Kansas Wildlife Habitat Assessment Guide



Definition of Wildlife Habitat: For the purpose of this assessment, wildlife habitat includes game habitat, nongame habitat, and aquatic habitat.

Background: This Kansas Wildlife Habitat Assessment Guide (KWHAG) was developed by the Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks with the concurrence of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, for use as a tool to identify conservation practice management options that have the potential to improve habitat.

Criteria: Minimum assessment values are identified in the KWHAG for the Resource Management System (RMS) level of treatment. The values are approximately 30 percent of the potential practice values for a field.

Training: A minimum of one hour of classroom and seven hours of field experience is suggested before a Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) employee is considered trained. For a list of qualified trainers contact the NRCS State Biologist.

Other Assessments: The Stream Visual Assessment Protocol (Technical Note 99-1) and the Water Quality Indicators Guide (SCS-TP-161) are separate and compatible with the KWHAG. Conservation practices that are implemented to benefit upland wildlife can also improve aquatic habitat conditions.

Electronic documentation sheets (pages 3 and 4 of this assessment):

- 1. Enter practices values, modifiers values, and interspersion for each field on the Benchmark Summary Sheet and Planned Treatment Sheet. Values are automatically calculated.
- 2. Compare values to quality criteria and enter the result manually.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR MANUAL FILLING OF KWHAG:

- 1. Enter practices values, modifiers values, and interspersion on the Benchmark Summary Sheet and Planned Treatment Sheet. Total each column.
- 2. Calculate the following: Present value X acres = benchmark value. Planned value X acres = planned value.
- 3. Divide value X acres, sum, by acres in assessment area, sum, to determine assessment average (combined weighted average).
- 4. Compare combined weighted average to the quality criteria and document results.

Conservation Practice Values and Modifiers

PRACTICE	HABITAT LAND USE						
TTVOTICE	_	Grazed	Grazed				
	Crop	Range	Forest	**Other	Pasture	Hay	Wild
Brush Management 314		5	1	5	1	1	1
Cons Crop Rotation 328 ¹							
Constructed Wetland 656	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Contour Buffer Strip 332	5						
Contour Stripcropping 585	5						
Critical Area Planting 342	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Cross Wind Trap Strip 589C	2						
Early Suc Hab Dev/Man 647	9		9	9			9
Field Border 386	9						
Filter Strip 393	5		5	5			
Stream Habitat Imp.& Man. 395				9			
Fishpond Management 399				10			
Forage Harvest Management 511				10	2	2	2
Forest Stand Improvement 666 ¹⁰		1	10	10			10
Grass Waterway 412	5	'	10	10	1	1	10
Hedgerow Planting 422				9	·		9
Herb Wind Barriers 603	5			Ŭ			
Irr Sys Tailwater Rec 447	1			1			
Land Recon. Ab. Mine 543	- '-			5		5	
Use Exclusion 472	5	9	5	9	5		9
	2	9	J	2	2	2	2
Pasture & Hay Planting 512 11		-	-				
Pest Management 595	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Pond 378		5	5	5	5	5	5
Prescribed Burning 338		9	9	9	5 8	5	9
Prescribed Grazing 528 8			1	_	0		_
Range Planting 550		9		9	_		9
Rec Area Improvement 562		5	5	5	5	5	
Rec Grading & Shaping 566				1			
Rec Trail & Walkway 568				1			
Res Mgt No & Strip Till 329A 2							
Res Mgt Mulch Till 329B ²							
Res Mgt Ridge Till 329C ²							
Res Mgt Seasonal 344 ²							
Res Man Declining Hab 643		9	9	9			9
Riparian Forest Buffer 391			9		9		9
ShallowWatMgt/Wild 646	5	9	9	9	5	5	9
Spring Development 574 ¹²		1			1		
Tree & Shrub Est 612		·	9	9	·		9
	40	40			40	40	
Up Wildlife Habitat Mgt 645	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Waste Utilization 633 ⁴	5						
Watering Facility 614		1	1				
Wetland Creation 658	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Wetland Enhancement 659	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Wetland Restoration 657	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
WetlandWildlifeHabMgt 644	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Wildlife Watering Fac 648	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Windbreak Shelterbelt Est 380				5			5
Modifiers							
Interspersion ³							
Native Species in Plantings/seed ⁵		2	2	2	2		2
Canopy Cover 25 to 50 percent ⁶			2	2			2
One Den Tree/snag/acre 7		5	5	5			5
IOne Den Tree/enad/acre '							

*CTU-Conservation Treatment Unit

Additional Practice Values

Footnote 1 - 328 Conservation Crop Sequence				
Rotation Values (fallow = crop year)				
Crop Rotation	Value			
Continous Cropping	1			
Two Crop Rotation	5			
Three Crop Rotation	9			

Footnote 2 - (344, 329 A, B, C)						
Pos	t Harvest	Tillage or	Value			
Tillage	Chemical	Planting				
none	none	1/1 - 5/1	6			
none	1	1/1 - 5/1	5			
none	more than 1	1/1 - 5/1	4			
1	none	1/1 - 5/1	3			
1	1	1/1 - 5/1	2			
1or mor	e 1 or more	After 5/1	1			
Note: Spring tillage/planting dates						
reduce negative nesting impacts						

reduce negative nesting impacts

Footnote 3 - Interspersion	
Count different, adjacent habitats	
from the assessed field or *CTU	
No different habitat	0
One habitat	4
Two habitats	6
Three habitats	8
Four habitats	9

Footnote:

- ⁴ Count only when manure is properly applied as fertilizer.
- $^{\rm 5}$ Add 2 points where plantings or seedings are composed entirely of native ecotypes.
- ⁶ Count when canopy cover is 25 50 percent.
- ⁷ On forest land or other land count when one or more den trees or snags are present on each acre. Count when den trees or snags are present on rangelend.
- ⁸ Proper Grazing Use (528) must be applied in order to reach the RMS designation.
- $^{\rm 9}$ Add 4 points when human impact does not degrade habitat or recreational experience.
- ¹⁰ Forest Stand Imp. (666) must be applied to reach the RMS destination.
- ¹¹ Wildlife must be a primary or secondary landowner objective with 100 percent native species.

^{**}Other=All land use designations not listed in the habitat land use section

 $^{^{\}rm 12}$ Add 5 points where this spring development is an alternative water source for a pond.

Benchmark	Summary	Sheet
Denominark	Outilitial y	Oncci

		111011/ 1/00
Name:	Date:	· · ·
Legal Desc.:	Planner:	

Field Number	Acres	Land Use	Practice	Value	Modifiers	Present Value	Weighted Value
						0	
						0	I
						J	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						Ţ.	
							Ī
						0	
						0	
			Benchmark Field Sum	mary			
Total Acres	<u>o</u>	Acres		Combined Averag	l Weighted e Value	<u>o</u>	Points

Page 4
$(D_{OV}) 1/02$

Planned Treatment Summary Sheet

(1101.) 1/00	
Name:	Date:
Legal Desc.:	Planner:

Field Number	Acres	Land Use	Practice	Value	Modifiers	Present Value	Weighted Value
						0	
						J	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
						0	
			Diamend Total Control			0	
			Planned Treatment Su				
Total Acres	<u>0</u>	Acres		Combined Averag	Weighted e Value	<u>0</u>	Points

Habitat Improvement Value: <u>0</u> Points