 23 | 36,358 |  |  |  |  | 36,358 | M046 |
| 1-77 | SB | C039 | 141 | NC-73 Exit 25 |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 3.31 | 13 | 2,496 |  |  |  |  | 2,496 | M047 |
| I-77 | SB | C020 | 150 | US-21 Exit 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 106 | 3.57 | 26 | 9,839 |  |  |  |  | 9,839 | M048 |
| 1-485 | Inner | C030 | 177 | NC- <br> 160/Steele <br> Creek Rd <br> Exit 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 62 | 2.98 | 23 | 4,249 |  | 5.51 | 285 | 20.50 | 3,831 | M049 |
| 1-485 | Inner | C243 | 177 | NC160/Steele Creek Rd Exit 4 | 70 | 2.69 | 18 | 3,390 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-277 | NB | C025 | 21 | W 5Th St Exit 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 67 | 1.17 | 83 | 6,506 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-277 | NB | C239 | 21 | W 5Th St Exit 5 | 55 | 1.13 | 64 | 3,980 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61.78 | 1.15 | 73.50 | 5,233 | M050 |
| 1-485 | Outer | C019 | 230 | NC-16 Providence Rd Exit 57 |  |  |  |  |  | 118 | 6.48 | 13 | 9,940 |  | 119.28 | 6.24 | 18.00 | 13,391 | M051 |
| 1-485 | Outer | C223 | 230 | NC-16 Providence Rd Exit 57 | 120 | 6.1 | 23 | 16,824 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 119.28 | 6.24 | 18.00 | 13,391 | M051 |
| I-485 | Inner | C011 | 231 | NC-16 Providence Rd Exit 57 |  |  |  |  |  | 76 | 6.56 | 34 | 16,951 |  | 77.69 | 6.17 | 29.50 | 14,132 | M052 |
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|  |  |  |  |  | September Congestion |  |  |  |  | April Congestion |  |  |  |  | Average |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TO Freeway |  | Bottleneck Reference Number | Mainline Primary Log 1 | FROM Cross Street (from Congestion Scan) |  | Average max length (miles) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 』 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Impact factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact <br> Factor | Ave Impact Factor |  | Average Max Length (miles) |  | Impact factor | Merged Congestion Reference Number |
| 1-485 | Inner | C227 | 231 | NC-16 Providence Rd Exit 57 | 80 | 5.63 | 25 | 11,254 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-485 | Outer | C016 | 234 | Rea Rd <br> Exit 59 |  |  |  |  |  | 101 | 4.26 | 25 | 10,757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I-485 | Outer | C262 | 234 | Rea Rd <br> Exit 59 | 62 | 2.06 | 11 | 1,407 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89.0 | 3.59 | 18.00 | 5,753 | M053 |
| I-485 | Inner | C023 | 236 | Rea Rd <br> Exit 59 |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 6.74 | 13 | 7,798 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-485 | Inner | C240 | 236 | Rea Rd <br> Exit 59 | 59 | 4.47 | 15 | 3,960 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 72.9 | 5.52 | 14.00 | 5,640 | M054 |
| 1-485 | Outer | C038 | 238 | US-521 <br> Exit 61 |  |  |  |  |  | 52 | 2.2 | 22 | 2,517 |  |  |  |  | 2,517 | M055 |
| 1-485 | Outer | C044 | 246 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { I-77/US-21 } \\ & \text { Exit } 67 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 1.32 | 25 | 1,749 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-485 | Outer | C263 | 246 | I-77/US-21 <br> Exit 67 | 55 | 1.12 | 22 | 1,360 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53.94 | 1.23 | 23.50 | 1,554 | M056 |
| I-85 | NB | C059 | 42 | I-485 Exit 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 41 | 1.88 | 9 | 694 |  |  |  |  | 694 | M057 |
| US 74 | WB |  |  | Briar Creek Rd./Television Lane |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 2.17 | 26 | 4,623 |  | 82.83 | 2.72 | 18.00 | 4,056 |  |
| US 74 | WB |  |  | Hawthorne Road |  |  |  |  |  | 85 | 4.15 | 10 | 3,526 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 74 | EB |  |  | I-277 | 22 | 1.03 | 6 | 136 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 6. Example showing Calculation of Multiple and Group Sites (Continued on Next Page)
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$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average Max } \\ \text { Length (miles) } \\ \left(F_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { No. of } \\ \text { Occurrences } \\ (\mathbf{G})\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Average } \\ \text { Duration (min) } \\ (\mathbf{J})\end{array} \\ \text { Congestion } & 7.98 & 36 & 93.39 \\ \hline \text { M028 } & 3.51 & 19 & 159.43 \\ \text { M026 } & 3.23 & 10 & 89.05 \\ \text { M025 } & 4.34 & 28 & 86.07 \\ \text { M024 } & 3.10 & 10 & 60.00 \\ \text { M022 } & \end{array}\right] \quad$ All data in these cells

| Site 105 | Average Max <br> Length (miles) $(F)=F_{T}-E$ | No. of Occurrences <br> (G) | Average Duration (min) $(H)=F / F_{T} \times J$ | Impact $\text { (I) }=F_{x} G_{x H}$ | start of botteneck | Distance from Primary Site (E) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M028 | 3.70 | 36 | 43.30 | 5767.71 |  | 4.28 |
| M026 | 1.13 | 19 | 51.33 | 1101.98 |  | 2.38 |
| M025 | 2.24 | 10 | 61.76 | 1383.34 |  | 0.99 |
| M024 | 4.34 | 28 | 86.07 | 10459.23 |  | 0 |
| Total | 3.21 | 93 | 59.80 | 17856.21 |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | $\xrightarrow{ }$ |  |  |  |
|  | ( $\left(\mathrm{F}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {nosoc }}{ }^{+}$ |  | (( $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {nasox }}{ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ( $\left.\mathrm{F}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {moser }}{ }^{+}$ |  | $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {nepos }}{ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ( $\left.\mathrm{F}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {masox }}{ }^{+}$ | SUM of G | $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {anose }}{ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \left(F^{*} G\right)_{\text {noso }} y \\ \text { Total G } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \left(\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {nsos }} \mathrm{y} \\ \text { Total G } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |


| Site 103 | Average Max Length (miles) $(F)=F_{T}-E$ | No. of Occurrences <br> (G) | Average Duration (min) $(H)=F / F_{T} \times J$ | Impact $\text { (I) }=F \times G \times H$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M028 | 2.33 | 36 | 27.27 | 2287.24 |
| M025 | 0.87 | 10 | 23.99 | 208.67 |
| M024 | 2.63 | 28 | 52.16 | 3840.89 |
| M022 | 3.10 | 10 | 60.00 | 1860.00 |
| Total | 2.35 | 84 | 39.07 | 7705.47 |


((F*'G) moos ${ }^{+}$
(( $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {masoc }}{ }^{+}$
( $\left.\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {napos }}{ }^{+}$
( $\left.\mathrm{F}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {mon }}{ }^{+}$
( $\left.F^{*} G\right)_{\text {meno }}{ }^{*}$ ( $\left.F^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {modo }}$ )
Total G
SUM of $\mathrm{G} \quad\left(\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {moxec }}{ }^{+}$
$\left(\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {moxod }} \mathrm{y}^{\prime}$
Total G

| Site 101 | Average Max <br> Length (miles) <br> $(\mathbf{F})=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathbf{E}$ | No. of <br> Occurrences <br> $(\mathbf{G})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M028 | 1.73 | 36 |
| M025 | 0.27 | 10 |
| M024 | 2.03 | 28 |
| M022 | 2.46 | 10 |
| Total | 1.74 | 84 |


| Average Duration ( min ) $(H)=F / F_{T} \times J$ |
| :---: |
| 20.25 |
| 7.44 |
| 40.26 |
| 47.61 |
| 28.65 |
| 1 |
| $\left(\left(\mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {moso }}{ }^{+}\right.$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {moxx }}{ }^{+}$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {moxec }}{ }^{+}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \left(\mathrm{H}^{*} \mathrm{G}\right)_{\text {moxoc }} \mathrm{V} \\ \text { Total G } \end{gathered}$ |


| Distance from <br> Primary Site <br> ( E ) |
| :---: |
| $4.28+1.37+0.6$ |
| $0.99+1.37+0.6$ |
| $1.71+0.6$ |
| 0.64 |
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## B. 2 Calculations Performed for Multiple and Group Sites

The following figures show the calculations made for each Multiple and Group site using the assumptions above.

The information shown on the diagrams that follow represent the congestion sites and respective delays. The red lines beneath the line diagrams represent the distances in miles used to calculate the length of congestion over which benefits from ramp metering can be realized. For example in the first diagram, the distance between sites 033 and 035 is 2.63 miles, the distance between sites 035 and 037 is 0.9 miles and the distance between the start of congestion M006 and site 037 is 1 mile. These figures feed data into the calculations beneath the line diagram.

The sites are color coded to represent how they have been categorized. The following legend shows the respective categories:

| Site Category: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Feasible |  |
| Review in Future |  |
| Not Feasible |  |
| Not included in Detailed Analysis |  |



|  | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M004 | 5.69 | 14 | 117 |
| M006 | 4.31 | 17 | 83 |


| Site 035 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |$|$


| Site 037 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | no. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| M004 | 2.16 | 14 | 44.41 | 1343.10 |
| M006 | 3.31 | 17 | 63.74 | 3586.79 |
| Total | 2.79 | 31 | 55.01 | 4759.25 |

Figure 7. Congestion Group 1
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Figure 8. Congestion Group 2
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| Congestion | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M020 | 6.34 | 36 | 109.03 |
| M021 | 4.85 | 27 | 112.57 |
| M023 | 3.26 | 17 | 66.94 |
| M027 | 3.81 | 48 | 77.90 |


| Site 93 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M020 | 6.34 | 36 | 109.03 |


| Site 097 | Average max length (miles) | no. of occurrences | Average duration (min) | impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M020 | 5.80 | 36 | 99.74 | 20811.95 |


| Site 102 | Average max length (miles) | No. of occurrences | Average duration (min) | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M020 | 3.42 | 36 | 58.78 | 7229.90 |
| M021 | 4.85 | 27 | 112.57 | 14455.73 |
| Total | 4.02 | 63 | 81.59 | 20512.00 |


| Site 104 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | no. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| M020 | 2.42 | 36 | 41.58 | 3616.86 |
| M1021 | 3.75 | 27 | 87.01 | 8637.95 |
| M023 | 3.26 | 17 | 66.94 | 3711.22 |
| Total | 3.04 | 80 | 62.15 | 15020.95 |


| Site 111 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M021 | 0.10 | 27 | 2.23 | 5.68 |
| M027 | 3.81 | 48 | 77.90 | 14227.28 |
| Total | 2.49 | 75 | 50.98 | 9441.25 |


| Site 099 | Not Feasible |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ | Not Feasible |
| Site $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ | Not Feasible |

Figure 9. Congestion Group 3
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| Congestion | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M028 | 7.98 | 36 | 93.39 |
| M026 | 3.51 | 19 | 159.43 |
| M025 | 3.23 | 10 | 89.05 |
| M024 | 4.34 | 28 | 86.07 |
| M022 | 3.10 | 10 | 60.00 |


| Site 105 | Average max length (miles) | No. of occurrences | Average duration (min) | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M028 | 3.70 | 36 | 43.28 | 5678.89 |
| M026 | 1.13 | 19 | 51.47 | 1080.33 |
| M025 | 2.24 | 10 | 61.79 | 1317.03 |
| M024 | 4.34 | 28 | 86.07 | 10452.94 |
| Total | 3.22 | 92 | 59.95 | 17683.64 |


| Site 103 | Average max length (miles) | No. of occurrences | Average duration (min) | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M028 | 2.33 | 36 | 27.24 | 2249.29 |
| M025 | 0.87 | 10 | 24.06 | 199.70 |
| M024 | 2.63 | 28 | 52.14 | 3835.50 |
| M022 | 3.10 | 10 | 60.00 | 1860.00 |
| Total | 2.35 | 83 | 39.22 | 7665.60 |


| Site 101 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | no. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| M028 | 1.73 | 36 | 20.21 | 1238.61 |
| M025 | 0.27 | 10 | 7.54 | 19.60 |
| M024 | 2.03 | 28 | 40.23 | 2283.71 |
| M022 | 2.46 | 10 | 47.61 | 1171.28 |
| Total | 1.75 | 83 | 28.82 | 4185.39 |

Figure 10. Congestion Group 4
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| Congestion | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| M031 | 8.76 | 56 | 128.00 |$\quad$ F2F


| Site 129 | Average max length (miles) | No. of occurrences | Average duration (min) | Impact |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M031 | 8.76 | 56 | 128.00 | 62791.68 | start of bottleneck |
| M045 | 6.77 | 20 | 97.00 | 13133.80 | start of bottleneck |
| Total | 8.24 | 76 | 119.84 | 75016.36 |  |

Figure 11. Congestion Group 5
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$\stackrel{2.85}{\longleftrightarrow}$
$2.46 \longrightarrow$

| Congestion | Average <br> max <br> length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M038 | 8.92 | 27 | 190.80 |
| M036 | 5.14 | 52 | 72.61 |
| M035 | 2.72 | 13 | 54.80 |
| M033 | 1.56 | 16 | 49.59 |


| Site 147 | Average <br> max <br> length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| M038 | 8.92 | 27 | 190.80 |


| Site 145 | Average <br> max <br> length | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M038 | 7.35 | 27 | 157.23 | 31511.04 |
| M036 | 5.14 | 52 | 72.61 | 19207.23 |
| Total | 5.90 | 79 | 101.89 | 47373.25 |


| Site $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | Average <br> max <br> length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M038 | 4.50 | 27 | 96.30 | 11820.87 |
| M036 | 2.29 | 52 | 32.32 | 3805.68 |
| M035 | 2.72 | 13 | 54.80 | 1863.47 |
| Total | 3.01 | 91 | 54.51 | 14962.11 |


| Site $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ | Average <br> max <br> length | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M033 | 1.56 | 16 | 49.59 | 1237.61 |
| M038 | 2.05 | 27 | 43.92 | 2459.05 |
| M035 | 0.26 | 13 | 5.25 | 17.07 |
| Total | 1.51 | 56 | 36.88 | 3104.99 |

Figure 12. Congestion Group 6
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| Congestion | lverage <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| M051 | 6.24 | 18 | 119.28 |
| M053 | 3.59 | 18 | 89.08 |
| M055 | 2.20 | 22 | 52.00 |


| Site 230 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M051 | 6.24 | 18 | 119.28 |
| start of bottleneck |  |  |  |


| Site 232 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M051 | 5.99 | 18 | 114.50 | 12339.33 |


| Site 234 | Average max length (miles) | No. of occurrences | Average duration (min) | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M051 | 3.65 | 18 | 69.75 | 4578.94 |
| M053 | 3.59 | 18 | 89.08 | 5753.00 |
| Total | 3.62 | 36 | 79.42 | 10342.29 |


| Site 239 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| M051 | 1.62 | 18 | 30.93 | 900.29 |
| M053 | 1.52 | 18 | 37.69 | 1029.58 |
| M055 | 1.85 | 22 | 43.73 | 1779.70 |
| Total | 1.67 | 58 | 37.88 | 3679.27 |


| Site 235 | Not Feasible |
| :--- | :---: |
| Site 238 | Not Feasible |

Figure 13. Congestion Group 7
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| Site 231 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| M054 | 2.58 | 14 | 34.11 | 1234.07 |
| M052 | 5.90 | 30 | 74.29 | 12921.73 |
| Total | 4.83 | 44 | 61.36 | 12892.38 |


| Site 229 | Average max length (miles) | no. of occurrences | Average duration (min) | impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M052 | 1.56 | 30 | 19.61 | 899.91 |

Figure 14. Congestion Group 8
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| Congestion | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M005 | 3.60 | 19 | 62.16 |


| Site 034 | Average max length (miles) | No. of occurrences | Average duration (min) | Impact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M005 | 3.60 | 19 | 62.16 | 4251.74 |


| Site 032 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> (min) | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| M005 | 2.63 |  | 19 | 45.41 |


| Site 030 | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | no. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> $(\min )$ | impact |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| M005 | 0.93 |  | 19 | 16.06 |$| 283.74$.

Figure 15. Multiple Site Congestion M005


M048

| Congestion | Average <br> max length <br> (miles) | No. of <br> occurrences | Average <br> duration <br> $(\mathrm{min})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M048 | 3.57 | 26 | 106.00 |


| Site $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | Not Feasible |
| :--- | :--- |
| Site $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ | Not Feasible |

Figure 16. Multiple Site Congestion M048
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Figure 17. Multiple Site Congestion M049

## Appendix C: Site Summaries

The Site Summaries are live documents and are presented here as they currently stand. The costs and benefits have yet to be completed; this will be done in the next phase of the project. At the end of the project, the final versions will include information on costs, benefits, and resulting place in the prioritized order for the implementation plan.

## C. 1 Individual Sites

Site Summaries 045, 067, 075, 146, 180, 181, 182, and 250 have been included in this section.

NCDOT Ramp Metering Feasibility Study Site Summary Document


Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 400 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 840 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,188 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 720 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight uphill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Yes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 34 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | Yes; Concrete drainage ditch |
|  | located 8' from edge of travel <br> lane |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: dual left turn and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Distribution pole at intersection at overpass |
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Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | Dual left lane ends - 54' \& 70' from grass island <br> "No Trucks 3 Axles" - 360' from grass island (21' off edge <br> of travel lane) |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,603 | 4,984 | 381 | 1,246 | 381 | 8 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 7,965 | 8,373 | 408 | 2,093 | 408 | 5 | No |
| 08:00 | 7,830 | 8,280 | 450 | 2,070 | 450 | 5 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 5,774 | 6,191 | 417 | 1,548 | 417 | 7 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 4,115 | 4,566 | 451 | 1,142 | 451 | 10 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,720 | 4,120 | 400 | 1,030 | 400 | 10 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,636 | 4,086 | 450 | 1,022 | 450 | 11 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,835 | 4,254 | 419 | 1,064 | 419 | 10 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 4,052 | 4,496 | 444 | 1,124 | 444 | 10 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 4,460 | 5,057 | 597 | 1,264 | 597 | 12 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 4,543 | 5,105 | 562 | 1,276 | 562 | 11 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 4,778 | 5,328 | 550 | 1,332 | 550 | 10 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 4,333 | 4,805 | 472 | 1,201 | 472 | 10 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 3,265 | 3,786 | 521 | 947 | 521 | 14 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 2,483 | 2,898 | 415 | 725 | 415 | 14 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 8,748 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 396 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 8,352 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.936 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

Congestion

| Congestion | M007 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.77 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 42 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 146 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 30-09: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 42
Of these, 29 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 19 (45\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 10 (24\%)

## Observations

Log 45 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly uphill with an average slope of $1.73 \%$. Both the travel lane and shoulder are made of asphalt and transitions to concrete at 185 ' from grass island. The pavement and shoulder condition were considered to be fair. The left shoulder has a width of 8' and the right shoulder has a width of 8 '. There is a run of guardrail/barrier wall 351 ' from the grass island with an offset of 14 ' from edge of travel lane, guardrail is 64 ' long and transitions to barrier wall. There is a concrete drainage ditch running along the entrance ramp 8' from edge of travel lane. Utility light poles located directly behind guardrails.

Small PM peak of congestion in traffic count

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a free-volume intersection. It has storage for approximately 34 vehicles.

This is a primary site for congestion problem M007. This is a reasonably serious congestion problem, however it appears that it is caused by traffic queueing to leave the freeway at a downstream off ramp. This is not a problem that ramp metering can address.

Downstream volumes and ideal and ramp volumes are acceptable during the congested period.

This is not a feasible site for ramp metering as it will not address the congestion problem which is caused by traffic queuing for a downstream off ramp.

## Site Categorization

Not feasible
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## Site Details



Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 660 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 570 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 595 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 640 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Yes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 23 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: left turn and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | No Signs |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,869 | 4,191 | 322 | 1,048 | 322 | 8 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 5,735 | 6,179 | 444 | 1,545 | 444 | 7 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 6,387 | 6,906 | 519 | 1,727 | 519 | 8 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 5,910 | 6,287 | 377 | 1,572 | 377 | 6 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 5,535 | 5,920 | 385 | 1,480 | 385 | 7 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 5,502 | 5,933 | 431 | 1,483 | 431 | 7 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 5,600 | 6,073 | 473 | 1,518 | 473 | 8 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 5,898 | 6,337 | 439 | 1,584 | 439 | 7 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 6,289 | 6,758 | 469 | 1,690 | 469 | 7 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 6,830 | 7,370 | 540 | 1,843 | 540 | 7 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 7,700 | 8,310 | 610 | 2,078 | 610 | 7 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 7,881 | 8,456 | 575 | 2,114 | 575 | 7 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 6,951 | 7,422 | 471 | 1,856 | 471 | 6 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 5,654 | 6,013 | 359 | 1,503 | 359 | 6 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 4,266 | 4,541 | 275 | 1,135 | 275 | 6 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 8,577 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 606 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,971 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.955 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M011 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.81 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 100.18 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 201 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $15: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 76 Of these, 51 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 27 (36\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 24 (32\%)

## Observations

Log 67 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-1.17 \%$, and merges to a slight uphill grade on the mainline. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement and shoulder condition were considered to be poor to fair. The left shoulder has a width of 4.5 ' and the right shoulder has a width of $9.5^{\prime}$. There is a run of guardrail $464^{\prime}$ from the crosswalk at start of the ramp that transitions to barrier wall at 602' from the crosswalk at start of the ramp. Concrete curbing gutter runs length of Entrance Ramp to barrier wall at 12' offset from edge of travel lane. Road behind barrier/retaining wall so there is no room to expand outside of ramp.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection. It has capacity to store approximately 23 vehicles on the ramp.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M011 and is congested during the PM peak. It is an individual site, meaning it is not associated with any other congestion problems.

Downstream and ramp volumes are with the ideal range during the congested period. The entrance ramp volumes are toward the lower end of the range for ideal conditions but will still provide a benefit.

There is scope for ramp metering to provide a benefit here. It would operate best as a single lane at the ramp metering stop line, however if additional storage is required, it would be possible to widen the entrance ramp and have a lane drop before the signals.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 1,450 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,235 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,510 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 5,025 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 49 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Two-way signal; Ramp entry from single left and right <br> turns |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Pole from signal at start of ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | No Signs |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,918 | 2,118 | 200 | 530 | 200 | 9 | No |
| 07:00 | 3,019 | 3,358 | 339 | 840 | 339 | 10 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 3,387 | 3,726 | 339 | 932 | 339 | 9 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,292 | 3,579 | 287 | 895 | 287 | 8 | No |
| 10:00 | 3,560 | 3,877 | 317 | 969 | 317 | 8 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 4,058 | 4,451 | 393 | 1,113 | 393 | 9 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 4,291 | 4,780 | 489 | 1,195 | 489 | 10 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 4,264 | 4,713 | 449 | 1,178 | 449 | 10 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 4,615 | 5,093 | 478 | 1,273 | 478 | 9 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 5,396 | 6,048 | 652 | 1,512 | 652 | 11 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 5,899 | 6,825 | 926 | 1,706 | 926 | 14 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 6,025 | 7,116 | 1,091 | 1,779 | 1,091 | 15 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 5,396 | 6,069 | 673 | 1,517 | 673 | 11 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 4,075 | 4,565 | 490 | 1,141 | 490 | 11 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 3,298 | 3,614 | 316 | 904 | 316 | 9 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,116 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 1,091 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,025 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.981 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M013 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.29 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 41 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 122 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $17: 00-18: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 56
Of these, 48 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 26 (46\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 22 (39\%)

## Observations

Log 075 is a direct ramp. The grade is level with an average slope of -2.23\%. Both the travel lane and shoulder are made of asphalt. The pavement and shoulder condition were considered to be poor. The left shoulder has a width of 3 " and the right shoulder had a width of 4'. There is a guardrail that runs the length of the ramp with an offset of 13 ' from edge of travel lane. Drop-off directly behind guardrail. Trees on inside of ramp may pose minor sight distance issue.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from an un-signalized intersection. It has capacity to store approximately 49 vehicles on the ramp.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M013 and is congested during the PM peak. It is an individual site, meaning it is not associated with any other congestion problems. The level of congestion is relatively low.

Downstream and ramp volumes are acceptable during congestion. The ramp volume is on the high side for a single lane, so ramp metering would operate better if this site was metered as two lanes. However this is not absolutely necessary for some benefit to be gained.

This site is primary to congestion problem to M013. The site has potential to ease weaving movements downstream. The site would operate more effectively if increased to two entrance ramp lanes, although this will present increased costs. While it is desirable to have a second lane, the system will work in the current single lane configuration with more limited effect.

This site should be easy to implement, although if the second lane option is chosen, this could add significantly to the cost.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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## Site Details

| Site Number | 146 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-77 |  |
| Cross Street | Goodrum Road / Griffith Street |  |
| Exit | 30 |  |
| Direction | Southbound |  |
| County | Mecklenburg |  |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Ramp | Stop-controlled Intersection with <br> free flow right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 440 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 885 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,125 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 770 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 35 |
| Guardrail | None Present |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | No Signal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power pole at start of ramp 60' from edge of travel lane <br> inside of ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | No Signs |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,665 | 3,905 | 240 | 1,953 | 240 | 6 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,984 | 3,231 | 247 | 1,616 | 247 | 8 | No |
| 08:00 | 2,865 | 3,181 | 316 | 1,591 | 316 | 10 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,254 | 3,574 | 320 | 1,787 | 320 | 9 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 3,458 | 3,808 | 350 | 1,904 | 350 | 9 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,418 | 3,873 | 455 | 1,937 | 455 | 12 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,429 | 3,883 | 454 | 1,942 | 454 | 12 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,605 | 4,011 | 406 | 2,006 | 406 | 10 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 3,498 | 3,894 | 396 | 1,947 | 396 | 10 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 3,713 | 4,244 | 531 | 2,122 | 531 | 13 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 3,768 | 4,355 | 587 | 2,178 | 587 | 13 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,991 | 4,644 | 653 | 2,322 | 653 | 14 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,767 | 4,186 | 419 | 2,093 | 419 | 10 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,419 | 2,688 | 269 | 1,344 | 269 | 10 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,168 | 2,378 | 210 | 1,189 | 210 | 9 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,644 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 653 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,991 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.940 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M037 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.74 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 63.03 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 213 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $13: 00-17: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 37 Of these, 31 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 26 (70\%)
Type 28-Sideswipe, same direction: 5 (14\%)

## Observations

Log 146 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-1.27 \%$. The pavement and shoulder type are asphalt. The pavement and shoulder condition were considered to be poor. The left and right side of both shoulders have a width of 2' along the ramp. Concrete drainage structure 12 ' from edge of travel lane. The tree line occurs at 28 ' from edge of travel lane.

Peak flow greater than 2,000 vehicles per hour per lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane ramp with storage for approximately 35 vehicles, fed from a partially stop-controlled, partially free-flow link.

There is quite a lot of congestion at this location and it is the primary site for M037. Ramp metering should provide congestion benefits at this location.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period.
This site seems to be a good candidate for ramp metering. The limited storage is a concern, however RM would not operate effectively using a two lane stop line because the ramp volumes are too low most of the time. There could be some scope for widening the storage area with a lane drop approaching the stop line, but this is not necessary.

No specific implementation problems have been identified, however adding an extra lane for storage, but not metering, might be beneficial.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details

| Site Number | 180 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-485 |  |
| Cross Street | West Boulevard |  |
| Exit | 6 |  |
| Direction | Outer |  |
| County | Mecklenburg |  |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Stop Controlled Intersection with <br> Free Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 475 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,400 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,610 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,350 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Yes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 56 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | No Signal; Stop Controlled |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles across street (exit ramp side) |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,478 | 4,609 | 131 | 1,536 | 131 | 3 | No |
| 07:00 | 7,200 | 7,459 | 259 | 2,486 | 259 | 3 | No |
| 08:00 | 6,999 | 7,201 | 202 | 2,400 | 202 | 3 | No |
| 09:00 | 4,729 | 4,848 | 119 | 1,616 | 119 | 2 | No |
| 10:00 | 3,886 | 3,989 | 103 | 1,330 | 103 | 3 | No |
| 11:00 | 3,696 | 3,845 | 149 | 1,282 | 149 | 4 | No |
| 12:00 | 3,917 | 4,063 | 146 | 1,354 | 146 | 4 | No |
| 13:00 | 4,444 | 4,593 | 149 | 1,531 | 149 | 3 | No |
| 14:00 | 4,753 | 4,938 | 185 | 1,646 | 185 | 4 | No |
| 15:00 | 5,298 | 5,558 | 260 | 1,853 | 260 | 5 | No |
| 16:00 | 5,990 | 6,298 | 308 | 2,099 | 308 | 5 | No |
| 17:00 | 5,695 | 6,014 | 319 | 2,005 | 319 | 5 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 4,878 | 5,111 | 233 | 1,704 | 233 | 5 | No |
| 19:00 | 3,957 | 4,056 | 99 | 1,352 | 99 | 2 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,741 | 2,802 | 61 | 934 | 61 | 2 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,565 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 267 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,298 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.965 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M039 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.45 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 39 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 19 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 30-09: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 12 Of these, 1 was an accident which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 0 (0\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 1 (8\%)

## Observations

Log 180 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-1.5 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single left turn, a thru movement and a yield controlled right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. Both the travel lane and shoulder switch to concrete at the end of the ramp. The pavement condition was considered to be fair. The left shoulder has a width of 4' and the right shoulder had a width of 10'. Drainage structures are located 27' from the edge of travel lane at the start and end of the ramp. The tree line occurs 25 ' from the edge of travel lane.

Peak volume is greater than 2,000 vehicles per hour per lane.
Typical times of congestion from the Bottleneck Ranking tool doesn't match the suitability criteria from the Traffic Count analysis (see 'Flow Summary' tab of Traffic Data spreadsheet).

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a stop controlled intersection with free-flow right turn. It has storage for approximately 56 vehicles.

This is a primary site for congestion problem M039.
Ramp volumes are too low during the congested period for ramp metering to operate effectively.

Although this is a primary site, the ramp volumes are too low for RM to operate effectively during congestion. There would be no congestion benefit from RM at its location.

## Site Categorization

## Not feasible
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Site Details

| Site Number | 181 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-485 |  |
| Cross Street | West Boulevard |  |
| Exit | 6 | nmorome rarmaty |
| Direction | Inner |  |
| County | Mecklenburg |  |
| Nothat |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Stop controlled with Free Flow <br> Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 1,700 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,490 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,700 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,700 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight downill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Yes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 60 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | No Signal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power poles at start of the ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | No Signs |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,802 | 1,894 | 92 | 631 | 92 | 5 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,794 | 2,969 | 175 | 990 | 175 | 6 | No |
| 08:00 | 3,241 | 3,379 | 138 | 1,126 | 138 | 4 | No |
| 09:00 | 1,583 | 1,714 | 131 | 571 | 131 | 8 | No |
| 10:00 | 1,507 | 1,650 | 143 | 550 | 143 | 9 | No |
| 11:00 | 1,507 | 1,652 | 145 | 551 | 145 | 9 | No |
| 12:00 | 1,632 | 1,774 | 142 | 591 | 142 | 8 | No |
| 13:00 | 1,683 | 1,856 | 173 | 619 | 173 | 9 | No |
| 14:00 | 1,884 | 2,103 | 219 | 701 | 219 | 10 | No |
| 15:00 | 2,234 | 2,624 | 390 | 875 | 390 | 15 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 2,470 | 3,104 | 634 | 1,035 | 634 | 20 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 2,404 | 3,089 | 685 | 1,030 | 685 | 22 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 1,885 | 2,211 | 326 | 737 | 326 | 15 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 1,466 | 1,602 | 136 | 534 | 136 | 8 | No |
| 20:00 | 1,060 | 1,152 | 92 | 384 | 92 | 8 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,658 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 149 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,509 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.912 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | B |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M040 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 45 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 1.26 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 243 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $15: 30-18: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 22 Of these, 6 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 2 (9\%)
Type 28-Sideswipe, same direction: 4 (18\%)

## Observations

Log 181 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-0.467 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single left turn, a thru movement and right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. Both the travel lane and shoulder switch to concrete at the end of the ramp. The pavement condition was considered to be fair. The left shoulder has a width of $4^{\prime}$ and the right shoulder had a width of 11'. Guardrail is located towards the end of the ramp 192' from the concrete/asphalt pavement break. Drainage structures are located 30' from the edge of travel lane throughout the ramp. The drop-off/tree line occurs 10' behind the guardrail.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp fed by a signalized intersection with free-flow right turn. It has approximately 60 vehicle storage and the physical characteristics appear to be good for RM.

This is the primary site for M040, it has a comparatively small amount of congestion during the PM peak, although some benefits could be gained from ramp metering.

Downstream volumes are acceptable and ramp volumes are slightly low to ideal during the congested period if metered as a single lane.

This site offers the potential for benefits and there do not appear to be any implementation issues although the level of congestion is relatively low.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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## Site Details



Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 2,975 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 3 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 2,600 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 3,050 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 2,975 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly uphill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Yes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 312 |
| Guardrail | Yes; 440' from concrete median |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: left turn and right turn <br> Nearest Power Source Power Poles at signal |
| :--- |
| Signing Overview |
| Existing Signing | Wrong Way sign located in concrete median $\quad$|  |
| :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,412 | 1,908 | 496 | 636 | 165 | 26 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,345 | 3,214 | 869 | 1,071 | 290 | 27 | No |
| 08:00 | 2,470 | 3,122 | 652 | 1,041 | 217 | 21 | No |
| 09:00 | 1,192 | 1,583 | 391 | 528 | 130 | 25 | No |
| 10:00 | 1,104 | 1,507 | 403 | 502 | 134 | 27 | No |
| 11:00 | 1,131 | 1,507 | 376 | 502 | 125 | 25 | No |
| 12:00 | 1,089 | 1,632 | 543 | 544 | 181 | 33 | No |
| 13:00 | 1,203 | 1,683 | 480 | 561 | 160 | 29 | No |
| 14:00 | 1,320 | 1,884 | 564 | 628 | 188 | 30 | No |
| 15:00 | 1,586 | 2,234 | 648 | 745 | 216 | 29 | No |
| 16:00 | 1,743 | 2,476 | 733 | 825 | 244 | 30 | No |
| 17:00 | 1,837 | 2,549 | 712 | 850 | 237 | 28 | No |
| 18:00 | 1,456 | 1,900 | 444 | 633 | 148 | 23 | No |
| 19:00 | 716 | 1,238 | 522 | 413 | 174 | 42 | No |
| 20:00 | 631 | 881 | 250 | 294 | 83 | 28 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,705 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 821 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 2,884 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.963 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | A |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M041 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.38 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 57.22 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 225 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 30-09: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 52 Of these, 30 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 19 (37\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 11 (21\%)

## Observations

Log 182 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly uphill with an average slope of $0.967 \%$. The ramp starts as one lane, then joins with two existing collector lanes along l-485. The ramp has entry from a single left turn and right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. Both the travel and shoulder switch to concrete towards the end of the ramp The pavement condition was considered to be fair. The left shoulder has a width of 4' and the right shoulder has a width of 10'. There is a run of guardrail 440' from the start of the concrete median and is offset 10.5 ' from the edge of travel lane. The drop-off/tree line occurs directly behind the guardrail so there is not room to move it back. A CCTV camera/cabinet is located 96 ' prior to the change in pavement type on the inside of the ramp.

Typical times of congestion from the Bottleneck Ranking tool doesn't match the suitability criteria from the Traffic Count analysis (see 'Flow Summary' tab of Traffic Data spreadsheet).

## Site Selection Comments

This is a triple lane on-ramp where dropping to two lanes before it joins the main freeway. It is made up from a collector distributor road that comes through an upstream F2F location and a single lane entrance ramp from street level. This has a complicated geometry and would need careful consideration although there is a large potential for vehicle storage, approximately 312 vehicles.

This is a primary site for congestion problem M041 in the AM peak.
An issue has been identified with the traffic volume data collected; the entrance ramp volume is only for the single lane ramp from street level.

This site could have potential for congestion benefits, although it would be a complicated site to install across three lanes. The main problem is that traffic volumes on the ramp still need to be ascertained.

This site would require a three lane meter and the CDR comes from a F2F junction so vehicles are travelling at high speed. Safety aspects would need very careful consideration in design.

## Site Categorization

Review in future
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (ft) | 77 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| On Ramp Length to Back of Gore (ft) | 820 |
| On Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (ft) | 1,050 |
| Merge Length (ft) | 275 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 33 |
| Guardrail | None Present |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |
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Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | No Signal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power pole at beginning of ramp immediately behind <br> guardrail on inside of interchange loop, 30' from end of <br> median. |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 5,144 | 5,308 | 164 | 1,769 | 164 | 3 | No |
| 07:00 | 5,311 | 5,526 | 215 | 1,842 | 215 | 4 | No |
| 08:00 | 4,903 | 5,112 | 209 | 1,704 | 209 | 4 | No |
| 09:00 | 4,055 | 4,238 | 183 | 1,413 | 183 | 4 | No |
| 10:00 | 3,384 | 3,596 | 212 | 1,199 | 212 | 6 | No |
| 11:00 | 3,074 | 3,294 | 220 | 1,098 | 220 | 7 | No |
| 12:00 | 3,688 | 3,915 | 227 | 1,305 | 227 | 6 | No |
| 13:00 | 3,793 | 4,025 | 232 | 1,342 | 232 | 6 | No |
| 14:00 | 3,828 | 4,036 | 208 | 1,345 | 208 | 5 | No |
| 15:00 | 3,750 | 3,964 | 214 | 1,321 | 214 | 5 | No |
| 16:00 | 3,683 | 3,880 | 197 | 1,293 | 197 | 5 | No |
| 17:00 | 3,641 | 3,848 | 207 | 1,283 | 207 | 5 | No |
| 18:00 | 3,380 | 3,610 | 230 | 1,203 | 230 | 6 | No |
| 19:00 | 2,658 | 2,842 | 184 | 947 | 184 | 7 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,375 | 2,573 | 162 | 846 | 162 | 6 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,526 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 215 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,311 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.979 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

Congestion

| Congestion | M058 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.17 |
| Duration of Congestion (Min) | 82.00 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 316 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-09: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 14
Of these, 9 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 5 (36\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 4 (29\%)

## Observations

$\log 250$ is a direct ramp. There will be sight distance issues as there is an earth mound between the ramp and mainline. The total average length of the entrance ramp has an average downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type are asphalt. Pavement condition is poor to fair with several cracks along the ramp. Shoulder width for the left side is approximately 4' along the entrance ramp. There is no shoulder on the right side of the ramp, only concrete curb and gutter and a concrete paved ditch.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a free flow link. It has capacity to store approximately 33 vehicles on the ramp.

This is a primary site to congestion problem M058. It is congested during the AM peak.

Downstream volumes are ideal during the congested period, but ramp volumes are too low for ramp metering to provide any benefits.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.
This site does not meet the typical design criteria for installing ramp metering due to low ramp volumes. However, the Steering Committee has requested that this site be taken forward and therefore this site is categorized as 'Review in future'.

## Site Categorization

Review in future
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## C. 2 Multiple Sites

Site Summaries 030, 032, 034, 150, 153, 175, 177, and 179 have been included in this section.
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 320 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 640 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 945 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 600 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Uphill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight Uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 26 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: left turn, and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles or More Left Lane" - 583' from <br> concrete island (19' from edge of travel lane) |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,603 | 2,945 | 342 | 982 | 342 | 12 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 3,416 | 3,756 | 340 | 1,252 | 340 | 9 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,424 | 2,762 | 338 | 921 | 338 | 12 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 2,465 | 2,883 | 418 | 961 | 418 | 14 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 2,442 | 2,879 | 437 | 960 | 437 | 15 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 2,490 | 2,942 | 452 | 981 | 452 | 15 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 2,489 | 2,984 | 495 | 995 | 495 | 17 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 2,769 | 3,330 | 561 | 1,110 | 561 | 17 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 2,859 | 3,453 | 594 | 1,151 | 594 | 17 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 2,820 | 3,475 | 655 | 1,158 | 655 | 19 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 2,779 | 3,410 | 631 | 1,137 | 631 | 19 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 2,658 | 3,284 | 626 | 1,095 | 626 | 19 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 2,340 | 2,808 | 468 | 936 | 468 | 17 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 1,925 | 2,394 | 469 | 798 | 469 | 20 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 1,732 | 2,096 | 364 | 699 | 364 | 17 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,870 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 351 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,519 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.932 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M005 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 0.93 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 16.06 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 231 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-09: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 40 Of these, 28 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 18 (45\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 10 (25\%)

## Observations

Log 30 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The overall grade is slightly uphill with an average slope of $0.5 \%$. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be poor with several cracks. The left shoulder has a width of $3.5^{\prime}$ and the right shoulder had a width of $3.5^{\prime}$. There is a run of guardrail 571' from the concrete island with an offset of 14 ' from the edge of travel lane. There is a sign "No Trucks 3 Axles" 19' from the edge of travel lane that may cause a conflict with ramp meter. Drop-off occurs 15' from the edge of travel lane. Guardrail must be moved back if more space is needed for travel lanes.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection with free-flow right turn. It has storage for approximately 26 vehicles.

This is a secondary site for congestion problem M005, for which sites 032 and 034 are downstream. The congestion at this site occurs in the AM peak.

Downstream and ramp volumes are acceptable during the congested period. The volumes on the ramp are on the low side which could affect the ability of ramp metering to provide congestion benefits.

This site suffers from a relatively small amount of congestion and is a secondary site, upstream of Sites 032 and 034 which have been identified as feasible for taking forward.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Review in future
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## Site Details



Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 250 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,020 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,420 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 540 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Slight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | No |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 41 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: left turn, and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power distribution pole at start of ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles or More Left Lane" - 362' from tip of <br> concrete median (18' from edge of travel lane) |
| :--- | :--- |

## Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,695 | 4,426 | 731 | 1,475 | 731 | 17 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 4,367 | 5,160 | 793 | 1,720 | 793 | 15 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 3,343 | 3,993 | 650 | 1,331 | 650 | 16 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,450 | 3,951 | 501 | 1,317 | 501 | 13 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 3,547 | 3,980 | 433 | 1,327 | 433 | 11 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,476 | 3,916 | 440 | 1,305 | 440 | 11 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,512 | 3,985 | 473 | 1,328 | 473 | 12 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,889 | 4,373 | 484 | 1,458 | 484 | 11 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 4,264 | 4,758 | 494 | 1,586 | 494 | 10 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 4,187 | 4,635 | 448 | 1,545 | 448 | 10 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 4,306 | 4,745 | 439 | 1,582 | 439 | 9 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 4,244 | 4,708 | 464 | 1,569 | 464 | 10 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,606 | 4,077 | 471 | 1,359 | 471 | 12 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,754 | 3,120 | 366 | 1,040 | 366 | 12 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 2,512 | 2,797 | 285 | 932 | 285 | 10 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,160 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 793 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,367 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.924 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M005 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.63 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 45.41 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 231 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-09: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 69 Of these, 50 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 37 (54\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 13 (19\%)

## Observations

$\log 32$ is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-0.93 \%$. Both the travel lane and shoulder start as concrete (231' of concrete) and transitions to asphalt. The pavement and shoulder condition were considered to be fair. The left shoulder has a width of 3.5' and the right shoulder had a width of 3 '. Guardrail runs entire length of ramp with an offset of 12' from edge of travel lane. No room to move guardrail back due to drop-off and tree line.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection with free-flow right turn. It has capacity to store approximately 41 vehicles on the ramp.

This is a secondary site to downstream site number 034 which is primary to congestion problem M005. It is congested during the AM peak.

Downstream volumes are acceptable and ramp volumes are ideal for a single lane ramp during the congested period.

This is not a primary site but could provide benefits in supporting downstream primary site 034 . There are no notable problems with the site.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 512 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 615 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 940 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 850 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight Downhill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | No |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 25 |
| Guardrail | None Present |
| Pipe Crossing | Yes; Drainage structure at 172' <br> from concrete island (20' offset <br> from edge of travel lane) |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: left turn, right turn and thru |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power distribution pole at start of ramp (Traffic Signal) |
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Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles or More Left Lane"-182' from concrete <br> island 14' off edge of travel lane <br> $2-$ "No Parking" |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,408 | 2,775 | 367 | 925 | 367 | 13 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 3,845 | 4,313 | 468 | 1,438 | 468 | 11 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 4,291 | 4,544 | 253 | 1,515 | 253 | 6 | No |
| 09:00 | 4,165 | 4,321 | 156 | 1,440 | 156 | 4 | No |
| 10:00 | 4,463 | 4,591 | 128 | 1,530 | 128 | 3 | No |
| 11:00 | 4,631 | 4,755 | 124 | 1,585 | 124 | 3 | No |
| 12:00 | 4,880 | 5,023 | 143 | 1,674 | 143 | 3 | No |
| 13:00 | 4,839 | 4,981 | 142 | 1,660 | 142 | 3 | No |
| 14:00 | 5,318 | 5,469 | 151 | 1,823 | 151 | 3 | No |
| 15:00 | 5,884 | 6,042 | 158 | 2,014 | 158 | 3 | No |
| 16:00 | 6,291 | 6,460 | 169 | 2,153 | 169 | 3 | No |
| 17:00 | 5,943 | 6,117 | 174 | 2,039 | 174 | 3 | No |
| 18:00 | 5,844 | 5,982 | 138 | 1,994 | 138 | 2 | No |
| 19:00 | 4,326 | 4,466 | 140 | 1,489 | 140 | 3 | No |
| 20:00 | 3,292 | 3,371 | 79 | 1,124 | 79 | 2 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,460 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 169 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,291 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.978 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

Congestion

| Congestion | M005 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.60 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 62.16 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 231 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-09: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 42
Of these, 29 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 19 (45\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 10 (24\%)

## Observations

$\log 34$ is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-2.77 \%$. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement and shoulder condition were considered to be poor, shoulder outside of pavement has ruts from water drainage. The left shoulder has a width of 4 ' and the right shoulder had a width of $3.5^{\prime}$. Concrete drainage structure 172' from concrete island with an offset of $20^{\prime}$ from edge of travel lane. Tree line located at $32^{\prime}$ from edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection with free-flow right turn. It has capacity to store approximately 25 vehicles on the ramp.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M005. This suffers from congestion in the AM peak.

Downstream and ramp volumes are acceptable during the congested period. The ramp volume per lane is on the low side making it only acceptable despite being a single lane.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M005 and could be supported by upstream site 032. Although the storage is limited on the ramp, the ramp volume is low so should not cause a problem. However there is scope in future to add a ramp lane if necessary, although metering currently would only be effective across one lane.

No specific implementation problems have been identified. Ramp metering should be effective with existing lane configuration.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 720 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 950 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,190 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 900 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Uphill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Discontinuous |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight Uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 38 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not signalized |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power distribution poles from across street at start of ramp |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | No Signs |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,294 | 3,677 | 383 | 1,226 | 383 | 10 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 2,908 | 3,241 | 333 | 1,080 | 333 | 10 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,877 | 3,172 | 295 | 1,057 | 295 | 9 | No |
| 09:00 | 3,102 | 3,439 | 337 | 1,146 | 337 | 10 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 2,955 | 3,309 | 354 | 1,103 | 354 | 11 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,115 | 3,462 | 347 | 1,154 | 347 | 10 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,253 | 3,627 | 374 | 1,209 | 374 | 10 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,387 | 3,740 | 353 | 1,247 | 353 | 9 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 3,316 | 3,685 | 369 | 1,228 | 369 | 10 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 3,747 | 4,091 | 344 | 1,364 | 344 | 8 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 4,525 | 4,819 | 294 | 1,606 | 294 | 6 | No |
| 17:00 | 4,481 | 4,775 | 294 | 1,592 | 294 | 6 | No |
| 18:00 | 3,801 | 4,074 | 273 | 1,358 | 273 | 7 | No |
| 19:00 | 2,185 | 2,410 | 225 | 803 | 225 | 9 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,177 | 2,344 | 167 | 781 | 167 | 7 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,841 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 306 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,535 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.937 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M048 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.57 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 106 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 316 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 64 Of these, 52 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 40 (63\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 12 (19\%)

## Observations

Log 150 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade starts slightly uphill, and midway through the ramp it turns slightly downhill with an average slope of $0.13 \%$. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be fair. The left shoulder has a width of $3.5^{\prime}$ and the right shoulder has a non-uniform width of $3.5^{\prime}$ to 10 ' along the ramp. There is a run of guardrail 433' from the start of the ramp with an offset of 13' from edge of travel lane. Guardrail does not look to be necessary; there is a bank behind guardrail with trees that are beyond clear zone at top of bank. Concrete drainage ditch runs along the ramp at 10’ from edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from an un-signalized intersection. It has storage for approximately 38 vehicles.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M048 and it is congested in the PM peak.

Entrance ramp volumes are too low during the congested period for ramp metering to be able to operate effectively.

This site is not feasible for RM because the low entrance ramp volumes will not allow RM to meter effectively, so no congestion benefits are possible. Upstream site 151 is already ruled out because of its physical characteristics and the next upstream site, 153 , is not feasible because the entrance ramp volume is too low.

## Site Categorization

Not feasible
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 700 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 2 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 860 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,500 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 950 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight downhill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 69 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: dual left turn and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power distribution pole at start of ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | Right lane ends graphical sign - 97' from end of bridge <br> wall |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,888 | 3,320 | 432 | 1,660 | 216 | 13 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,795 | 3,331 | 536 | 1,666 | 268 | 16 | No |
| 08:00 | 3,101 | 3,528 | 427 | 1,764 | 214 | 12 | No |
| 09:00 | 2,973 | 3,364 | 391 | 1,682 | 196 | 12 | No |
| 10:00 | 3,026 | 3,367 | 341 | 1,684 | 171 | 10 | No |
| 11:00 | 3,004 | 3,368 | 364 | 1,684 | 182 | 11 | No |
| 12:00 | 3,248 | 3,653 | 405 | 1,827 | 203 | 11 | No |
| 13:00 | 3,476 | 3,902 | 426 | 1,951 | 213 | 11 | No |
| 14:00 | 3,500 | 3,859 | 359 | 1,930 | 180 | 9 | No |
| 15:00 | 3,320 | 3,680 | 360 | 1,840 | 180 | 10 | No |
| 16:00 | 3,240 | 3,597 | 357 | 1,799 | 179 | 10 | No |
| 17:00 | 2,989 | 3,271 | 282 | 1,636 | 141 | 9 | No |
| 18:00 | 2,590 | 2,829 | 239 | 1,415 | 120 | 8 | No |
| 19:00 | 2,397 | 2,604 | 207 | 1,302 | 104 | 8 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,230 | 2,430 | 200 | 1,215 | 100 | 8 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,915 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 423 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,492 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.966 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M048 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.82 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 54.04 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 316 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 24 Of these, 20 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 17 (71\%)
Type 28-Sideswipe, same direction: 3 (13\%)

## Observations

Log 153 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-1.9 \%$. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The left shoulder has a width of 5' and the right shoulder has a non-uniform width of 4'-6'. There is a run of guardrail 448 ' from bridge wall (inside ramp) that has an offset of 8' from edge of travel lane. An existing electrical pull box was located at 16 ' from the edge of travel lane on outside ramp. There is a drainage concrete ditch that runs along the entrance ramp with a non-uniform offset of $4^{\prime}-6^{\prime}$ from edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a two lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection with free flow right turn. It has storage for approximately 69 vehicles.

This is a secondary site for congestion problem M048, suffering from congestion during the PM peak.

Ramp volumes are not acceptable during the congested period, they are too low for ramp metering to operate effectively.

This is a secondary site upstream of primary site 150 . Neither site has enough volume on the entrance ramp, by a wide margin, for ramp metering to have any effect on the congestion.

## Site Categorization
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with free <br> flow right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 1,840 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 895 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,265 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,840 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 36 |
| Guardrail | Yes; 15' offset from edge line |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; ramp entry from: single left turn, thru, and <br> right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles from signal |

## Signing Overview

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,360 | 2,495 | 135 | 624 | 135 | 5 | No |
| 07:00 | 4,112 | 4,439 | 327 | 1,110 | 327 | 7 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 3,925 | 4,194 | 269 | 1,049 | 269 | 6 | No |
| 09:00 | 2,807 | 2,996 | 189 | 749 | 189 | 6 | No |
| 10:00 | 2,171 | 2,328 | 157 | 582 | 157 | 7 | No |
| 11:00 | 1,953 | 2,188 | 235 | 547 | 235 | 11 | No |
| 12:00 | 2,234 | 2,500 | 266 | 625 | 266 | 11 | No |
| 13:00 | 2,398 | 2,724 | 326 | 681 | 326 | 12 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 2,810 | 3,220 | 410 | 805 | 410 | 13 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 3,326 | 3,814 | 488 | 954 | 488 | 13 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 4,757 | 5,760 | 1,003 | 1,440 | 1,003 | 17 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,304 | 6,516 | 1,212 | 1,629 | 1,212 | 19 | No |
| 18:00 | 3,861 | 4,436 | 575 | 1,109 | 575 | 13 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,228 | 2,542 | 314 | 636 | 314 | 12 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 1,631 | 1,903 | 272 | 476 | 272 | 14 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,516 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 1,212 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,304 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.939 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M049 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.26 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 29 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 256 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 30-18: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 13 Of these, 8 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 4 (31\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 4 (31\%)

## Observations

Log 175 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-1.1 \%$. The ramp has a single lane with entry from a single left, thru, and right turn movement. The ramp and shoulder are both constructed of concrete which is in fair/good condition. The left shoulder is 4.5' and the right shoulder is $4.5^{\prime}$. A run of guardrail runs halfway down the ramp and is offset 15 ' from the edge of travel lane. The drop-off/hazard occurs 3.5' behind the guardrail so it is not likely that it could be moved to gain more space for added lanes.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane ramp with storage for approximately 36 vehicles.
This is a secondary site to downstream site numbers 177 and 179 which are both feasible for RM meaning that the amount of congestion is relatively small. This site is congested in the PM peak.

The volume on the entrance ramp is too high during most of the congested period. This means that metering could cause congestion if it is unable to process the number of vehicles on the ramp.

This site would require a second lane in order for ramp metering to work and have any benefit. Adding a second lane is feasible although this site is secondary to two already select downstream sites.

No major implementation problems have been identified, but widening costs would be incurred.

## Site Categorization

Review in future
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 585 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,020 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,180 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 700 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Tight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 41 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | No Signal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Signal cabinet and power poles from exit ramp signal |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,666 | 3,181 | 515 | 1,060 | 515 | 16 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 3,962 | 4,763 | 801 | 1,588 | 801 | 17 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 4,239 | 4,826 | 587 | 1,609 | 587 | 12 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,544 | 3,978 | 434 | 1,326 | 434 | 11 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 3,109 | 3,449 | 340 | 1,150 | 340 | 10 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 2,880 | 3,268 | 388 | 1,089 | 388 | 12 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,124 | 3,548 | 424 | 1,183 | 424 | 12 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,408 | 3,857 | 449 | 1,286 | 449 | 12 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 3,742 | 4,225 | 483 | 1,408 | 483 | 11 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 4,469 | 5,154 | 685 | 1,718 | 685 | 13 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 5,126 | 6,007 | 881 | 2,002 | 881 | 15 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 4,348 | 5,072 | 724 | 1,691 | 724 | 14 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,845 | 4,428 | 583 | 1,476 | 583 | 13 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,556 | 2,978 | 422 | 993 | 422 | 14 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 2,620 | 2,943 | 323 | 981 | 323 | 11 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,124 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 873 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,251 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.916 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M049 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.44 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 56.1 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 255.5 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 30-18: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 14 Of these, 7 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 3 (21\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 4 (29\%)

## Observations

Log 177 is a loop ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-2.07 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single right turn movement. Both the travel lane and shoulder are made of asphalt, but field observations were unable to determine if both were full depth. The pavement condition was considered to be poor to fair. The inner shoulder is curb and gutter and the right shoulder had a width of 4 '. A drainage structure is 28 ' from the edge of travel lane near the beginning of the ramp. Two additional drainage structures near the middle of the ramp are 20 ' and 29 ' from edge of travel lane. A final drainage structure occurs near the end of the ramp and is 30 ' from the edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane loop ramp. Although no sight distance issues were recorded during the site visit, careful consideration should be given to this during the design of the site. This ramp is an un-signalized intersection and has storage for approximately 41 vehicles.

This is not a primary congestion site, although it could support downstream site 179 which is the primary site for congestion problem M049. The amount of congestion is low and it occurs over a three hour period during the PM peak.

Downstream volumes are acceptable and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period for a single lane ramp meter.

There are some reservations about the loop and visibility. Congestion occurs and volumes are ideal for the current single lane layout. This site would support primary site 179 which is part of the same intersection, further downstream.

No major implementation problems have been identified, beyond ensuring that issues relating to it being a loop ramp are identified and resolved.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 390 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,395 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,865 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,015 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Slight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Yes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 56 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: thru and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles near signal |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,251 | 2,301 | 50 | 767 | 50 | 2 | No |
| 07:00 | 4,256 | 4,394 | 138 | 1,465 | 138 | 3 | No |
| 08:00 | 4,036 | 4,106 | 70 | 1,369 | 70 | 2 | No |
| 09:00 | 2,970 | 3,045 | 75 | 1,015 | 75 | 2 | No |
| 10:00 | 2,674 | 2,730 | 56 | 910 | 56 | 2 | No |
| 11:00 | 2,770 | 2,825 | 55 | 942 | 55 | 2 | No |
| 12:00 | 3,033 | 3,116 | 83 | 1,039 | 83 | 3 | No |
| 13:00 | 3,506 | 3,584 | 78 | 1,195 | 78 | 2 | No |
| 14:00 | 3,912 | 4,024 | 112 | 1,341 | 112 | 3 | No |
| 15:00 | 4,967 | 5,113 | 146 | 1,704 | 146 | 3 | No |
| 16:00 | 5,898 | 6,225 | 327 | 2,075 | 327 | 5 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,532 | 6,006 | 474 | 2,002 | 474 | 8 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 4,222 | 4,347 | 125 | 1,449 | 125 | 3 | No |
| 19:00 | 3,018 | 3,092 | 74 | 1,031 | 74 | 2 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,160 | 2,201 | 41 | 734 | 41 | 2 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,225 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 327 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,898 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.991 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M049 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.85 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 65.61 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 223 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 30-18: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 26 Of these, 9 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 3 (12\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 6 (23\%)

## Observations

Log 179 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-1.4 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a thru movement and a right turn. Both the travel lane and shoulder are made of full depth asphalt. Both the travel line and shoulder change to concrete at the end of the ramp. The pavement condition was considered to be fair. The left shoulder has a width of 4' and the right shoulder had a width of 9 '. The bank on the outer edge of the ramp is 32 ' from the edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp with no sight line issues. It is fed from a signalized intersection. It has approximately 56 vehicle storage, and the physical layout appears to be good.

This is the primary site for M049. It is congested in the afternoon peak and could be linked to site 177 which would support it.

The volumes are acceptable for the two hours of usual congestion, with volumes typically being on the low side. However there is some scope for ramp metering benefit if metered as one lane.

This site could be supported by site 177 which is part of the same intersection, further upstream. This appears to be a good candidate for ramp metering in most respects although the entrance ramp volume is quite low, just acceptable during congested periods.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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## C. 3 Group Sites

Site Summaries below have been included in this section:
Group 1: 033, 035, 037
Group 2: 064, 069, 072
Group 3: 093, 097*, 099, 102, 104, 109, 111, 117
Group 4: 101, 103, 105
Group 5: 129*
Group 6: 147, 145, 143, 140
Group 7: 230, 232, 234, 235, 238, 239
Group 8: 237, 236, 233, 231, 229
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## C.3.1 Site Summaries - Group 1
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Site Details

| Site Number | 033 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-85 | 8 |
| Cross Street | McAdenville Road |  |
| Exit | 23 |  |
| Direction | Southbound |  |
| County | Gaston |  |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 525 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 535 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 735 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 860 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 21 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | Drainage structure 172' from <br> concrete island (20' offset from <br> edge of travel lane) |
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Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: single left, yield controlled <br> right turn, thru |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Signal Cabinet and Power Poles at start of ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 axles left lane" - 321' from concrete island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,326 | 2,457 | 131 | 819 | 131 | 5 | No |
| 07:00 | 3,668 | 3,975 | 307 | 1,325 | 307 | 8 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 3,990 | 4,230 | 240 | 1,410 | 240 | 6 | No |
| 09:00 | 3,739 | 3,904 | 165 | 1,301 | 165 | 4 | No |
| 10:00 | 3,526 | 3,696 | 170 | 1,232 | 170 | 5 | No |
| 11:00 | 3,667 | 3,841 | 174 | 1,280 | 174 | 5 | No |
| 12:00 | 3,685 | 3,876 | 191 | 1,292 | 191 | 5 | No |
| 13:00 | 3,638 | 3,810 | 172 | 1,270 | 172 | 5 | No |
| 14:00 | 4,067 | 4,268 | 201 | 1,423 | 201 | 5 | No |
| 15:00 | 4,782 | 5,047 | 265 | 1,682 | 265 | 5 | No |
| 16:00 | 5,283 | 5,519 | 236 | 1,840 | 236 | 4 | No |
| 17:00 | 5,220 | 5,444 | 224 | 1,815 | 224 | 4 | No |
| 18:00 | 4,094 | 4,298 | 204 | 1,433 | 204 | 5 | No |
| 19:00 | 3,516 | 3,703 | 187 | 1,234 | 187 | 5 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,570 | 2,668 | 98 | 889 | 98 | 4 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,596 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 248 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,348 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.963 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M004 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 5.69 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 117.64 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 170 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $15: 00-19: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 17
Of these, 11 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 5 (29\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 6 (35\%)

## Observations

Log 033 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with a $-0.76 \%$ slope. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single left and thru movement and a yield controlled right turn movement. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. Field observations were unable to determine if both were full depth asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be poor. The left shoulder has a width of 4 ' and the right shoulder had a width of 5.5 '. There is one "No Trucks 3 Axles Left Lane" sign located 321' from the concrete island at the start of the ramp with a 13' offset from the edge of travel lane. Three "No Parking" signs are also along the ramp. A drainage structure is 13 ' from the edge of travel lane near the end of the ramp. The bank on the outer edge of the ramp is 19 ' from the edge of travel lane.

Typical times of congestion from the Bottleneck Ranking tool doesn't match the suitability criteria from the Traffic Count analysis (see 'Flow Summary' tab of Traffic Data spreadsheet).

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from un-signalized intersection. It has storage for approximately 21 vehicles.

This is a primary site for congestion problem M004. The site suffers significant congestion in the PM peak.

During the congested period, entrance ramp volumes are approximately two thirds of the minimum level required for ramp metering to operate effectively.

Although this is a primary site, the level of entrance ramp volume during congestion is too low for RM to have any benefit.

## Site Categorization

Not feasible
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Site Details

| Site Number | 035 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-85 |  |
| Cross Street | Belmont-Mount Holly Road |  |
| Exit | 26 |  |
| Direction | Southbound | - |
| County | Gaston | e |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 580 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 815 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,260 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 850 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Level |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Downhill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 33 |
| Guardrail | Yes; ends 147' from conc. island |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way Signal; Ramp entry from single left and yield <br> controlled right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Signal Cabinet and Power Poles at start of ramp |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles Left Lane" - 183' from concrete island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,235 | 2,481 | 246 | 827 | 246 | 10 | No |
| 07:00 | 3,623 | 4,088 | 465 | 1,363 | 465 | 11 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 3,916 | 4,296 | 380 | 1,432 | 380 | 9 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,610 | 3,915 | 305 | 1,305 | 305 | 8 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 3,415 | 3,728 | 313 | 1,243 | 313 | 8 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,512 | 3,828 | 316 | 1,276 | 316 | 8 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,566 | 3,893 | 327 | 1,298 | 327 | 8 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,552 | 3,887 | 335 | 1,296 | 335 | 9 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 4,043 | 4,431 | 388 | 1,477 | 388 | 9 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 4,739 | 5,242 | 503 | 1,747 | 503 | 10 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 5,483 | 5,936 | 453 | 1,979 | 453 | 8 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,392 | 5,853 | 461 | 1,951 | 461 | 8 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 4,156 | 4,533 | 377 | 1,511 | 377 | 8 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 3,497 | 3,768 | 271 | 1,256 | 271 | 7 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,541 | 2,787 | 246 | 929 | 246 | 9 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,059 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 491 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,568 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.972 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

Congestion

| Congestion | M004, M006 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.75 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 73.93 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 377 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $15: 30-19: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 44 Of these, 34 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 19 (43\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 15 (34\%)

## Observations

Log 035 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is fairly level with an average slope of $0.133 \%$. There is one travel lane with ramp entry from a left turn and a yield controlled right turn. Both the travel lane and shoulder are constructed of asphalt, but field observations were unable to determine if both were full depth. The pavement condition was considered to be poor. The left shoulder width was 5' and the right shoulder width was $3.5^{\prime}$. There were several ruts/holes on the shoulder outside of the pavement. Guardrail starts prior to the ramp and ends 147' from the concrete island at the start of the ramp. One "No Trucks 3 Axles Left Lane" sign is located 183' from the concrete island and has a 10' offset from the edge of travel lane. Two "No Parking" signs are also located towards the middle/end of the ramp. Several new "landscape" trees were planted 30' from the edge of travel lane on the outside edge of the ramp.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection with free-flow right turn. It has capacity to store approximately 33 vehicles on the ramp.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M006 which starts just downstream of the merge as well as being a secondary site for M004 as well, the primary site being 033.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period for a single lane entrance ramp, although entrance ramp volumes are toward the lower end of ideal and become too low later during the congested period.

This appears to be a good site for providing congestion benefits with its current single lane configuration.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

NCDOT Ramp Metering Feasibility Study Site Summary Document


Site Details

| Site Number | 037 | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-85 |  |
| Cross Street | Beatty Drive / Park Street | (2atse $0^{2}$ |
| Exit | 27 |  |
| Direction | Southbound |  |
| County | Gaston |  |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Lane |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 1,120 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,037 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,565 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,080 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 41 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: Ramp entry from: dual lefts and a yield <br> controlled right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles from signal at start of ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | Large Blue Attractions sign, "Left Lane ends 2400 FT" <br> sign, "No Parking" sign |
| :--- | :--- |

## Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,150 | 2,363 | 213 | 591 | 213 | 9 | No |
| 07:00 | 3,454 | 3,909 | 455 | 977 | 455 | 12 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 3,715 | 4,058 | 343 | 1,015 | 343 | 8 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,538 | 3,791 | 253 | 948 | 253 | 7 | No |
| 10:00 | 3,263 | 3,572 | 309 | 893 | 309 | 9 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,251 | 3,630 | 379 | 908 | 379 | 10 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,319 | 3,659 | 340 | 915 | 340 | 9 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,376 | 3,699 | 323 | 925 | 323 | 9 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 3,906 | 4,299 | 393 | 1,075 | 393 | 9 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 4,667 | 5,138 | 471 | 1,285 | 471 | 9 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 5,561 | 5,969 | 408 | 1,492 | 408 | 7 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,491 | 5,843 | 352 | 1,461 | 352 | 6 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 4,617 | 4,850 | 233 | 1,213 | 233 | 5 | No |
| 19:00 | 3,485 | 3,746 | 261 | 937 | 261 | 7 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,390 | 2,619 | 229 | 655 | 229 | 9 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,029 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 371 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,658 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.987 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M004, M006 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.79 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 55.01 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 31 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $15: 30-19: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 339 Of these, 309 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 270 (80\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 39 (12\%)

## Observations

Log 037 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-0.6 \%$. The ramp begins as two lanes that merge into one lane after about 500'. Both the travel lane and shoulder are constructed of asphalt, but field observations were unable to determine if both were full depth. The left shoulder was 6.5' and the right shoulder was 4'. The pavement condition was considered to be poor. The shoulder outside of the pavement was in poor condition with several ruts/holes. A large blue "attractions" sign was located 1085' from the concrete island with a 33' offset from the edge of travel lane. A "Left Lane Ends 2400 FT" sign was located 1095' from the concrete island with an 8' offset from the edge of travel lane. One "No Parking" sign was also observed on the ramp. There looked like there was plenty of ROW to expand on the outside edge of the ramp.

Small AM peak of congestion in traffic counts.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection with free-flow right turn. It has storage for approximately 41 vehicles.

This is a secondary site for congestion problem M004 and M006. This site is congested during the PM peak.

Downstream volumes are acceptable during congestion, but entrance ramp volumes are only acceptable for three of the four hours of congestion. The entrance ramp volumes are on the low side, meaning that the site may not provide the level of congestion benefit expected, however some benefit is likely.

This location has a downstream lane drop that does not appear to be the cause of congestion. However congestion propagates through from downstream site 035 which has already been identified as feasible for taking forward. Because of the distance between the sites and the lane drop between, it is recommended that this site be reviewed in future after site 35 has been implemented.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Review in future

## C.3.2 Site Summaries - Group 2
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Site Details

| Site Number | 064 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-85 |  |
| Cross Street | Graham Street | , |
| Exit | 40 | H |
| Direction | Southbound | 51. |
| County | Mecklenburg | F1: - imare |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 1,250 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 680 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 885 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,500 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Tight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 27 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: left turn, thru, and yield <br> controlled right turn. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles from signal |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles Left Lane" - 262' from concrete island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,185 | 4,776 | 591 | 1,194 | 591 | 12 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 5,182 | 5,823 | 641 | 1,456 | 641 | 11 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 4,365 | 4,919 | 554 | 1,230 | 554 | 11 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 5,020 | 5,630 | 610 | 1,408 | 610 | 11 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 5,159 | 5,673 | 514 | 1,418 | 514 | 9 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 5,362 | 5,844 | 482 | 1,461 | 482 | 8 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 5,421 | 5,925 | 504 | 1,481 | 504 | 9 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 5,685 | 6,267 | 582 | 1,567 | 582 | 9 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 5,676 | 6,337 | 661 | 1,584 | 661 | 10 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 5,575 | 6,286 | 711 | 1,572 | 711 | 11 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 5,672 | 6,319 | 647 | 1,580 | 647 | 10 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,868 | 6,512 | 644 | 1,628 | 644 | 10 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 5,677 | 6,145 | 468 | 1,536 | 468 | 8 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 5,215 | 5,622 | 407 | 1,406 | 407 | 7 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 4,804 | 5,097 | 293 | 1,274 | 293 | 6 | No |

Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,512 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 644 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,868 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.965 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

Congestion

| Congestion | M008, M009 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.75 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 53.90 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 389 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-09: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 205 Of these, 146 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 93 (45\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 53 (26\%)

## Observations

Log 033 is a loop ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is downhill with an average slope of $-2.33 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single left and thru movement and a yield controlled right turn movement. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be poor due to lots of "alligator" cracking. The inside shoulder is composed of curb and gutter and the outside shoulder had a width of 4'. There is one "No Trucks 3 Axles Left Lane" sign located 262' from the concrete island at the start of the ramp with a 6' offset from the edge of travel lane. A drainage structure is 15 ' from the inside of the curb near the middle of the ramp. Bushes line the outside shoulder of the ramp.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane loop ramp is fed from a signalized intersection with free flow right turn. Forward visibility could be an issue on this tightly curved ramp with vegetation on the inside of the curve. It has a relatively low storage of 27 vehicles.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M009 and it suffers reasonably high levels of congestion during the AM peak period. It is also a secondary site for congestion problem M008, which is associated with downstream primary F2F site 061 which is F2F.

Downstream volumes are acceptable and ramp volumes are ideal during congestion for a single lane entrance ramp.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M009. During the course of the AM peak it will become swamped by the downstream congestion from an F2F site meaning that benefits could be limited. However ramp metering should provide some benefits in the lead up to the later congestion.

This is a loop ramp so forward visibility will need to be considered during design, removal of vegetation on the inside of the curve would help.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Stop Controlled Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 680 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 5 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 5 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 960 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,260 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 960 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Level |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 38 |
| Guardrail | Yes; ends 233' from start of ramp |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Stop Controlled Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | No obvious power source, CCTV near mainline underpass |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 5,018 | 5,092 | 74 | 1,018 | 74 | 1 | No |
| 07:00 | 7,505 | 7,718 | 213 | 1,544 | 213 | 3 | No |
| 08:00 | 6,174 | 6,371 | 197 | 1,274 | 197 | 3 | No |
| 09:00 | 5,306 | 5,409 | 103 | 1,082 | 103 | 2 | No |
| 10:00 | 4,529 | 4,609 | 80 | 922 | 80 | 2 | No |
| 11:00 | 4,709 | 4,763 | 54 | 953 | 54 | 1 | No |
| 12:00 | 4,709 | 4,792 | 83 | 958 | 83 | 2 | No |
| 13:00 | 4,928 | 5,010 | 82 | 1,002 | 82 | 2 | No |
| 14:00 | 5,025 | 5,152 | 127 | 1,030 | 127 | 2 | No |
| 15:00 | 5,100 | 5,343 | 243 | 1,069 | 243 | 5 | No |
| 16:00 | 5,375 | 5,705 | 330 | 1,141 | 330 | 6 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,850 | 6,285 | 435 | 1,257 | 435 | 7 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 5,411 | 5,639 | 228 | 1,128 | 228 | 4 | No |
| 19:00 | 4,134 | 4,211 | 77 | 842 | 77 | 2 | No |
| 20:00 | 3,229 | 3,294 | 65 | 659 | 65 | 2 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,718 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 213 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,505 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.900 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

## Congestion

|  | M008, M009, <br> M012 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 0.62 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 8.98 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 389 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 30-09: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 49 Of these, 29 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 13 (27\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 16 (33\%)

## Observations

Log 069 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. While the ramp starts at a slight downgrade, the grade overall is nearly level with an average slope of $-0.033 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single left turn, a thru movement and right turn. Both the travel lane and shoulder are made of asphalt, but field observations were unable to determine if both were full depth. The pavement condition was considered to be poor to fair. The left shoulder has a width of 4-4.5' and the right shoulder had a width of 4-14'. Guardrail starts prior to the ramp and ends 233' down the ramp. A concrete ditch runs beside the guardrail and ends 225’ from the start of the ramp. Three light poles are present on the outside shoulder of the ramp and are offset 14 ' from the edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a stop controlled intersection. It has storage for approximately 38 vehicles.

This is a secondary site for congestion problems M008, M009 and M012.
Ramp volumes are too low during the congested period, by a large margin, for ramp metering to have any positive benefit on the congestion.

This location has too few vehicles entering the entrance ramp for ramp metering to have an effect on the congestion problem.

## Site Categorization

## Not feasible
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 3,000 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 2 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 5 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,200 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,570 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 4,055 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Level |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight Uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 96 |
| Guardrail | Yes; 135 ' from ramp start |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Two-way signal; Ramp entry from single left and right <br> turns |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles from signal at start of ramp |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,888 | 5,343 | 455 | 1,336 | 228 | 9 | No |
| 07:00 | 6,924 | 7,683 | 759 | 1,921 | 380 | 10 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 4,802 | 5,546 | 744 | 1,387 | 372 | 13 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 4,436 | 5,169 | 733 | 1,292 | 367 | 14 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 3,815 | 4,434 | 619 | 1,109 | 310 | 14 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,695 | 4,438 | 743 | 1,110 | 372 | 17 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,910 | 4,735 | 825 | 1,184 | 413 | 17 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 4,115 | 4,961 | 846 | 1,240 | 423 | 17 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 4,062 | 4,995 | 933 | 1,249 | 467 | 19 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 3,786 | 4,866 | 1,080 | 1,217 | 540 | 22 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 3,598 | 4,988 | 1,390 | 1,247 | 695 | 28 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,775 | 5,289 | 1,514 | 1,322 | 757 | 29 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,934 | 5,005 | 1,071 | 1,251 | 536 | 21 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 3,791 | 4,527 | 736 | 1,132 | 368 | 16 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 3,417 | 4,028 | 611 | 1,007 | 306 | 15 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,809 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 746 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,063 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.966 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | B |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M012 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.08 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 15.98 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 183 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 30-08: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 36 Of these, 24 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 12 (33\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 12 (33\%)

## Observations

Log 072 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is level with an average slope of $0.333 \%$. The ramp has two lanes and has entry from a single left turn, a thru movement and right turn. Both the travel lane and shoulder are made of asphalt, but field observations were unable to determine if both were full depth. The pavement condition was considered to be poor. The left shoulder has a width of 2' and the right shoulder had a width of $4^{\prime}$. A run of guardrail begins 135 ' from the start of the ramp and has an offset of $14^{\prime}$. A row of trees occurs $6^{\prime}$ behind the guardrail. Three light poles are located 14' from the edge of travel lane towards the end of the ramp.

No PM peak.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a double lane direct ramp with a large amount of storage at approximately 96 vehicles.

This is the secondary site for congestion problem M012, however the downstream site is not feasible for RM due to geometric issues. The site is congested in the AM peak.

Downstream and ramp volumes are acceptable during the congested period, although entrance ramp volume is on the low side due to the current two lane physical layout. However some benefits should be available.

This site could provide some benefits, although the level of congestion here is relatively low and it is not a primary site. It is notable that the ramp volume is highest in the PM peak, but this is not when the freeway suffers congestion.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward

## C.3.3 Site Summaries - Group 3
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 520 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 930 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,570 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 790 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Uphill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Discontinuous |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 37 |
| Guardrail | Yes; 370 ' from grass island |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: ramp entry from: dual left \& right turn island |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet located at intersection |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles" sign at 436' from grass island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,905 | 5,142 | 237 | 1,286 | 237 | 5 | No |
| 07:00 | 7,018 | 7,392 | 374 | 1,848 | 374 | 5 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 7,622 | 7,937 | 315 | 1,984 | 315 | 4 | No |
| 09:00 | 6,368 | 6,654 | 286 | 1,664 | 286 | 4 | No |
| 10:00 | 6,330 | 6,589 | 259 | 1,647 | 259 | 4 | No |
| 11:00 | 7,060 | 7,430 | 370 | 1,858 | 370 | 5 | No |
| 12:00 | 7,719 | 8,141 | 422 | 2,035 | 422 | 5 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 7,968 | 8,375 | 407 | 2,094 | 407 | 5 | No |
| 14:00 | 7,922 | 8,444 | 522 | 2,111 | 522 | 6 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 7,911 | 8,663 | 752 | 2,166 | 752 | 9 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 9,778 | 10,942 | 1,164 | 2,736 | 1,164 | 11 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 8,444 | 9,652 | 1,208 | 2,413 | 1,208 | 13 | No |
| 18:00 | 7,287 | 8,035 | 748 | 2,009 | 748 | 9 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 7,267 | 7,674 | 407 | 1,919 | 407 | 5 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 6,266 | 6,470 | 204 | 1,618 | 204 | 3 | No |

Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 11,256 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 1,176 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 10,080 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.907 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

Congestion

| Congestion | M020 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 6.34 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 109.03 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 438 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 30-18: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 70
Of these, 55 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 46 (66\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 9 (13\%)

## Observations

Log 93 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp average a slight $0.77 \%$ uphill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Condition of the pavement seems to be good but parts of the shoulder seems to be in a fair condition with some cracks. Right shoulder has a non-uniform width of 4' to 10' along the ramp and the left side shoulder has a width of 5 ' along the ramp. Guardrail located 13' off edge of travel lane at 370 ' from grass island. Drop-off located directly behind guardrail.

Very high traffic count values throughout the day. PM peak is significantly higher than 2000 vehicles per hour per lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from an un-signalized intersection. It has capacity to store approximately 37 vehicles on the ramp.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M020. The site has a considerable amount of congestion in the PM peak, meaning that RM could provide good benefits.

Downstream volumes are ideal and ramp volumes are acceptable for some of the congested period, but the ramp volumes are too high per lane during the worst of the congestion. In order to operate successfully this site will need to be widened to two metered lanes in order to store and process the ramp volume adequately.

This site has the potential for very good benefits, although it will need to be widened to two entrance ramp lanes for metering.

The widening of this site will incur increased costs. However, the current shoulder could be used.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Freeway |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 3,000 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 2 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes, exit to Westinghouse Road |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | $4,4^{\text {th }}$ <br> interchange drops at next |
| On Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 585 |
| On Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,290 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 3,000 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Very slight curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly uphill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | All asphalt, left shoulder 4', right <br> shoulder 12', 12' lanes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slightly uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | asphalt, 12' lanes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 47 |
| Guardrail | Upstream of gore at overhead <br> sign structure |
| Pipe Crossing | No |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | 1200 ' north in median of I-485 |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | Ramp warning speed upstream end of ramp, high <br> occupancy vehicle 2+ sign 305' upstream of gore, <br> Overhead guide sign 285' upstream of gore |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,209 | 3,709 | 1,500 | 927 | 750 | 40 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 2,564 | 4,700 | 2,136 | 1,175 | 1,068 | 45 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,237 | 4,318 | 2,081 | 1,080 | 1,041 | 48 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 2,416 | 4,075 | 1,659 | 1,019 | 830 | 41 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 2,710 | 4,029 | 1,319 | 1,007 | 660 | 33 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 2,759 | 4,079 | 1,320 | 1,020 | 660 | 32 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 2,945 | 4,324 | 1,379 | 1,081 | 690 | 32 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 2,990 | 4,509 | 1,519 | 1,127 | 760 | 34 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 3,285 | 4,967 | 1,682 | 1,242 | 841 | 34 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 3,331 | 5,397 | 2,066 | 1,349 | 1,033 | 38 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 4,005 | 6,848 | 2,843 | 1,712 | 1,422 | 42 | No |
| 17:00 | 4,506 | 7,098 | 2,592 | 1,775 | 1,296 | 37 | No |
| 18:00 | 3,465 | 5,400 | 1,935 | 1,350 | 968 | 36 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,757 | 4,120 | 1,363 | 1,030 | 682 | 33 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 2,425 | 3,409 | 984 | 852 | 492 | 29 | Yes |

Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,219 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 2,786 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,433 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.992 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

Congestion

| Congestion | M020 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 5.8 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 99.74 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 438 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $17: 00-18: 30$ |

Crash Data
$\square$

## Observations

Log 97 is a direct two-lane ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp is slightly uphill (less than 2\%). The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Pavement condition is good. Shoulder width for the right side is 12 ' along the entrance ramp and the width for the left side 4'. There is a guardrail protecting an upstream sign structure.

Upstream on this ramp the ramps from both directions of I-485 merge then 500' downstream of that the exit ramp to Westinghouse Road begins. Then 1500' downstream of that is the merge onto I-77.

Clear zone setbacks will be critical to the placement of the ramp meter equipment.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a two lane F2F ramp starting with a merge from two different directions, followed by an exit to a local road exit ramp before joining the freeway. Storage of vehicles is an issue due to the complex nature of the entrance ramp, but it is estimated to be approximately 47 vehicles.

This is a secondary site for congestion problem M020, which is a significant problem. The site is congested in the PM peak. Site 093 downstream is the primary site and this has been selected as feasible for taking forward.

Ramp volume per lane is too high for metering to be able to have an effect on the congestion.

This is effectively an F2F site. Limited storage, without affecting other movements, combined with ramp volumes being too high for metering to have a positive effect on the congestion, plus the complex nature of the layout, make this site unfeasible.

## Site Categorization

Not feasible
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 1,640 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 (Collector/Distributor road) |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 (Collector/Distributor road) |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 790 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,285 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,500 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Slight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Discontinuous |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 32 |
| Guardrail | Yes; $103 ' ~ f r o m ~ g r a s s ~ i s l a n d ~(9 ' ~ o f f ~$ <br> edge of travel lane) <br> Pipe Crossing None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: ramp entry from: dual left \& single right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power source available at beginning outside of ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles or More Left Lane" sign at 468' from <br> edge of grass island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,068 | 1,432 | 364 | 477 | 364 | 25 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 1,874 | 2,345 | 471 | 782 | 471 | 20 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,157 | 2,615 | 458 | 872 | 458 | 18 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 1,726 | 2,092 | 366 | 697 | 366 | 17 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 1,589 | 1,989 | 400 | 663 | 400 | 20 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 1,500 | 1,997 | 497 | 666 | 497 | 25 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 1,974 | 2,670 | 696 | 890 | 696 | 26 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 1,913 | 2,467 | 554 | 822 | 554 | 22 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 1,783 | 2,356 | 573 | 785 | 573 | 24 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 1,966 | 2,820 | 854 | 940 | 854 | 30 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 2,736 | 4,264 | 1,528 | 1,421 | 1,528 | 36 | No |
| 17:00 | 3,660 | 5,353 | 1,693 | 1,784 | 1,693 | 32 | No |
| 18:00 | 2,602 | 3,523 | 921 | 1,174 | 921 | 26 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 1,880 | 2,411 | 531 | 804 | 531 | 22 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 1,410 | 1,784 | 374 | 595 | 374 | 21 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway (Collector/Distributor Road) <br> Peak Volume | 5,399 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 1,793 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway <br> (Collector/Distributor Road) Peak Volume | 3,606 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.948 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M020 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 4.44 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 76.34 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 438 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 30-18: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 24
Of these, 18 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 8 (33\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 10 (42\%)

## Observations

Log 99 is a long direct ramp which merges onto the I-77 Collector/Distributor road. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has average a slight 1.1\% uphill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Condition of the pavement and shoulder seems to be good. Right side of the shoulder has a width of 6 ' and the left side of the shoulder has a width of 9'. The right side of the ramp has a guardrail and a barrier wall that are located at $103^{\prime}$ from edge of grass island with an offset of 9 ' from edge of travel lane. Drop-off located directly behind guardrail.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from an un-signalized intersection. It has storage for approximately 32 vehicles. It is part of a complex CDR arrangement and does not enter the freeway directly.

This is a secondary site for congestion problem M020. It is congested in the PM peak. The primary site for the congestion is 093 which is downstream beyond an F2F intersection.

Ramp volume is too high during congestion.
This location does not feed directly onto the freeway and the ramp volumes are too high to meter. It is not feasible for RM.

## Site Categorization

Not feasible
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 2,560 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 810 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,060 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,500 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 32 |
| Guardrail | None Present |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: ramp entry from: single left \& right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet: inside corner of ramp \& intersection |

Signing Overview
Existing Signing
"No Trucks 3 Axles" sign located at 302' from concrete island

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,609 | 4,038 | 429 | 1,010 | 429 | 11 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 5,127 | 5,625 | 498 | 1,406 | 498 | 9 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 5,614 | 6,066 | 452 | 1,517 | 452 | 7 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 4,771 | 5,023 | 252 | 1,256 | 252 | 5 | No |
| 10:00 | 4,475 | 4,727 | 252 | 1,182 | 252 | 5 | No |
| 11:00 | 4,813 | 5,129 | 316 | 1,282 | 316 | 6 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 4,826 | 5,183 | 357 | 1,296 | 357 | 7 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 5,357 | 5,738 | 381 | 1,435 | 381 | 7 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 5,496 | 5,883 | 387 | 1,471 | 387 | 7 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 5,571 | 6,104 | 533 | 1,526 | 533 | 9 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 5,533 | 6,387 | 854 | 1,597 | 854 | 13 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,247 | 6,104 | 857 | 1,526 | 857 | 14 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 4,692 | 5,306 | 614 | 1,327 | 614 | 12 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 4,448 | 4,796 | 348 | 1,199 | 348 | 7 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 3,866 | 4,106 | 240 | 1,027 | 240 | 6 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,420 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 903 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,517 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.958 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M020, M021 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 4.02 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 81.59 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 767 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $15: 30-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 35 Of these, 21 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 13 (37\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 8 (23\%)

## Observations

Log 102 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has average a slight $-1.76 \%$ downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Condition of the pavement and shoulder seems to be fair with some pavement cracks, especially along the shoulder line. The right side shoulder has a width of 5 ' and the left side shoulder has a width of 4'. The tree line towards the end of the entrance ramp has an offset of 16 ' from edge of travel lane before that is far enough that it will not cause conflicts with ramp metering.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection with right turn yield control. It has capacity to store approximately 32 vehicles on the ramp.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M021, this is a significant congestion problem so ramp metering could offer good benefits. This site is congested during the PM peak and there is a suspected weaving movement problem downstream which ramp metering could benefit.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period for a single entrance ramp lane. The entrance ramp volumes would also be feasible for a two lane metered ramp, but currently this is not required.

This site could give good benefits, addressing the downstream weaving problem. RM would work across two lanes at this location if it is found to be required, although currently it is expected that the system will work effectively as a single lane.

No specific implementation problems have been identified. It would be technically possible to widen the ramp here, although there would be cost implications.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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## Site Details

| Site Number | 104 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-77 | , min |
| Cross Street | Tyvola Road |  |
| Exit | 5 |  |
| Direction | Southbound |  |
| County | Mecklenburg |  |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 500 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 960 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 2,195 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,845 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Discontinuous |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 38 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Signalized; dual left, right turn on red |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles" sign located 378' from tip of grass <br> island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,483 | 4,760 | 277 | 1,587 | 277 | 6 | No |
| 07:00 | 6,909 | 7,424 | 515 | 2,475 | 515 | 7 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 6,676 | 7,294 | 618 | 2,431 | 618 | 8 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 5,638 | 6,126 | 488 | 2,042 | 488 | 8 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 5,664 | 6,208 | 544 | 2,069 | 544 | 9 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 6,177 | 6,891 | 714 | 2,297 | 714 | 10 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 5,567 | 6,276 | 709 | 2,092 | 709 | 11 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 6,176 | 6,948 | 772 | 2,316 | 772 | 11 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 6,097 | 6,858 | 761 | 2,286 | 761 | 11 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 6,106 | 7,031 | 925 | 2,344 | 925 | 13 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 5,502 | 6,654 | 1,152 | 2,218 | 1,152 | 17 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 4,647 | 5,768 | 1,121 | 1,923 | 1,121 | 19 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 4,460 | 5,367 | 907 | 1,789 | 907 | 17 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 5,654 | 6,270 | 616 | 2,090 | 616 | 10 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 4,835 | 5,376 | 541 | 1,792 | 541 | 10 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,645 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 635 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,010 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.986 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

Congestion

|  | M023, M020, <br> M021 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.87 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 79.05 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 767 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $15: 30-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 82 Of these, 67 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 49 (60\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 18 (22\%)

## Observations

Log 104 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has a downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Condition of the pavement and shoulder seems to be good. Right side of the shoulder has a width of 9 ' and the left side a width of 4'. The right side of the ramp has a guardrail that runs the length of the ramp and has a 10' offset from edge of travel lane. A drop-off/wetland is directly behind the guardrail and there is no room outside of guardrail for more lanes.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane entrance ramp with approximately 38 vehicles storage. The ramp originates at a signalized intersection.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M023 and also a secondary site to M020 and M021. This site is congested in the PM peak. The amount and type of congestion are feasible for RM.

Downstream volumes are ideal but ramp volumes are high during the congested period and only just acceptable for a single lane entrance ramp.

Vehicle storage is relatively low compared to the volume on the single lane. The signalized intersection could cause platoons that would overwhelm the amount of storage available. This site has the potential for benefits, but would require an additional lane for storage and to be able to process the entrance ramp volume. In addition this location is in the tail of downstream congestion problems related to site 102. It is worth assessing the effect of implementing site 102 before committing to installing ramp metering at this site as the congestion benefits may reduce.

This site would require an additional lane on the entrance ramp.

## Site Categorization

Review in future
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## Site Details



Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 960 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 720 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 960 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,230 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 29 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Signalized; 3-way, single left, right turn on red, through |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet: Inside corner of ramp \& intersection |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles" sign at 225' from concrete island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,912 | 5,116 | 204 | 1,705 | 204 | 4 | No |
| 07:00 | 7,165 | 7,505 | 340 | 2,502 | 340 | 5 | No |
| 08:00 | 6,991 | 7,299 | 308 | 2,433 | 308 | 4 | No |
| 09:00 | 6,080 | 6,337 | 257 | 2,112 | 257 | 4 | No |
| 10:00 | 6,261 | 6,516 | 255 | 2,172 | 255 | 4 | No |
| 11:00 | 6,643 | 6,907 | 264 | 2,302 | 264 | 4 | No |
| 12:00 | 6,005 | 6,278 | 273 | 2,093 | 273 | 4 | No |
| 13:00 | 6,231 | 6,538 | 307 | 2,179 | 307 | 5 | No |
| 14:00 | 6,202 | 6,486 | 284 | 2,162 | 284 | 4 | No |
| 15:00 | 6,176 | 6,472 | 296 | 2,157 | 296 | 5 | No |
| 16:00 | 5,532 | 5,858 | 326 | 1,953 | 326 | 6 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,052 | 5,301 | 249 | 1,767 | 249 | 5 | No |
| 18:00 | 5,240 | 5,462 | 222 | 1,821 | 222 | 4 | No |
| 19:00 | 5,548 | 5,756 | 208 | 1,919 | 208 | 4 | No |
| 20:00 | 4,575 | 4,739 | 164 | 1,580 | 164 | 3 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,615 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 364 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,251 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.981 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M021, M023 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 0.9 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 4.86 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 535 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $14: 30-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 104 Of these, 91 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 71 (68\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 20 (19\%)

## Observations

Log 109 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has a slight downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Condition of the pavement seems to fair with some cracks along the ramp. Shoulder has a width of 4' on each side with a poor condition. The condition of the dirt shoulder seems to be very poor with several ruts. There is a guardrail located at 715 ' from concrete Island with an offset of 10 ' from edge of travel lane. Existing utility box located at 8 ' from edge of travel lane.

No PM peak, and peak volume is greater than 2000 vehicles per hour per lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp with storage for approximately 29 vehicles. The ramp comes from a signalized intersection with free flow right turn.

This is a secondary site which is a long way back in congestion problem M021 and M023. The amount of congestion in this location is relatively small.

Ramp volume is typically too low during the congested period.
This site has little congestion, is not a primary site and the entrance ramp volumes are too low for metering. This is not a feasible site for RM.

## Site Categorization

## Not feasible
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 900 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 995 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,285 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,170 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Slight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight Uphill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 40 |
| Guardrail | Yes; 10 ' from edge of travel lane |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not signalized - Yield Controlled |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | 260 ' Northwest from concrete island |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles or More Left Lane" sign located 275' <br> from concrete island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 6,853 | 7,034 | 181 | 2,345 | 181 | 3 | No |
| 07:00 | 9,108 | 9,743 | 635 | 3,248 | 635 | 7 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 8,631 | 9,201 | 570 | 3,067 | 570 | 6 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 8,364 | 8,665 | 301 | 2,888 | 301 | 3 | No |
| 10:00 | 8,715 | 8,978 | 263 | 2,993 | 263 | 3 | No |
| 11:00 | 9,054 | 9,391 | 337 | 3,130 | 337 | 4 | No |
| 12:00 | 8,282 | 8,632 | 350 | 2,877 | 350 | 4 | No |
| 13:00 | 8,262 | 8,677 | 415 | 2,892 | 415 | 5 | No |
| 14:00 | 7,937 | 8,334 | 397 | 2,778 | 397 | 5 | No |
| 15:00 | 8,193 | 8,699 | 506 | 2,900 | 506 | 6 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 7,062 | 7,645 | 583 | 2,548 | 583 | 8 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,942 | 6,469 | 527 | 2,156 | 527 | 8 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 7,106 | 7,494 | 388 | 2,498 | 388 | 5 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 7,707 | 8,003 | 296 | 2,668 | 296 | 4 | No |
| 20:00 | 6,686 | 6,973 | 287 | 2,324 | 287 | 4 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 9,743 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 635 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 9,108 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.979 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

Congestion

| Congestion | M021, M027 |
| ---: | :---: |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.49 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 50.98 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 913 |
|  | $07: 00-09: 30$, |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $14: 30-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 147 Of these, 122 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 86 (59\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 36 (24\%)

## Observations

Log 111 is a direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has a downhill grade that flattens out to a very slight uphill grade near the merge location. The pavement is paved with concrete and shows a fair condition. Shoulder is paved with asphalt and shows a very poor condition (not full width, reconstruction needed). Shoulder width for the right side is 7 ' and the left side is 4 '. There is a guardrail with an offset of 10' from edge of travel lane that starts 310' from concrete island and stops just before merging onto the freeway. Drop-off 7' behind face of guardrail. Utility box located 12 ' from edge of travel lane.

Volumes decrease around 16:00-18:00 (typical PM peak times) rather than increase as would be expected. Peak volume is much greater than the 2000 vehicles per hour per lane (3247).

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from an un-signalized intersection, with storage for approximately 40 vehicles.

This is the primary site for congestion M027. It has a large amount of VHD so there is the opportunity to get good congestion benefits from metering.

Ramp volumes are acceptable and downstream volumes are ideal during the congested period.

This appears to be a good site in most respects. Occasionally during congestion the entrance ramp volume is too low to be able to form a queue, but most of the time it should operate well.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 400 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 865 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,060 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 470 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Tight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Uphill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight curve |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 35 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | East Side of interchange |
| Signing Overview |  |
| Existing Signing | Chevron curve warnings only |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,764 | 4,795 | 31 | 1,199 | 31 | 1 | No |
| 07:00 | 6,962 | 7,072 | 110 | 1,768 | 110 | 2 | No |
| 08:00 | 6,463 | 6,573 | 110 | 1,643 | 110 | 2 | No |
| 09:00 | 5,559 | 5,649 | 90 | 1,412 | 90 | 2 | No |
| 10:00 | 4,919 | 5,001 | 82 | 1,250 | 82 | 2 | No |
| 11:00 | 4,849 | 4,958 | 109 | 1,240 | 109 | 2 | No |
| 12:00 | 4,774 | 4,897 | 123 | 1,224 | 123 | 3 | No |
| 13:00 | 5,042 | 5,158 | 116 | 1,290 | 116 | 2 | No |
| 14:00 | 5,056 | 5,207 | 151 | 1,302 | 151 | 3 | No |
| 15:00 | 5,041 | 5,247 | 206 | 1,312 | 206 | 4 | No |
| 16:00 | 4,603 | 4,946 | 343 | 1,237 | 343 | 7 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 4,173 | 4,518 | 345 | 1,130 | 345 | 8 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 4,198 | 4,450 | 252 | 1,113 | 252 | 6 | No |
| 19:00 | 4,050 | 4,195 | 145 | 1,049 | 145 | 3 | No |
| 20:00 | 3,326 | 3,412 | 86 | 853 | 86 | 3 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 7,072 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 110 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,962 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.972 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M027 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.48 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 30.2 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 584 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-09: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 141 Of these, 112 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 54 (38\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 58 (41\%)

## Observations

No PM peak. Typical times of congestion from the Bottleneck Ranking tool do not match the suitability criteria from the Traffic Count analysis (see 'Flow Summary' tab of Traffic Data spreadsheet).

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single loop ramp with storage for approximately 35 vehicles. The ramp comes from a free-flow intersection. There are concerns over the tight curve of the entrance ramp and the low visibility due to vegetation.

This is a secondary site for congestion problem M027.
Ramp volumes are significantly too low during the congested period, and consequently it would not be possible for ramp metering to operate effectively on these volumes.

Implementation would be challenging due to the tight curvature of the ramp and low volumes mean that RM would not give any congestion benefits.

## Site Categorization

## Not feasible

## C.3.4 Site Summaries - Group 4
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## Site Details



## Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 950 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| On Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 2,280 |
| On Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 2,555 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,060 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Uphill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 91 |
| Guardrail | Yes, 6' Off edge of travel lane, |
| Pipe Crossing | $263 '$ from grass island |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; ramp entry from: dual left \& right turn lane |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power distribution pole located 8' behind guardrail |

Signing Overview

[^6]Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 4,104 | 4,357 | 253 | 1,452 | 253 | 6 | No |
| 07:00 | 4,569 | 5,256 | 687 | 1,752 | 687 | 13 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 4,776 | 5,295 | 519 | 1,765 | 519 | 10 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 4,389 | 4,735 | 346 | 1,578 | 346 | 7 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 4,297 | 4,629 | 332 | 1,543 | 332 | 7 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 4,269 | 4,748 | 479 | 1,583 | 479 | 10 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 4,322 | 4,847 | 525 | 1,616 | 525 | 11 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 4,330 | 4,782 | 452 | 1,594 | 452 | 9 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 4,362 | 4,837 | 475 | 1,612 | 475 | 10 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 4,471 | 5,019 | 548 | 1,673 | 548 | 11 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 4,045 | 4,724 | 679 | 1,575 | 679 | 14 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,557 | 4,446 | 889 | 1,482 | 889 | 20 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,881 | 4,442 | 561 | 1,481 | 561 | 13 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 3,991 | 4,398 | 407 | 1,466 | 407 | 9 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 2,984 | 3,325 | 341 | 1,108 | 341 | 10 | Yes |

Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,423 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 615 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,808 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.962 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

|  | M028, M025, <br> Congestion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.75 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 28.83 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 1,010 |
|  | $07: 00-10: 00$, |
|  | $12: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 180
Of these, 161 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 136 (76\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 25 (14\%)

## Observations

Log 101 is a direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The total average length of the entrance ramp has an average uphill grade of $2.07 \%$ that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Pavement condition is poor to fair with several cracks along the ramp. Shoulder width for the right side is 4' along the entrance ramp and the width for the left side 9' at the beginning of the entrance ramp and after about 530' from the grass island it converts to 4'. There is a guardrail protecting the power pole on the right side before the lane drop. Power distribution pole located 8' behind guardrail (14' from edge of travel lane). An existing utility box located at 15' off the edge of travel lane and 463' from grass island.

## Site Selection Comments

This starts as a two lane ramp but narrows to one before the ramp meter, which doubles the recorded storage. It has approximately 91 vehicles storage. The ramp originates at a free-flow link.

This is not a primary congestion site, but could support site 103.
Ramp and downstream volumes are mostly ideal during congestion for the number of lanes available on the entrance ramp.

This appears to be a good site with a good amount of storage and a lane drop at the downstream end meaning that metering would take place for one lane, which is appropriate for the peak entrance ramp volumes. The site could support site 103 which is the primary site for M022.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for Taking Forward
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## Site Details



## Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 560 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 485 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 770 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 970 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 19 |
| Guardrail | Yes |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: ramp entry from: single left, single right turn <br> lane, thru |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet located at intersection |
| Signing Overview |  |
| Existing Signing | "No Trucks 3 Axles" sign at 210' from concrete Island |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,923 | 4,508 | 585 | 1,503 | 585 | 13 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 4,750 | 5,402 | 652 | 1,801 | 652 | 12 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 4,488 | 5,037 | 549 | 1,679 | 549 | 11 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 4,143 | 4,517 | 374 | 1,506 | 374 | 8 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 4,031 | 4,414 | 383 | 1,471 | 383 | 9 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 4,307 | 4,734 | 427 | 1,578 | 427 | 9 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 4,275 | 4,705 | 430 | 1,568 | 430 | 9 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 4,245 | 4,664 | 419 | 1,555 | 419 | 9 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 4,304 | 4,759 | 455 | 1,586 | 455 | 10 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 4,380 | 4,881 | 501 | 1,627 | 501 | 10 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 4,069 | 4,583 | 514 | 1,528 | 514 | 11 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,800 | 4,279 | 479 | 1,426 | 479 | 11 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,793 | 4,246 | 453 | 1,415 | 453 | 11 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 3,629 | 4,017 | 388 | 1,339 | 388 | 10 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 2,561 | 2,859 | 298 | 953 | 298 | 10 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,530 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 654 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,876 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.980 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

Congestion

|  | M022, M024, <br> Congestion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.36 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 39.23 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 83 |
|  | $07: 00-10: 00$, |
|  | $12: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 206 Of these, 188 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 149 (72\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 39 (19\%)

## Observations

Log 103 is a direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has an average $-0.7 \%$ grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type are Asphalt. Condition of the pavement seems to be poor to fair with some cracks. Shoulder has a width of 4' on each side. Guardrail located at the end of the acceleration lane of ramp. Existing utility box located at 8' from edge of travel lane at a distance of 272 ' from concrete island.

No PM peak in traffic count.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane entrance ramp with storage for approximately 19 vehicles. The ramp originates at a free volume link.

This is a primary site for M022, but it is also a secondary site for other congestion problems. This site could also be linked to site 101.

Entrance ramp volumes are ideal for single lane metering. If an extra lane was added then the volumes would be too low to meter effectively.

This appears to be a good site in all respects apart from storage capacity. An additional lane would be useful for storage, but the ramp must be metered on one lane to achieve the best benefit, so widening to two lanes with a lane drop before the signals should be considered. This location could be supported by being linked to upstream site 101.

Consideration should be given to widening to two lanes with a lane drop, so that it operates as a single lane meter.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward

NCDOT Ramp Metering Feasibility Study Site Summary Document


Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 230 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 2 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 900 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 2,310 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,600 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Straight |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 72 |
| Guardrail | None Present |
| Pipe Crossing | Drainage structure located at 27' <br> from edge of travel lane |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: ramp entry from: dual left \& right turn island |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet located at intersection |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "Right Lane Ends" sign at 184' from grass island <br> "Right Lane Ends" signs at 576' from grass island <br> "No Trucks 3 Axles" sign at 382' from grass island |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 6,666 | 7,106 | 440 | 2,369 | 220 | 6 | No |
| 07:00 | 8,236 | 9,037 | 801 | 3,012 | 401 | 9 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 6,596 | 7,447 | 851 | 2,482 | 426 | 11 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 5,914 | 6,484 | 570 | 2,161 | 285 | 9 | No |
| 10:00 | 6,603 | 7,243 | 640 | 2,414 | 320 | 9 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 6,952 | 7,616 | 664 | 2,539 | 332 | 9 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 6,853 | 7,702 | 849 | 2,567 | 425 | 11 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 6,958 | 7,796 | 838 | 2,599 | 419 | 11 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 7,183 | 8,112 | 929 | 2,704 | 465 | 11 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 6,588 | 7,557 | 969 | 2,519 | 485 | 13 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 6,106 | 7,085 | 979 | 2,362 | 490 | 14 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,872 | 6,910 | 1,038 | 2,303 | 519 | 15 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 6,039 | 6,971 | 932 | 2,324 | 466 | 13 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 6,418 | 7,073 | 655 | 2,358 | 328 | 9 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 4,961 | 5,459 | 498 | 1,820 | 249 | 9 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 9,037 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 801 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 8,236 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.982 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

|  | M024, M025, <br> Congestion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.22 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 59.95 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 1,119 |
|  | $07: 00-10: 00$, |
|  | $12: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 176 Of these, 152 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 117 (66\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 35 (20\%)

## Observations

Log 105 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has a slight $-2.5 \%$ downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type are Asphalt. Condition of the pavement seems to be poor to fair with some cracks. Shoulder has a width of 4' on each side. Utility light poles begin at the tip of the physical gore along the entrance ramp and continues to the Main Freeway with an offset of 14' from edge of travel lane. Drainage structure were located at 27' from the edge of travel lane. Existing utility box located at 9' from edge of travel lane and 463' from grass island.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a two lane direct ramp from a free-flow link, with storage for approximately 72 vehicles.

Some congestion occurs in the morning, although entrance ramp volumes are low at this time. During the afternoon a more significant congestion problem arises, where the entrance ramp volumes are more ideal. This is a primary site for M024 but sites relating to congestion further downstream have been ruled out during the screening analysis.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period.
This appears to be a good site in all respects. However the lane markings need to be clearer to show two lanes. This will need to be a two lane metered site.

No specific implementation problems have been identified. Entrance ramp lane markings will need to be made clearer.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward

## C.3.5 Site Summaries - Group 5
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Freeway |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 410 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes, 2 lane ramp ends 625' south <br> of back of gore |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 4 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 4 |
| On Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,710 |
| On Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 2,315 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 720 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Very slight curve to right. |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | $2 \%$ downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | $8 '$ asphalt left, 4' asphalt right, 12' <br> lanes, 16' lane after lane <br> reduction, some transverse deep <br> cracking (master list: No) |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Slight downhill |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | $12^{\prime}$ asphalt (master list: Yes) |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 68 |
| Guardrail | Guardrail protecting the power <br> pole on the right side before the <br> lane drop |
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| Pipe Crossing | Power distribution pole located 8' <br> behind guardrail (14' from edge of <br> travel lane). Approximately 500' <br> north of gore |
| :--- | :--- |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | None |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Approximately 500' north of gore |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | Numerous No Parking signs on right shoulder, W4-2 Lane <br> Drop sign (all south of gore) and High Occupancy Vehicle <br> lane 2+ (north of gore) |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,472 | 1,691 | 219 | 423 | 219 | 13 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,438 | 2,748 | 310 | 687 | 310 | 11 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,325 | 2,597 | 272 | 649 | 272 | 10 | No |
| 09:00 | 2,156 | 2,467 | 311 | 617 | 311 | 13 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 2,381 | 2,657 | 276 | 664 | 276 | 10 | No |
| 11:00 | 2,479 | 2,760 | 281 | 690 | 281 | 10 | No |
| 12:00 | 2,561 | 2,914 | 353 | 729 | 353 | 12 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 2,687 | 2,995 | 308 | 749 | 308 | 10 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 2,840 | 3,192 | 352 | 798 | 352 | 11 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 2,928 | 3,311 | 383 | 828 | 383 | 12 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 3,579 | 4,032 | 453 | 1,008 | 453 | 11 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 4,151 | 4,635 | 484 | 1,159 | 484 | 10 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,489 | 3,824 | 335 | 956 | 335 | 9 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,493 | 2,761 | 268 | 690 | 268 | 10 | No |
| 20:00 | 2,047 | 2,249 | 202 | 562 | 202 | 9 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,635 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 484 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,151 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.917 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | B |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M031, M045 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 8.24 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 119.84 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 961 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $15: 30-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

$\square$

## Observations

Log 101 is a direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The total average length of the entrance ramp has an average uphill grade of $2.07 \%$ that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Pavement condition is poor to fair with transverse deep cracking along the ramp. Shoulder width for the right side is 4 ' along the entire ramp, and the width for the left side is $9^{\prime}$ at the beginning of the ramp. After about 530' from the grass island it converts to 4 '. After the final lane drop, the entrance ramp is a single 16 ' lane. There is a guardrail protecting the power pole on the right side before the lane drop. Power distribution pole located 8' behind guardrail (14' from edge of travel lane). An existing utility box is located at 15 ' off the edge of travel lane and 463' from grass island.

No AM peak.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane ramp with storage for approximately 68 vehicles. This is an F2F site which starts as two lanes drops to one at about its mid-point, which affords an additional 40 vehicles storage being available over what has been calculated.

This is a primary site for congestion problem M031 and M045. It has a large amount of vehicles per day so there is the opportunity to get good congestion benefits from metering.

Downstream volumes are acceptable and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period.

This appears to be a good site in most respects with significant congestion meaning that ramp metering could provide a significant benefit. There is a good amount of storage and a lane drop meaning that metering would take place for one lane, which is appropriate for the peak entrance ramp volumes. The total storage is approximately 108 vehicles including the extra 40 vehicles afforded by the extra lane upstream of the lane drop.

No specific implementation problems have been identified, although this is an F2F site so further consideration should be given.

## Site Categorization

[^7]
## C.3.6 Site Summaries - Group 6
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 195 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 740 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 985 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 440 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 30 |
| Guardrail | Yes; ends 122' from concrete |
| island |  |
| Pipe Crossing | No |

Ramp Metering Feasibility Study for Cabarrus, Gaston, Iredell and Mecklenburg Counties FINAL Detailed Analysis Report

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | No signal |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power distribution poles on intersection of Northbound exit <br> ramp |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | No signs that may cause conflict with ramp metering |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,142 | 3,216 | 74 | 1,608 | 74 | 2 | No |
| 07:00 | 5,881 | 6,094 | 213 | 3,047 | 213 | 3 | No |
| 08:00 | 5,961 | 6,158 | 197 | 3,079 | 197 | 3 | No |
| 09:00 | 5,819 | 5,922 | 103 | 2,961 | 103 | 2 | No |
| 10:00 | 5,662 | 5,742 | 80 | 2,871 | 80 | 1 | No |
| 11:00 | 5,706 | 5,760 | 54 | 2,880 | 54 | 1 | No |
| 12:00 | 5,598 | 5,681 | 83 | 2,841 | 83 | 1 | No |
| 13:00 | 5,740 | 5,822 | 82 | 2,911 | 82 | 1 | No |
| 14:00 | 5,493 | 5,620 | 127 | 2,810 | 127 | 2 | No |
| 15:00 | 5,502 | 5,745 | 243 | 2,873 | 243 | 4 | No |
| 16:00 | 5,746 | 6,076 | 330 | 3,038 | 330 | 5 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 5,678 | 6,113 | 435 | 3,057 | 435 | 7 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 5,127 | 5,355 | 228 | 2,678 | 228 | 4 | No |
| 19:00 | 4,174 | 4,267 | 93 | 2,134 | 93 | 2 | No |
| 20:00 | 3,415 | 3,480 | 65 | 1,740 | 65 | 2 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,436 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 194 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,242 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.932 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M038 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 8.92 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 190.8 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 329 |
|  | $08: 00-10: 30$, |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $12: 30-19: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 37
Of these, 21 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 14 (38\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 7 (19\%)

## Observations

Log 147 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp averages a slight $-1.6 \%$ downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Condition of the pavement and shoulders seems to be poor, with the shoulder showing water ruts along the ramp. Shoulder has a width of 2' on each side. There is a concrete drainage ditch on the right side 15 ' from edge of travel lane before the ramp merges onto the main freeway. "2" utility light poles were located behind the drainage ditch at the end of the ramp before merging onto the freeway.

Peak volume is much greater than 2,000 vehicles per hour per lane $(3,218)$.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane ramp with storage for approximately 30 vehicles, fed from an un-signalized intersection.

There is a lot of congestion at this location and it is a primary site for M038. Ramp metering should provide congestion benefits at this location.

Downstream volumes are ideal during the congested period. However, ramp volumes are only acceptable for two hours of the 9.5 hour congested period; for the rest of the time the volumes are too low. Consequently, sufficient benefits will only be realized for the two hours when volumes are acceptable. The ramp volumes are such that the system will only be effective metering on one lane at the stop line.

Downstream volumes are ideal during the congested period. However, ramp volumes are only acceptable for two hours of the 9.5 hour congested period; for the rest of the time the volumes are too low. Consequently, sufficient benefits will only be realized for the two hours when volumes are acceptable. The ramp volumes are such that the system will only be effective metering on one lane at the stop line.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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## Site Details

| Site Number | 145 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-77 |  |
| Cross Street | Catawba Avenue |  |
| Exit | 28 |  |
| Direction | Northbound |  |
| County | Mecklenburg |  |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 160 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | Yes |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 900 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,590 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 880 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 36 |
| Guardrail | None Present |
| Pipe Crossing | Yes; 43' from edge of travel lane |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: ramp entry from: left \& right turn islands <br> (diverging diamond) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet located at intersection |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "Right Lane Ends Graphical Sign" |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,285 | 1,650 | 365 | 825 | 365 | 22 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 2,414 | 3,574 | 1,160 | 1,787 | 1,160 | 32 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,372 | 3,404 | 1,032 | 1,702 | 1,032 | 30 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 2,412 | 3,127 | 715 | 1,564 | 715 | 23 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 2,120 | 2,729 | 609 | 1,365 | 609 | 22 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 2,040 | 2,674 | 634 | 1,337 | 634 | 24 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 2,205 | 2,969 | 764 | 1,485 | 764 | 26 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 2,382 | 3,215 | 833 | 1,608 | 833 | 26 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 2,387 | 3,231 | 844 | 1,616 | 844 | 26 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 2,312 | 3,153 | 841 | 1,577 | 841 | 27 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 2,517 | 3,322 | 805 | 1,661 | 805 | 24 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 2,597 | 3,500 | 903 | 1,750 | 903 | 26 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 2,427 | 3,178 | 751 | 1,589 | 751 | 24 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,143 | 2,582 | 439 | 1,291 | 439 | 17 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 1,578 | 1,916 | 338 | 958 | 338 | 18 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,698 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 1,272 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 2,426 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.961 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M038, M036 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 5.9 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 101.89 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 961 |
|  | $07: 30-10: 00$, |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $12: 30-19: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 57 Of these, 40 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 26 (46\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 14 (25\%)

## Observations

Log 145 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has a slight $-2.5 \%$ downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type are Asphalt. Condition of the pavement seems to be good but parts of the shoulder seems to be in a fair condition with some cracks. Right shoulder has a non-uniform width of 5' to 4' along the ramp and the left side shoulder has a width of 4' along the ramp. "1" Large utility light pole on inside of ramp at 40' from edge of travel lane and "4" utility light poles were located along the entrance ramp before merging into the freeway at 16 ' from edge of travel lane. Drainage pipe and structure on outside of ramp were located at 43' from the edge of travel lane with a manhole cover located at 16 ' from edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane ramp with storage for approximately 36 vehicles, fed from a signalized intersection with free flow right turn.

This site has high vehicles per day and it is the primary site for M036. The congestion occurs in the AM and PM peaks but is worse in the PM. There appears to be potential for ramp metering to reduce congestion.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during most of the congested period although at times they are high enough to support ramp metering operation across two lanes. The site could still operate across one lane, but with less benefit.

This appears to be a good site with sufficient congestion for ramp metering to provide benefits. The site could operate in its current single lane configuration, but given the high ramp volumes and relatively low storage, performance would be optimized with a two lane configuration. It may be possible to queue traffic on the surface street for a short distance in both directions to gain extra storage space.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized intersection with Free <br> Flow Right Turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 615 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,255 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,820 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 970 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 50 |
| Guardrail | Yes; 12.5 ' From edge of travel |
| lane |  |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: ramp entry from: single left \& single right <br> turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet located at intersection |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | Right turn Yield Sign |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,767 | 2,182 | 415 | 1,091 | 415 | 19 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 3,656 | 4,514 | 858 | 2,257 | 858 | 19 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 4,200 | 4,847 | 647 | 2,424 | 647 | 13 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,772 | 4,309 | 537 | 2,155 | 537 | 12 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 3,012 | 3,541 | 529 | 1,771 | 529 | 15 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 2,997 | 3,572 | 575 | 1,786 | 575 | 16 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,231 | 3,859 | 628 | 1,930 | 628 | 16 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,296 | 3,983 | 687 | 1,992 | 687 | 17 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 3,606 | 4,245 | 639 | 2,123 | 639 | 15 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 3,315 | 3,989 | 674 | 1,995 | 674 | 17 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 3,672 | 4,324 | 652 | 2,162 | 652 | 15 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,853 | 4,525 | 672 | 2,263 | 672 | 15 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,596 | 4,167 | 571 | 2,084 | 571 | 14 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 3,382 | 3,773 | 391 | 1,887 | 391 | 10 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 2,311 | 2,691 | 380 | 1,346 | 380 | 14 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,876 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 672 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,254 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.978 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

|  | M038, M036, |
| :--- | :--- |
| Congestion | M035 |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 54.51 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 1,107 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | $07: 30-10: 00$, |
|  | $12: 30-19: 30$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 47 Of these, 35 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 28 (60\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 7 (15\%)

## Observations

Log 143 is a direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has average a slight $-1.87 \%$ downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type are asphalt. Condition of the pavement and shoulder seems to be poor to fair with some cracks. Right shoulder has a width of 4' and the left side shoulder has a width of $3.5^{\prime}$. Guardrail located at $12.5^{\prime}$ off the edge of travel lane and 675 ' from the end of concrete sidewalk. "4" Utility light poles were located along the entrance ramp before merging into the freeway at 19' from edge of travel. No room to move guardrail back due to utility poles and drop off.

Peak volume greater than 2,000 vehicles per hour per lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane ramp with storage for approximately 50 vehicles, is fed from a signalized intersection with free-flow right turn.

There is a reasonable amount of congestion at this site, but it can happen at different times during the day, meaning that ramp metering benefit could be limited. This is the primary site for M035.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested periods.
This appears to be a good site in terms of implementation, there is scope for ramp metering benefits, but the nature of congestion means that the benefit might be limited.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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## Site Details



Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 200 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | None |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,910 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 2,165 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,025 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 76 |
| Guardrail | None Present |
| Pipe Crossing | None Present |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal: ramp entry from: single left \& right turn |
| :---: | :---: |
| Nearest Power Source | Traffic Signal Cabinet located at intersection |
| Signing Overview |  |
| Existing Signing | Right Turn Yield Sign <br> "No Parking" sign at 20' off the edge of travel lane |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,078 | 2,341 | 263 | 1,171 | 263 | 11 | No |
| 07:00 | 3,400 | 3,822 | 422 | 1,911 | 422 | 11 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,945 | 3,264 | 319 | 1,632 | 319 | 10 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,239 | 3,623 | 384 | 1,812 | 384 | 11 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 3,247 | 3,652 | 405 | 1,826 | 405 | 11 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,318 | 3,778 | 460 | 1,889 | 460 | 12 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,437 | 3,975 | 538 | 1,988 | 538 | 14 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,713 | 4,226 | 513 | 2,113 | 513 | 12 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 3,810 | 4,256 | 446 | 2,128 | 446 | 10 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 3,402 | 3,847 | 445 | 1,924 | 445 | 12 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 3,421 | 3,984 | 563 | 1,992 | 563 | 14 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,596 | 4,273 | 677 | 2,137 | 677 | 16 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,631 | 4,144 | 513 | 2,072 | 513 | 12 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 3,695 | 4,048 | 353 | 2,024 | 353 | 9 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 2,887 | 3,123 | 236 | 1,562 | 236 | 8 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,274 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 672 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,602 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.975 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | E |

Congestion

|  | M033, M038, <br> Congestion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mve Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.51 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 36.88 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 681 |
|  | $08: 00-10: 30$, |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $12: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 62 Of these, 47 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 33 (53\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 14 (23\%)

## Observations

Log 140 is a long direct ramp. There are no sight distance issues. The entrance ramp has average a slight $-1.83 \%$ downhill grade that flattens out near the merge location. The pavement and shoulder type is asphalt. Condition of the pavement and shoulder seems to be poor. Right side of the shoulder has a discontinuous width of 3 ' along the ramp due to cracking and deterioration of asphalt. Left side of the shoulder has a width of 4 ' with a poor condition. Tree line located at 17' from edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane ramp with storage for approximately 76 vehicles, fed from a signalized intersection.

The amount of congestion at this site is not particularly high, but can happen at any time during the day, meaning that ramp metering benefit could be limited. This is the primary site for M033.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during the identified congested periods.
This appears to be a good site in terms of implementation, there is scope for RM benefits, but the amount of congestion means that the benefit is limited.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details

| Site Number | 230 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-485 |  |
| Cross Street | Providence Road | , wencestare |
| Exit | 57 |  |
| Direction | Outer |  |
| County | Mecklenburg |  |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 800 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 890 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,100 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,080 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Level |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 24 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: thru and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles from signal at start of ramp |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,200 | 1,338 | 138 | 669 | 138 | 10 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,391 | 2,755 | 364 | 1,378 | 364 | 13 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,346 | 2,662 | 316 | 1,331 | 316 | 12 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 1,978 | 2,213 | 235 | 1,107 | 235 | 11 | No |
| 10:00 | 1,650 | 1,825 | 175 | 913 | 175 | 10 | No |
| 11:00 | 1,648 | 1,851 | 203 | 926 | 203 | 11 | No |
| 12:00 | 1,710 | 1,906 | 196 | 953 | 196 | 10 | No |
| 13:00 | 1,826 | 2,058 | 232 | 1,029 | 232 | 11 | No |
| 14:00 | 2,048 | 2,321 | 273 | 1,161 | 273 | 12 | No |
| 15:00 | 2,494 | 2,769 | 275 | 1,385 | 275 | 10 | No |
| 16:00 | 3,038 | 3,357 | 319 | 1,679 | 319 | 10 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,229 | 3,580 | 351 | 1,790 | 351 | 10 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 2,652 | 2,860 | 208 | 1,430 | 208 | 7 | No |
| 19:00 | 1,902 | 2,048 | 146 | 1,024 | 146 | 7 | No |
| 20:00 | 1,213 | 1,324 | 111 | 662 | 111 | 8 | No |

Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,580 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 351 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,229 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.962 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

Congestion

| Congestion | M051 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 6.24 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 119.28 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 219 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 14 Of these, 4 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 1 (7\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 3 (21\%)

## Observations

Log 230 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is level with an average slope of $-0.133 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a thru movement and a right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The left shoulder has a width of 4' and the right shoulder had a width of 6 '. The shoulder outside of the pavement is in poor condition and has several ruts. There is a bank located 33 ' from the edge of travel lane near the end of the ramp.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a direct single lane ramp from a signalized intersection. There is comparatively low storage at 24 vehicles although there is scope to store some vehicles on the surface street.

This is the primary site for M051. It suffers a reasonable amount of congestion in the afternoon peak and ramp metering should be able to provide some benefit.

Downstream volumes are ideal and ramp volumes are low but acceptable during the congested period.

This site appears to be good for ramp metering. Although there is fairly limited storage the volumes are quite low so the system should work. This site could be supported by upstream site 232.

This site appears to be straightforward to implement, although some consideration should be given to providing additional storage if it is deemed to be a problem.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details

| Site Number | 232 | ), 整 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-485 |  |
| Cross Street | Providence Road |  |
| Exit | 57 |  |
| Direction | Outer |  |
| County | Mecklenburg |  |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 660 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 630 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 795 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 910 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Tight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 25 |
| Guardrail | None but has barrier wall |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not signalized |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Signal Cabinet and Power Poles from exit ramp signal |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "Exit", "Ramp $25 \mathrm{MPH} "$, and 4 Chevrons |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 768 | 1,200 | 432 | 600 | 432 | 36 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 1,506 | 2,391 | 885 | 1,196 | 885 | 37 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 1,493 | 2,346 | 853 | 1,173 | 853 | 36 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 1,450 | 1,978 | 528 | 989 | 528 | 27 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 1,224 | 1,650 | 426 | 825 | 426 | 26 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 1,294 | 1,648 | 354 | 824 | 354 | 21 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 1,346 | 1,710 | 364 | 855 | 364 | 21 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 1,447 | 1,826 | 379 | 913 | 379 | 21 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 1,691 | 2,048 | 357 | 1,024 | 357 | 17 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 2,037 | 2,494 | 457 | 1,247 | 457 | 18 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 2,457 | 3,038 | 581 | 1,519 | 581 | 19 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 2,515 | 3,229 | 714 | 1,615 | 714 | 22 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 2,103 | 2,652 | 549 | 1,326 | 549 | 21 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 1,616 | 1,902 | 286 | 951 | 286 | 15 | No |
| 20:00 | 1,054 | 1,213 | 159 | 607 | 159 | 13 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,229 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 714 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 2,515 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.962 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M051 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 5.99 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 114.5 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 219 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 61 Of these, 15 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 12 (20\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 3 (5\%)

## Observations

Log 232 is a loop ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is downhill with an average slope of $-2.67 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The inner shoulder is curb and gutter and the right shoulder has a width of 3.5'. A barrier wall starts 45 ' from the gore and is offset 5 ' from the edge of travel lane. An "Exit" sign is 18 ' from the gore and a "Ramp 25 MPH " sign is 55 ' from the gore. Three chevrons are located 315', 435', and 495' from the gore. A fourth chevron (positioned $2^{\text {nd }}$ from the gore) appeared to be wiped out by a vehicle. This might be an indication of a crash concern at this location.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane loop ramp fed from a free volume un-signalized intersection at street level. Storage capacity is relatively low at 25 vehicles and there are some concerns about forward visibility.

This is just upstream of site 230 which is the primary site for M051. It is congested in the evening peak and could support site 230.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period for a single lane site. Entrance ramp volumes are at the low end of ideal, so if an extra lane was added they would be too low for the system to operate effectively.

This site could offer some benefit in support of site 230 which is just downstream, part of the same intersection. However, care needs to be taken in the design due to potential visibility issues.

There are some issues with implementation, with caution required regarding the tightly curved ramp. There is little scope for widening the ramp to address the limited storage capacity, although storage could be increased to approximately 30 vehicles through use of the overpass.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 735 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,000 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,205 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,150 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Yes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 40 |
| Guardrail | Yes; runs entire length of ramp |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not Signalized; right turn movement only |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Pole from signal at start of ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,355 | 1,486 | 131 | 743 | 131 | 9 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,552 | 2,853 | 301 | 1,427 | 301 | 11 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,556 | 2,816 | 260 | 1,408 | 260 | 9 | No |
| 09:00 | 2,277 | 2,536 | 259 | 1,268 | 259 | 10 | No |
| 10:00 | 1,958 | 2,195 | 237 | 1,098 | 237 | 11 | No |
| 11:00 | 2,008 | 2,221 | 213 | 1,111 | 213 | 10 | No |
| 12:00 | 2,161 | 2,432 | 271 | 1,216 | 271 | 11 | No |
| 13:00 | 2,286 | 2,563 | 277 | 1,282 | 277 | 11 | No |
| 14:00 | 2,496 | 2,787 | 291 | 1,394 | 291 | 10 | No |
| 15:00 | 3,195 | 3,497 | 302 | 1,749 | 302 | 9 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 3,422 | 3,908 | 486 | 1,954 | 486 | 12 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,325 | 3,938 | 613 | 1,969 | 613 | 16 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,403 | 3,757 | 354 | 1,879 | 354 | 9 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,495 | 2,714 | 219 | 1,357 | 219 | 8 | No |
| 20:00 | 1,583 | 1,782 | 199 | 891 | 199 | 11 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,037 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 471 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,566 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.931 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M051, M053 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.62 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 79.42 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 438 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 23 Of these, 10 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 8 (35\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 2 (9\%)

## Observations

Log 234 is a direct ramp. There is a small cluster of trees between the ramp and mainline that may present a minor sight distance issue. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-1.97 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from right turn only. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The left shoulder has a width of 4' and the right shoulder had a width of 10.5'. A single run of guardrail spans the entire ramp. There are several trees and a drop-off directly behind the guardrail. At the end of the ramp, light poles are located 5' behind the guardrail.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp fed from an un-signalized intersection. No sightline issues have been identified and it has storage for about 40 vehicles.

This is the primary site for congestion M053. It suffers from moderate congestion in the AM peak and could be supported by site 235 which is just upstream.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal for a single lane entrance ramp during the congested period.

This site appears to be a good ramp metering candidate and could offer congestion benefits. It could be supported by upstream site 235 . No specific problems noted.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details

| Site Number | 235 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-485 |  |
| Cross Street | Rea Road |  |
| Exit | 59 |  |
| Direction | Outer |  |
| County | Mecklenburg | aschan suay |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 600 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 730 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 900 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 910 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Tight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 29 |
| Guardrail | Yes; 125 ' from gore, 10' offset |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not Signalized |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles between loop ramp and exit ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "Exit" sign 28' from gore at start of ramp |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,305 | 1,372 | 67 | 686 | 67 | 5 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,333 | 2,528 | 195 | 1,264 | 195 | 8 | No |
| 08:00 | 2,337 | 2,504 | 167 | 1,252 | 167 | 7 | No |
| 09:00 | 2,095 | 2,204 | 109 | 1,102 | 109 | 5 | No |
| 10:00 | 1,801 | 1,925 | 124 | 963 | 124 | 6 | No |
| 11:00 | 1,841 | 1,955 | 114 | 978 | 114 | 6 | No |
| 12:00 | 1,916 | 2,075 | 159 | 1,038 | 159 | 8 | No |
| 13:00 | 2,044 | 2,231 | 187 | 1,116 | 187 | 8 | No |
| 14:00 | 2,308 | 2,474 | 166 | 1,237 | 166 | 7 | No |
| 15:00 | 2,932 | 3,103 | 171 | 1,552 | 171 | 6 | No |
| 16:00 | 3,164 | 3,440 | 276 | 1,720 | 276 | 8 | No |
| 17:00 | 2,691 | 3,009 | 318 | 1,505 | 318 | 11 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 2,937 | 3,148 | 211 | 1,574 | 211 | 7 | No |
| 19:00 | 2,293 | 2,376 | 83 | 1,188 | 83 | 3 | No |
| 20:00 | 1,520 | 1,590 | 70 | 795 | 70 | 4 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,505 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 210 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,295 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.942 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M053, M051 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 3.36 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 73.7 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 438 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 49 Of these, 35 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 27 (55\%)
Type 28-Sideswipe, same direction: 8 (16\%)

## Observations

Log 235 is a loop ramp. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $2.47 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The inner shoulder is curb and gutter and the right shoulder has a width of 4.5'. Guardrail starts 125 ' from the gore and is offset 10' from the edge of travel lane. An "Exit" sign is 28 ' from the gore. The tree line on the outside of the ramp is 25 ' from the edge of travel lane. The trees closest to the mainline may pose some sight distances issues for cars exiting the ramp and cars approaching the ramp on the mainline.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a loop ramp from an un-signalized intersection with storage capacity for 29 vehicles. Forward visibility is poor due to vegetation.

This is a primary site for congestion problem M053 and secondary to M052. Site 234 is the primary downstream site and this has been recommended for taking forward.

Downstream volumes are ideal but ramp volumes are generally too low during the congested period.

The combination of a tightly curved ramp, poor forward visibility and low entrance ramp volumes make this site unfeasible for ramp metering.

The visibility issue would need to be addressed for this site to be implemented.

## Site Categorization

Not feasible
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Site Details

| Site Number | 238 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-485 | mon |
| Cross Street | Johnston Road | $\Delta$ |
| Exit | 61 | S- 5 wert mis |
| Direction | Outer |  |
| County | Mecklenburg | - |
|  |  |  |

Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 700 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 2,735 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 3,035 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,075 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Slight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slight downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Full shoulder ends 710' from <br> Back of Gore |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 109 |
| Guardrail | Yes; runs entire length of ramp |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

## Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not Signalized |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | No obvious power source close by |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | Overhead Sign Structure at start of ramp |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 1,428 | 1,543 | 115 | 514 | 115 | 7 | No |
| 07:00 | 2,654 | 2,876 | 222 | 959 | 222 | 8 | No |
| 08:00 | 2,847 | 3,048 | 201 | 1,016 | 201 | 7 | No |
| 09:00 | 2,557 | 2,730 | 173 | 910 | 173 | 6 | No |
| 10:00 | 2,163 | 2,360 | 197 | 787 | 197 | 8 | No |
| 11:00 | 2,331 | 2,511 | 180 | 837 | 180 | 7 | No |
| 12:00 | 2,546 | 2,755 | 209 | 918 | 209 | 8 | No |
| 13:00 | 2,613 | 2,833 | 220 | 944 | 220 | 8 | No |
| 14:00 | 2,863 | 3,115 | 252 | 1,038 | 252 | 8 | No |
| 15:00 | 3,502 | 3,783 | 281 | 1,261 | 281 | 7 | No |
| 16:00 | 4,191 | 4,483 | 292 | 1,494 | 292 | 7 | No |
| 17:00 | 3,307 | 3,510 | 203 | 1,170 | 203 | 6 | No |
| 18:00 | 3,552 | 3,729 | 177 | 1,243 | 177 | 5 | No |
| 19:00 | 2,842 | 3,045 | 203 | 1,015 | 203 | 7 | No |
| 20:00 | 1,926 | 2,081 | 155 | 694 | 155 | 7 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,504 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 293 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,211 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.947 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

Congestion

|  | M055, M053, <br> Congestion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mve Length of Congestion (Miles) | 2.01 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 45.38 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 706 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 53 Of these, 34 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 28 (53\%)
Type 28-Sideswipe, same direction: 6 (11\%)

## Observations

Log 238 is a long direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade overall is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-1.97 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from right turn only. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The left shoulder has a width of 4' and the right shoulder had a width of $10^{\prime}$. A single run of guardrail spans the entire ramp and is offset 14 ' from the edge of travel lane. There is a drop-off directly behind the guardrail. There are several drainage inlets in front of the guardrail along the ramp. A large overhead sign structure is at the beginning of the ramp.

Typical times of congestion from the Bottleneck Ranking tool doesn't match the suitability criteria from the Traffic Count analysis (see 'Flow Summary' tab of Traffic Data spreadsheet).

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from an un-signalized intersection. It has storage for approximately 109 vehicles.

This is a primary site for congestion problem M055. It is congested during the PM peak.

Downstream volumes are acceptable during the congested period, but the ramp volumes are too low for ramp metering to have a positive effect.

Although this is a primary site, the entrance ramp volumes are too low for ramp metering to have any congestion benefit.

## Site Categorization

Not feasible
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Site Details

| Site Number | 239 | ( $\quad \square$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Freeway | I-485 | $17 \times 1$ |
| Cross Street | Johnston Road |  |
| Exit | 61 |  |
| Direction | Outer |  |
| County | Mecklenburg |  |
|  |  |  |

## Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 505 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 3 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 3 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 700 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 905 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,080 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Tight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | Yes |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 28 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not Signalized |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Signal Cabinet and Power Poles from exit ramp |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "Exit" sign - 81' from beginning of ramp |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 995 | 1,286 | 291 | 429 | 291 | 23 | No |
| 07:00 | 1,927 | 2,396 | 469 | 799 | 469 | 20 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,115 | 2,542 | 427 | 847 | 427 | 17 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 1,751 | 2,203 | 452 | 734 | 452 | 21 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 1,472 | 1,893 | 421 | 631 | 421 | 22 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 1,630 | 2,052 | 422 | 684 | 422 | 21 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 1,742 | 2,212 | 470 | 737 | 470 | 21 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 1,938 | 2,377 | 439 | 792 | 439 | 18 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 2,077 | 2,541 | 464 | 847 | 464 | 18 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 2,694 | 3,300 | 606 | 1,100 | 606 | 18 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 3,077 | 3,770 | 693 | 1,257 | 693 | 18 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 2,091 | 2,789 | 698 | 930 | 698 | 25 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 2,824 | 3,352 | 528 | 1,117 | 528 | 16 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,224 | 2,607 | 383 | 869 | 383 | 15 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 1,404 | 1,714 | 310 | 571 | 310 | 18 | Yes |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,879 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 694 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,185 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.946 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

## Congestion

|  | M055, M053, <br> Congestion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mve Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.67 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 37.88 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 706 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $16: 00-19: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 73 Of these, 51 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 38 (52\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 13 (18\%)

## Observations

Log 239 is a loop ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is downhill with an average slope of $-1.93 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The inner shoulder is curb and gutter and the right shoulder has a width of 7 '. An "Exit" sign is 81 ' from the gore. There is a drainage structure 26 ' from the edge of travel lane near the middle of the loop.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane loop ramp fed from a free-flow intersection. Downstream visibility could be an issue on this tightly curved ramp with vegetation on the inside of the curve. It has a relatively low storage of 28 vehicles with no opportunity for storage on the local street.

It is congested in the PM peak. It is just upstream of site 238 (and is part of the same intersection). Site 238 is the primary site for congestion problem M055.

Downstream volumes are acceptable and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period for a single lane entrance ramp. If the site was increased to two lanes on the ramp, entrance ramp volume per would still be acceptable.

This site could provide benefits for congestion in support of site 238. There is some concern with lack of forward visibility on the ramp. There is scope for operating this as a two lane site, but this would require significant re-modelling which would add to the cost, while the site would operate with some benefits as it is.

Forward visibility issues on the ramp need to be considered carefully in the design of this site.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 675 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 990 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,250 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 925 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 40 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: right turn only |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles from signal at start of ramp |

## Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | None |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,097 | 3,225 | 128 | 1,613 | 128 | 4 | No |
| 07:00 | 4,522 | 4,919 | 397 | 2,460 | 397 | 8 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 4,065 | 4,425 | 360 | 2,213 | 360 | 8 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 3,501 | 3,760 | 259 | 1,880 | 259 | 7 | No |
| 10:00 | 2,626 | 2,837 | 211 | 1,419 | 211 | 7 | No |
| 11:00 | 2,562 | 2,762 | 200 | 1,381 | 200 | 7 | No |
| 12:00 | 2,394 | 2,615 | 221 | 1,308 | 221 | 8 | No |
| 13:00 | 2,531 | 2,775 | 244 | 1,388 | 244 | 9 | No |
| 14:00 | 2,542 | 2,766 | 224 | 1,383 | 224 | 8 | No |
| 15:00 | 2,610 | 2,851 | 241 | 1,426 | 241 | 8 | No |
| 16:00 | 2,915 | 3,118 | 203 | 1,559 | 203 | 7 | No |
| 17:00 | 2,977 | 3,216 | 239 | 1,608 | 239 | 7 | No |
| 18:00 | 2,590 | 2,746 | 156 | 1,373 | 156 | 6 | No |
| 19:00 | 1,943 | 2,097 | 154 | 1,049 | 154 | 7 | No |
| 20:00 | 1,521 | 1,616 | 95 | 808 | 95 | 6 | No |

Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,956 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 420 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,536 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.982 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | C |

Congestion

| Congestion | M054 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 5.52 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 72.93 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 170 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-10: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 51 Of these, 36 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 24 (47\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 12 (24\%)

## Observations

Log 237 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-0.83 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single left turn, a thru movement and right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The left shoulder has a width of 4' and the right shoulder had a width of 5.5'. The shoulder outside of the pavement is in poor condition with several ruts/holes. A drainage structure is located 29' from the edge of travel lane near the middle of the ramp. A sound wall is located outside of the ramp 37' from the edge of travel lane. Lastly, some light poles near the end of the ramp are located 19 ' from the edge of travel lane.

Peak volume is greater than 2,000 vehicles per hour per lane $(2,478)$.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp fed from an un-signalized intersection. It has no sight distance issues and has storage for about 40 vehicles.

This is the primary site for congestion problem M054, and it is just downstream from site 236 which is part of the same intersection. Congestion occurs in the AM peak. Downstream volumes are ideal and ramp volumes are acceptable during the congested period. The entrance ramp volumes are at the low end, just about acceptable during the congested period for a single lane ramp.

This site could be a reasonable ramp metering site, although the entrance ramp volumes are on the low side and the local road intersection is not signalized, but there is scope for some benefits.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 3,000 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 755 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 950 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 850 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Tight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 30 |
| Guardrail | Yes; 91' from back of entry gore |
| with 7' offset |  |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not Signalized; Free Flow |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles from signal at exit ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "Exit" - 15' from gore on outside edge of ramp |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,607 | 4,115 | 508 | 2,058 | 508 | 12 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 5,678 | 6,699 | 1,021 | 3,350 | 1,021 | 15 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 5,351 | 6,219 | 868 | 3,110 | 868 | 14 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 4,494 | 5,167 | 673 | 2,584 | 673 | 13 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 3,356 | 3,878 | 522 | 1,939 | 522 | 13 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 3,319 | 3,821 | 502 | 1,911 | 502 | 13 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 3,180 | 3,736 | 556 | 1,868 | 556 | 15 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 3,357 | 3,870 | 513 | 1,935 | 513 | 13 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 3,332 | 3,832 | 500 | 1,916 | 500 | 13 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 3,431 | 3,914 | 483 | 1,957 | 483 | 12 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 3,677 | 4,140 | 463 | 2,070 | 463 | 11 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 3,725 | 4,192 | 467 | 2,096 | 467 | 11 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 3,113 | 3,515 | 402 | 1,758 | 402 | 11 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 2,508 | 2,836 | 328 | 1,418 | 328 | 12 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 1,926 | 2,200 | 274 | 1,100 | 274 | 12 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 6,842 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 1,029 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 5,813 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.985 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M054 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 5.24 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 69.23 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 170 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-10: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 67 Of these, 40 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 30 (45\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 10 (15\%)

## Observations

Log 236 is a loop ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-3.17 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The inner shoulder is curb and gutter and the right shoulder has a width of 4.5'. There is a run of guardrail 91 ' from the back of the gore at the start of the ramp. A drainage structure is located near the middle of the ramp and is 30 ' from the edge of travel lane. The tree line occurs 26 ' from the edge of travel lane at the middle/end of the ramp.

Peak volume is far greater than 2,000 vehicles per hour per lane $(3,421)$. Very small PM peak.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane loop ramp fed from an un-signalized intersection at street level. It has approximately 30 vehicles storage. Forward visibility could be an issue on this tightly curved ramp because the ground is raised and there is vegetation on the inside of the curve.

This is part of the same intersection but upstream of site 237 , which is the primary site for congestion problem M054. The site suffers from moderate congestion in the AM peak.

Downstream volumes are ideal and ramp volumes are ideal/acceptable during the congested period. The entrance ramp volume per lane is toward the high end of ideal, becoming only acceptable at times. These volumes would support two lane RM.

This site would support site 237 with the M054 congestion problem. It would be desirable to have an extra lane on the ramp due to ramp volumes and storage although it would operate with the current single lane.

There is a specific problem with forward visibility on the ramp and it would be desirable, though not necessary, to have an additional entrance ramp lane. But the existing geometry would not favor this, so it could be expensive. Careful consideration is required when implementing this site.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Int. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 745 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 905 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,100 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,050 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 36 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: thru and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power poles from signal at start of ramp | | Signing Overview |
| :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,218 | 2,721 | 503 | 1,361 | 503 | 18 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 2,752 | 3,552 | 800 | 1,776 | 800 | 23 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,752 | 3,497 | 745 | 1,749 | 745 | 21 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 2,736 | 3,253 | 517 | 1,627 | 517 | 16 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 2,436 | 2,843 | 407 | 1,422 | 407 | 14 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 2,282 | 2,720 | 438 | 1,360 | 438 | 16 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 2,206 | 2,684 | 478 | 1,342 | 478 | 18 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 2,263 | 2,677 | 414 | 1,339 | 414 | 15 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 2,318 | 2,713 | 395 | 1,357 | 395 | 15 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 2,369 | 2,788 | 419 | 1,394 | 419 | 15 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 2,648 | 3,032 | 384 | 1,516 | 384 | 13 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 2,836 | 3,257 | 421 | 1,629 | 421 | 13 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 2,622 | 2,982 | 360 | 1,491 | 360 | 12 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 1,901 | 2,204 | 303 | 1,102 | 303 | 14 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 1,442 | 1,652 | 210 | 826 | 210 | 13 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,601 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 756 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 2,845 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.922 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M052, M054 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 5.09 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 64.69 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 535 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-10: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 28 Of these, 15 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 9 (32\%)
Type 28-Sideswipe, same direction: 6 (21\%)

## Observations

Log 233 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is downhill with an average slope of $-2.77 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a thru movement and a right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The left shoulder has a width of 4' and the right shoulder had a width of 5-16'. The bank on the outside of the ramp is $40^{\prime}$ from the edge of travel lane.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp fed from a signalized intersection. No sightline issues have been identified and it has storage for 36 vehicles.

This is primary site for M052 and it is congested during the morning peak. There is a reasonable amount of congestion offering good opportunity for ramp metering benefit.

Downstream and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period for a single lane site.

This site appears to be a good candidate for ramp metering and could be supported by the upstream site 231.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Free Flow Link |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 640 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 600 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 720 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 1,015 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Tight Curve |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 24 |
| Guardrail | None |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | Not Signalized |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power Poles from signal at exit ramp |

Signing Overview

| Existing Signing | "Exit", "Ramp 25MPH", 4 Chevrons |
| :--- | :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 2,027 | 2,820 | 793 | 1,410 | 793 | 28 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 2,295 | 3,172 | 877 | 1,586 | 877 | 28 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,179 | 2,910 | 731 | 1,455 | 731 | 25 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 1,997 | 2,634 | 637 | 1,317 | 637 | 24 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 1,708 | 2,117 | 409 | 1,059 | 409 | 19 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 1,620 | 2,107 | 487 | 1,054 | 487 | 23 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 1,555 | 2,011 | 456 | 1,006 | 456 | 23 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 1,658 | 2,107 | 449 | 1,054 | 449 | 21 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 1,694 | 2,141 | 447 | 1,071 | 447 | 21 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 1,877 | 2,301 | 424 | 1,151 | 424 | 18 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 2,093 | 2,533 | 440 | 1,267 | 440 | 17 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 2,193 | 2,705 | 512 | 1,353 | 512 | 19 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 1,882 | 2,263 | 381 | 1,132 | 381 | 17 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 1,317 | 1,563 | 246 | 782 | 246 | 16 | No |
| 20:00 | 891 | 1,070 | 179 | 535 | 179 | 17 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 3,424 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 903 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 2,521 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.823 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | D |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M052, M054 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 4.85 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 61.62 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 535 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-10: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 108 Of these, 54 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 36 (33\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 18 (17\%)

## Observations

Log 231 is a loop ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is downhill with an average slope of $-2.5 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The inner shoulder is curb and gutter and the outer shoulder has a width of 4.5'. There is a barrier wall that is $45^{\prime}$ from the gore with a $6.5^{\prime}$ offset from the edge of travel lane. There is one "Exit" sign located 21' from the gore at the start of the ramp and also one "Ramp 25 MPH " located 66' from the gore. Four chevrons are also positioned 313', 372', 427', and 497' from the gore.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane loop ramp fed from an un-signalized intersection from street level. It has storage for approximately 24 vehicles.

This is just upstream of site 233 which is the primary site for M052. It is congested in the morning peak and should be able to support site 233 to give benefits.

Downstream volumes are ideal/acceptable and ramp volumes are ideal during the congested period. Volumes on the ramp are ideal for a single lane. If the location was widened to two metered lanes the volumes during congestion would still be acceptable.

This site could offer ramp metering benefits but would need careful consideration due to the tightly curved and short entrance ramp. There is little scope for widening this site, but traffic could be stored on the approaches at street level. This site would support site 233 which is downstream and part of the same intersection.

There are some issues with implementation, with caution required regarding the tightly curved ramp. There is little scope for widening the ramp to address the limited storage capacity, although storage could be increased to approximately 58 vehicles through use of the overpass.

## Site Categorization

Feasible for taking forward
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Site Details


Physical Characteristics Overview

| Origin of Entrance Ramp | Signalized Int. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lane Addition onto Main Freeway length (feet) | 640 |
| Number of Entrance Ramp Lanes | 1 |
| Lane Drop on Entrance Ramp Before Merge | No |
| Number of Freeway Lanes Before Merge | 2 |
| Number of Freeway Lanes After Merge | 2 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Back of Gore (feet) | 1,370 |
| Entrance Ramp Length to Tip of Gore (feet) | 1,640 |
| Merge Length (feet) | 950 |
| Entrance Ramp Horizontal Alignment | Straight |
| Entrance Ramp Vertical Alignment | Slightly downhill |
| Entrance Ramp Shoulder (Paved Full Width) | No |
| Main Freeway Vertical Alignment Downstream | Level |
| Main Freeway Shoulder | Yes |
| Number of Vehicles Storage | 55 |
| Guardrail | Yes -990 ' from start of ramp |
| Pipe Crossing | None |

Signalization Overview

| Upstream Signal | 3-way signal; Ramp entry from: left turn, and right turn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nearest Power Source | Power poles at start of ramp (signal cabinet across street) | | Signing Overview |
| :--- | | Existing Signing |
| :--- | No Signs $\quad$|  |
| :--- |

Traffic Volumes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:00 | 3,410 | 3,861 | 451 | 1,931 | 451 | 12 | Yes |
| 07:00 | 3,594 | 3,961 | 367 | 1,981 | 367 | 9 | Yes |
| 08:00 | 2,414 | 2,736 | 322 | 1,368 | 322 | 12 | Yes |
| 09:00 | 1,518 | 1,942 | 424 | 971 | 424 | 22 | Yes |
| 10:00 | 1,128 | 1,483 | 355 | 742 | 355 | 24 | Yes |
| 11:00 | 1,328 | 1,774 | 446 | 887 | 446 | 25 | Yes |
| 12:00 | 1,345 | 1,806 | 461 | 903 | 461 | 26 | Yes |
| 13:00 | 1,499 | 1,959 | 460 | 980 | 460 | 23 | Yes |
| 14:00 | 1,678 | 2,135 | 457 | 1,068 | 457 | 21 | Yes |
| 15:00 | 1,754 | 2,263 | 509 | 1,132 | 509 | 22 | Yes |
| 16:00 | 2,155 | 2,765 | 610 | 1,383 | 610 | 22 | Yes |
| 17:00 | 2,700 | 3,306 | 606 | 1,653 | 606 | 18 | Yes |
| 18:00 | 2,322 | 2,763 | 441 | 1,382 | 441 | 16 | Yes |
| 19:00 | 1,522 | 1,876 | 354 | 938 | 354 | 19 | Yes |
| 20:00 | 989 | 1,232 | 243 | 616 | 243 | 20 | No |

## Capacity Analysis

| Downstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,648 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Corresponding Ramp Volume | 440 |
| Corresponding Upstream Freeway Peak Volume | 4,208 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.837 |
| Ramp Merge Level of Service | F |

## Congestion

| Congestion | M052 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ave Length of Congestion (Miles) | 1.56 |
| Duration of Congestion (Minutes) | 19.61 |
| Calculated Number of Occurrences per Year | 365 |
| Typical Times of Congestion | $07: 00-10: 00$ |

## Crash Data

The total number of accidents from March 2011 to February 2016 was: 19 Of these, 12 were accidents which can be associated with congestion:
Type 21-Rear end, slow or stop: 6 (32\%)
Type 28- Sideswipe, same direction: 6 (32\%)

## Observations

Log 229 is a direct ramp with no sight distance issues. The grade is slightly downhill with an average slope of $-0.63 \%$. The ramp has one lane and has entry from a single left turn and a right turn. The travel lane and shoulders are asphalt. The pavement condition was considered to be good. The left shoulder has a width of 3 ' and the right shoulder had a width of $4^{\prime}$. There is a run of guardrail 990' from the start of the ramp. The tree line occurs 11' behind the guardrail so it could be moved back if more space is need for travel lanes.

Peak volume greater than 2,000 vehicles per hour per lane $(2,324)$.

## Site Selection Comments

This is a single lane direct ramp from a signalized intersection. It has storage for approximately 55 vehicles.

This is a secondary site for congestion problem M052 for which sites 231 and 233 are the primary sites. This site is congested during the AM peak.

Downstream and ramp volumes are acceptable during the congested period, although the ramp volumes are on the low side which could affect the level of benefit ramp metering could provide.

This site could have some benefits, though slightly limited by the low volumes on the ramp and the fact that it is a secondary site. The two downstream sites are feasible and if they are implemented then there is a chance that there will be no benefits available at this site.

No specific implementation problems have been identified.

## Site Categorization

Review in future
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