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abstract
THIS VOLUME OF THE MODULAR SPACE STATION PRELIMINARY SYSTEM DESIGN REPORT PRESENTS THE RESULTS TO TWO SEPARATE STUDIES. THE FIRST STUDY, SORTIE MISSION ANALYSIS, DISCUSSES A MODULAR SPACE STATION ORIENTED EXPERIMENT PROGRAM TO BE FLOWN BY THE SPACE SHUTTLE DURING THE PERIOD PRIOR TO SPACE STATION IOC. EXPERIMENTS GROUPED INTO EXPERIMENT PACKAGES ARE PRESENTED. MISSION PAYLOADS ARE DERIVED BY. GROUPING EXPERIMENT PACKAGES AND BY ADDING SUPPORT SUBSYSTEMS AND STRUCTURE. THE OPERATIONAL AND SUBSYSTEMS ANALYSES OF THESE PAYLOADS ARE DISCUSSED. DESIGN INTEGRATION OF REQUIREMENTS, CONCEPTS AND SHUTTLE INTERFACES IS DISCUSSED. SORTIE MODULE-STATION MODULE COMMONALITY IS PRESENTED. A SORTIE LABORATORY CONCEPT IS DESCRIBED.

THE SECOND STUDY, REDUCED PAYLOADS SIZE IMPACT, DISCUSSES THE EFFECT ON THE MODULAR SPACE STATION CONCEPT OF REDUCED DIAMETER AND REDUCED LENGTH OF THE SHUTTLE CARGO BAY. DESIGN CONCEPTS ARE PRESENTED FOR REDUCED SIZES OF 12 BY 60 FOOT, 14 BY 40 FOOT, AND 12 BY 40 FOOT. COMPARISONS OF THESE CONCEPTS WITH THE PHASE B MODULAR STATION (14 BY 60 FOOT) ARE MADE TO SHOW THE IMPACT OF PAYLOAD SIZE CHANGES.

## FOREWORD

This document is one of a series required by Contract NAS9-9953, Exhibit C, Statement of Work for Phase B Extension-Modular Space Station Program Definition. It has been prepared by the Space Division, North American Rockwell Corporation, and is submitted to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Manned Spacecraft Center, Houston, Texas, in accordance with the requirements of Data Requirements List (DRL) MSC-T-575, Line Item 68.

Total documentation products of the extension period are listed in the following chart in categories that indicate their purpose and relationship to the program.


This document is Volume VII of the Modular Space Station Preliminary System Design Report, which has been prepared in the following seven volumes:

| I | Summary | SD 71-217-1 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| II | Operations and Crew Analysis | SD 71-217-2 |
| III | Experiment Analyses | SD 71-217-3 |
| IV | Subsystem Analyses | SD 71-217-4 |
| V | Configuration Analyses | SD 71-217-5 |
| VI | Trades and Analyses | SD 71-217-6 |
| VII | Ancillary Studies | SD 71-217-7 |

The Modular Space Station Phase B study primary emphasis was on conceptual definition and preliminary design. In May of 1971 the NR/MSC study team initiated investigation of the sortie-mission mode of flight operation that could occur between the IOC of the space shuttle and IOC of the space station. In mid-July, a study was initiated to investigate the impact on the modular space station concept of a reduction in payload size. The results of both of the ancillary studies are documented in this report.

A briefing brochure (SD 71-238, 17 September 1971) was prepared which summarized the results of the reduced payload size impact study.
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PART I. SORTIE MISSION ANALYSIS

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

An analysis of sortie missions has been conducted which defines a modular space station (MSS) oriented experiment program to be flown by the shuttle during the period between the shuttle's first mated orbital flight (April 1978) and the modular space station's IOC (January 1982). During this period, some 45 shuttle flights are scheduled ${ }^{1}$. For the purpose of this analysis, it was assumed that all of these flights would be available for the sortie experiment program.

Many factors were considered in this analysis: the amount of shuttle dependency involved, potential sortie mission module-space station module commonality, shuttle-station-sortie module subsystem commonality, funding requirements, and experiment returns.

The major issues centered around the types of experiments which are best suited for both 7 - and 30 -day sortie operations, their assembly into packages and payloads, crew skills and number of crew required, the orbit parameters, and the shuttle's capability to fly the desired missions.

## OBJECTIVE

The objective of this study was, first, to define an experiment program which would represent a practical program flow from the first sortie flight to the initial station IOC. Secondly, the analysis was to establish if commonality existed between sortie mission modules and subsystems and station modules and subsystems and the value of this commonality toward development of the station.

## STUDY GROUND RULES

The ground rules adopted for the sortie analysis were:

1. The NR space shuttle defined by the Phase B study was used.
2. The shuttle orbiter air-breathing engines (ABES) were included in all missions.

[^0]3. The sortie missions were flown at inclinations of 28-1/2 degrees, 55 degrees, and 90 degrees with the altitude dictated by the experiments.
4. The orbiter design landing weight ( 268,000 pounds) was adhered to for all missions. The maximum landing weight ( 292,000 pounds) was considered for aborts only.
5. The 1971 Experiment Blue Book was used to define sortie experiments.

It must be noted that the choice to retain the ABES for all missions was made on the basis of conservatism and results in a payload penalty of approximately 18,000 pounds.

## DEFINITION AND TERMS

The terms "experiment," "experiment package," "experiment payload," and "mission" have specific meanings as they are used in the succeeding pages. They are defined as follows:

Experiment - Scientific or technical investigation utilizing one or more pieces of experiment equipment.

Experiment Package - A group of experiment equipment characterized by being mutually supportive of a compatible set of experiments.

Experiment Payload - A group of experiment packages characterized by being mutually compatible, as well as compatible with a discrete set of mission constraints.

Mission - One flight of an experiment payload.
Figure 1-1 graphically illustrates these terms and also defines the resulting "shuttle payload."

## STUDY APPROACH

The approach taken for the sortie analysis is illustrated in the flow of the various tasks (Figure l-2). These tasks are briefly described as follows:

1. A list of experimental packages suitable for the sortie modes was prepared together with their desired characteristics (i.e., size weight, power requirements, crew involvement, data requirements, pointing, operating time, orbit, etc.). The 1971 Experiment Blue Book was the foundation for these packages.


Figure l-1. Definition of Terms


Figure 1-2. Study Flow
2. Operational analyses were conducted of these experiment packages to establish crew size and habitability requirements, duties, workrest cycles, experiment package groupsings into payloads, phasing, etc.
3. Subsystem support requirements and characteristics (size, weight, power, etc.) were defined based on Steps 1 and 2. Station subsystems were analyzed for possible use.
4. Preliminary sketches of experiment accommodation methods were made and weight estimates prepared to determine each payload's suitability of shuttle launch. For payloads which were not compatible with the shuttle's capability, an iteration was performed to repackage them into more shuttle-compatible units.
5. Conceptual sketches were prepared for the final selected payloads and compared to the space station for commonality in both modules and subsystems.
6. A commonality analysis was then conducted for both modules and subsystems and budgetary and planning cost estimates were made.

## SORTIE MISSION DEFINITION

The sortie analysis included three modes of operation. Mode I is a 7 -day mission in which the experiments are remotely controlled from the flight compartment of the shuttle orbiter. Mode II also is a 7-day mission; however, in this case, manned access to the experiment payload has been added. Mode III is a $30-$ day mission in which the payload also is accessible to the crew. In all three modes the payload depends on the shuttle for its primary support. This support is accomplished in two ways: by the basic shuttle subsystems or by augmenting the capabilities of these subsystems as required. This augmentation is discussed later in this document. For the 30-day mission the shuttle's systems (EPS and ECLSS) also must be augmented, as their baseline capability is only 7 days. Figure l-3 illustrates these modes of operations.

Two ways were selected to accommodate the sortie payloads for the three mission modes previously described.

For the remotely controlled experiments, the payload was palletmounted in the payload bay of the shuttle with all the necessary controls and displays located in the flight compartment of the orbiter. For experiments requiring crew access, the payload was located in the payload bay


Figure 1-3. Sortie Modes
with access through the interface tunnel from the flight compartment. An alternative means of accomplishing crew access was to move the payload from the payload bay to the shuttle's berthing port by the manipulator. In this position, access is readily available through the shuttle's airlock. Figure 1-4 illustrates these accommodations.

At the conclusion of the analysis, a special study was conducted to define the concept for a multipurpose "sortie lab" (see Section 8). This study utilized the experiment data generated during the main body of the sortie analysis as well as the accommodated mode and support subsystems defined for the sortie payloads using similar experiments.

## SUMMARY

The results of the sortie analysis are summarized in terms of the experiments identified and the experiment packages selected for the 7- and 30-day missions, the resulting sortie payloads, their methods of accommodation in the shuttle orbiter, the commonality of their accommodations (i.e., modules and support subsystems) with the corresponding MSS elements, and the results of the cost analysis which illustrates the benefit of this commonality toward the development of the MSS.


Figure 1-4. Shuttle Payload Accommodations

## Sortie Experiments

The experiments selected for the sortie missions are identified in Figure 1-5 for both the 7- and 30-day missions. As may be noted, these total 67, of which 34 are flown on 7 -day missions and 33 on 30 -day missions.

Their selection was based on analysis of the 1971. Blue Book for experiments that met Level I criteria (i.e., a precursor to the station, providing early return during the shuttle-station gap period and having operating time commensurate with the 7 - and 30-day mission times).

## Sortie Experiment Packages

The sortie packages that were assembled from the sortie experiments previously described are listed in Table l-1. These packages number 14 for the 7 -day mission and 17 for the 30 -day mission. Thus from the 67 experiments previously defined, 31 experiment packages were established.


Figure 1-5. Sortie Experiments Selection

Table 1-1. Sortie Experiment Packages

| Discipline | No. Experiment Packages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 Days | 30 Days |  |  |  |
| Astronomy |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Physics | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Earth Observation | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Communications/Navigation | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Material Science | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Technology | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Life Sciences | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 14 | 17 |

The rationale that went into the assembly of these packages follows the definition of an experiment package previously discussed (i.e., the experiments were mutually compatible and could be supported by similar equipment).

Also, consideration was given to the experiments' support requirements, any unique requirements, their orbit requirements, and operating time required for the experiments.

## Sortie Payloads

After assembly of the experiment packages, the experiment payloads were developed by grouping experiment packages that were compatible relative to a set of discrete mission constraints, crew skill requirements, and shuttle volume and weight considerations. Tables 1-2 and 1-3 list the groupings by 7 - and 30 -day payloads. Payload numbers are coded as follows: The first number or numbers (7, 30) indicate the mission duration, the " $M$ " indicates a manned mission, and the last number or numbers ( $-1,-2$ ) indicate the payload number within the series.

Table 1-2 7-Day Sortie Mission Payloads

| Payload No. | Experiment Package | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inclination } \\ & \text { (deg.) } \end{aligned}$ | Altitude <br> (n. mi.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crew } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7M-1 | Earth observation Contamination technology | 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | 3 |
| 7M-2 | Contamination technology <br> Space physics | 90 | $80 \times 100 / 500$ | 2 |
| 7M-3 | Earth observation <br> Advanced spacecraft systems tests Contamination technology | 55 | 100 | 2 |
| 7M-4 | Materials science | 28-1/2 | 200 | 2 |
| 7M-5 | Plant growth Cells and tissues evaluation | 28-1/2 | 100 | 3 |
| 7M-6 | Plasma physics | 55 | 270 | 2 |

Table 1-3. 30-Day Sortie Mission Payloads

| Payload No. | Experiment Package | Inclination (deg.) | Altitude (n. mi.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Crew } \\ & \text { Size } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30M-1 | Earth observation Contamination technology | 55 | 100 | 2 |
| 30M-2 | Space physics <br> Physics and chemistry | 28-1/2 | 200 | 2 |
| 30M-3 | Fluid management | 28-1/2 | 200 | 2 |
| 30M-4 | Medical research <br> Bioscience <br> Life support <br> Man systems | 28-1/2 | 100 | 3 |
| 30M-5 | X-ray stellar astronomy | 28-1/2 | 400 | 2 |
| 30M-6 | Advanced solar astronomy | Sun <br> Synch | 220 | 2 |
| 30M-7 | Intermediate UV telescope | 28-1/2 | 250 | 2 |
| 30M-8 | High-energy stellar astronomy | 28-1/2 | 400 | 2 |
| 30M-9 | Infrared astronomy | 55 | 270 | 2 |
| 30M-10 | Cosmic ray physics | 28-1/2 | 200 | 2 |
| 30M-11 | Communications | 55 | 150 | 2 |

## Payload Accommodation

An analysis of the experiment accommodation requirements for the 17 sortie payloads revealed those which required airlocks and/or an unpressurized pallet or a pressurized module with manned entry capability.

For the 7-day missions, four payloads require airlocks or pallets while the remaining two need a pressurized module. For those requiring an airlock, consideration was given to using the MSS airlock or the shuttle orbiter's airlock. The MSS airlock was selected based on the considerations of complexity and interfaces with the orbiter.

For the 30 -day missions, all 11 payloads require a pressurized module for extra living accommodations over that provided by the orbiter. In eight cases, a pressurized module also is needed for the experiments while the remaining three utilize a pallet, an airlock, or a combination pallet-airlock.

Figure 1-6 illustrates the integration of the individual seven-day mission payload characteristics with those of the baseline shuttle. It is divided into two sections: experiment accommodations and subsystem characteristics.

Payloads 7M-1 through 7M-3 and 7M-6 utilize an MSS-type airlock while Payload 7M-2 also utilizes the airlock as a deployable pallet for sensor


Figure 1-6. 7-Day Mission Payload Characteristics
directing. Payloads $7 \mathrm{M}-4$ and $7 \mathrm{M}-5$ require the addition of habitable modules and Payload 7M-5 also requires EVA capability via the shuttle airlock.

Under subsystems, the additional crew required is indicated in Column 1. Column 2 lists the data rate characteristic which is supplied entirely by the experiment payload. Column 3 lists the power required per payload. These power requirements utilize the shuttle fuel cell power generation capability but the experiment payload provides the cryogenic reactants. These reactants are stored in tanks located in the shuttle bay as part of each experiment payload. Column 4 summarizes the experiment stability characteristics. Payloads $7 \mathrm{M}-1,2$, and 3 require the addition of stabilized platforms. Payloads $7 \mathrm{M}-4$ and $7 \mathrm{M}-5$ fall within the shuttle baseline capability. Payload $7 \mathrm{M}-6$ requires a refinement of the shuttle characteristics to $40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ attitude control propulsion system (ACPS). This would be accomplished by the modification of the shuttle reaction control system.

In a like manner, the payload accommodations and support characteristics for the 30 -day missions are illustrated in Figure l-7.

The length of the habitable modules that are required for each payload also is indicated. Payloads $30 \mathrm{M}-1,2,3,8,9$, and 10 utilize a 20 -foot module. Payload 30M-4 requires a 26-foot module, and Payloads 30M-5, 6,


Figure 1-7. 30-Day Mission Payload Accommodations
and 7 utilize a 10 -foot module. The shuttle subsystem characteristics are acceptable to accommodate the experiments except for the stability requirements. Experiment Payloads $30 \mathrm{M}-1,30 \mathrm{M}-2$, and $30 \mathrm{M}-5$ through $30 \mathrm{M}-11$ require a stable platform and Payloads $30 \mathrm{M}-1$ and $30 \mathrm{M}-5$ through $30 \mathrm{M}-11$ also require a lower thrust level of the ACPS engines.

Commonality and Cost Analysis
Analyses were conducted to determine the level of commonality between sortie and station modules and subsystem equipment, and the resulting dollar benefit to the MSS development.

To achieve commonality for module configuration, the MSS universal structure concept was selected as illustrated in Figure l-8. As a result of the sortie payload analysis, three module configuration lengths would be required. Two module lengths could satisfy these requirements by mating the 10 - and 20 -foot modules for the third module length. The 20 -foot module is a derivative of the MSS cargo module. The MSS airlock will be used where an airlock is required.

The results of the subsystem commonality analysis are illustrated by the bar graphs in Figure 1-9. As an example, the video recorder from the information subsystem has five sortie payloads that have 80 -percent commonality to the MSS, and four have 30 -percent commonality to the MSS. Of these sortie payloads, nine have 30 -percent and five have 80 -percent video recorder commonality among themselves. The delta percentage differences. exist because of additional equipment of physical characteristics differences.

The sortie payload cost analysis was accomplished in three steps: (1) determination of the development cost, assuming that each individual payload was developed separately; (2) recognizing the commonality among payloads, determination of the development cost when the costs of payloadcommon items were shared among payloads; and (3) based on commonality percentage to the MSS, the dollar benefit to the MSS development was determined.

The results show that approximately 60 -percent saving is accomplished by sharing cost among payloads as illustrated in Table l-4. Approximately a 4-percent cost saving can be contributed to the initial MSS development cost. Intangible savings to the MSS, not expressed in dollar value, are identified as component reliability data, experiment procedures, operational experience, and maintenance procedures.


Figure 1-8. Structure Concept Selection



Figure 1-9. Subsystem Commonality

Table 1-4. Cost Analysis Results*

| Item | Independent <br> Development <br> (\$ million) | Shared <br> Development <br> (\$ million) | Savings <br> to MSS <br> (\$ millions) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Structure | 770 | 140 | 28 |
| ECLSS | 370 | 120 | 27 |
| EPS | 120 | 25 | -- |
| G\&C | 305 | 235 | 5 |
| Information | 120 | 30 | 10 |
| Crew Habitability | 115 | 20 | 7 |
|  | 1,800 | 570 | 77 |
| Total |  |  |  |

*Development costs only for 17 sortie payloads; 1972 dollars

## Sortie Laboratory

An alternative approach to the accommodation of sortie payloads would be to provide a family of general-purpose laboratories. With this concept, each GPL would support a group of related disciplines and would contain, as an integral part of the module, common laboratory and experimental equipment. The intent would be to minimize the amount of equipment required from the investigator. The GPL's would be designed so as to exploit the reusability made possible by the shuttle. That is, they would be adaptable to a wide range of missions and users with a minimum of reconfiguration. In addition, use will be made of existing ground and aircraft-based laboratory equipment (microscopes, cameras, spectrometers, multimeters, etc.) where practical, to minimize costs.

Table 1-5 shows two approaches that were considered for grouping disciplines into GPL's. The first is a "phenomenon-oriented" family which groups disciplines into GPL's according to the particular aspect of the space environment associated with their objective. The second is a "purposeoriented" family which groups disciplines into GPL's according to the general nature of their objectives. Based on NR's studies to date, the purpose-oriented approach appears the most desirable.

Table 1-5. GPL Approache:s

|  | APPROACH NO. 1 |  |  | APPROACH NO. 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$ | PHENOMENON-ORIENTED |  |  | PURPOSE-ORIENTED |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EARTH } \\ & \text { REMOTE } \\ & \text { SENSING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPACE } \\ & \text { REMOTE } \\ & \text { SENSING } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZERO- } \\ & \text { GRAVITY, } \\ & \text { VACUUMM } \end{aligned}$ | APPLICATION | technology | SCience |
| ASTRONOMY |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | x |
| pHYSICS |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| Earth observations | $x$ |  |  | x |  |  |
| comm/nav | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| material sciences |  |  | $x$ | x |  |  |
| technology | x | x | $x$ |  | x |  |
| LIFE SCIENCES |  |  | $\times$ | x |  |  |

For the purposes of this study, an applications laboratory ${ }^{1}$ was selected for conceptual definition. Figure l-10 depicts the application lab concept and points out the location of the various pieces of lab equipment associated with earth observations, material sciences, and life sciences. The lab is 20 feet long excluding the 12-1/2-foot airlock. The upper floor is dedicated to the earth observation and life science labs and the lower floor is dedicated to material science. The lab is fixed in the shuttle payload bay with the necessary sensor exposure obtained through the open payload bay doors. For the earth observation telescope, outward looking is obtained by employing a right-angle aperture. The other design features are indicated.

Figure 1-11 summarizes the major features and capabilities offered by the applications-type sortie lab. The weight of the lab is 20,000 pounds and and the curve indicates the weight available for the mission-unique equipment as a function of the shuttle's capability to various orbit altitudes and inclinations. Capabilities with and without the air-breathing engines are shown. Also summarized is the power available for experiments at the various inclinations as limited by the heat rejection capability of the radiator.

[^1]

Figure l-10. Applications-Type Lab


Figure 1-11. Sortie Lab Capabilities

## 2. SPACE SHUTTLE DEFINITION

The description of the space shuttle used in conducting the sortie analysis has been obtained from NR's Phase B Space Shuttle Definition Study completed in June 1971.

The major element in the Space Shuttle program which is important to the sortie analysis is the shuttle orbiter. However, only those features of the orbiter which are important from the sortie mission standpoint are described.

The following portions of this section briefly summarize the Space Shuttle Program, the operational concept, and a description of the shuttle orbiter. The environment induced on the payloads while being transported to and from orbit in the payload bay is presented in Appendix B.

SPACE SHUTTLE PROGRAM
The Space Shuttle Program consists of the following major articles:

1. Five orbiter and four booster flight vehicles.
2. An orbiter and booster structural test article.
3. An orbiter and booster main propulsion cluster development test article.

Completion of prelaunch operations for all of the vehicles is as follows:

> June 1976 (first horizontal flight)
> April 1978 (first manned orbiter flight)
> Mid-1979 (shuttle will be operational)

To support the manned orbital flight data noted, the major milestones in the program are as follows:

1. Start Phase C/D in l March 1972
2. Complete PDR in May 1973
3. Complete CRD and 95-percent engineering release 1 May 1975

## OPERATIONAL CONCEPT

Preparations for space shuttle launch normally require approximately four days. The launch sequence begins with independent premate checkout of the separated booster and orbiter vehicles in the assembly building. Payloads, which themselves may consist of complex systems requiring fueling and monitoring, are installed in the orbiter cargo bay. The two vehicles are then erected to the vertical position, the booster is mounted on the launch umbilical tower, the orbiter is attached to the booster, and the mated vehicles are transported from the assembly building to the launch pad.

At the pad, launch-readiness checkout is performed and a five-hour launch countdown is commenced with loading of propellänts. When loading is completed, the crews (and passengers) board the vehicles for terminal countdown and launch.

The booster's 12 main engines are fired, and within three minutes after liftoff the combined vehicles achieve a comparatively level course at an altitude of 200,000 feet. In rapid succession, the orbiter's two rocket engines are ignited, the booster engines are shut down, and the two vehicles separate. As the orbiter accelerates toward orbit, the booster returns to the launch site.

The orbiter continues to accelerate until an elliptical insertion orbit of 50 by 100 nautical miles is achieved. The two main engines are then shut down and the three smaller orbit maneuvering engines are ignited to place the vehicle in the desired circular orbit.

Final critical adjustment of the orbiter into its correct orbital position is accomplished with the attitude control propulsion system consisting of 29 smaller thrusters located at various points on the vehicle. Once the vehicle is stabilized, the cargo bay doors are opened and payload modules are readied for deployment.

Payload module handling is accomplished by means of a pair of articulated manipulator arms described later. Movement and positioning are precisely controlled by cargo specialists located in the cargo-handling station aboard the orbiter. Television monitors and floodlights strategically mounted on the arms assure visibility during these operations.

On completion of the orbital operations, the orbiter is maneuvered to a 100-nautical-mile orbit and rotated to a deorbit attitude. The orbit maneuvering engines are then fired to decelerate the vehicle and initiate descent. During entry, the vehicle attitude is controlled to achieve any lateral crossranging required to assure the closest glide approach to the landing site. At 35, 000 feet, four air-breathing turbofan engines are deployed and started to
provide maneuvering capability to the launch site. Landing is made with typical aircraft-type landing gear and a drag chute.

Both the orbiter and booster are capable of horizontal takeoff and flight, powered by their air-breathing engine systems only. This capability enables the vehicles to return to the launch site following landings at alternatives sites if required.

Ground turnaround procedures are essentially the same for booster and orbiter. Under normal conditions, the elapsed time between landing and launch-readiness is 14 calendar days. After landing, the vehicle is immediately taxied or towed to a safing area, where the crew (and passengers) deplane, mission flight data are removed, fluids and gas residuals are drained or vented, and the propellant tanks are purged with nitrogen. The safed vehicle is then towed into a maintenance hangar, service stands are installed, and the payload module is removed from the orbiter. This operational sequence is illustrated in Figure 2-1.

## Ground Operations

The launch pads, launch rates, yearly traffic and prelaunch operations for the space shuttle orbiter are described in this subsection. It is planned that Launch Pads 39 B and C at KSC will be modified for shuttle use. These pads will then bè designed as Shuttle Pads A and B. The average launch rates for Pads $A$ and $B$, to satisfy the traffic model, are shown in Figure $2-2$.

The shuttle traffic model is shown in Figure 2-3. The number in the circle represents the accumulated flights at that time.

From early 1980 to program conclusion the prelaunch operations are as shown in Figure 2-4.

The program is based on two pads and two launch umbilical towers (LUT'S). LUT refurbish time is four to five days. The maximum launch rate per pad is approximately eight to nine days. Figure 2-5 illustrates shuttle-LUT prelaunch configuration.

## Orbital Operations

The shuttle's reference mission is logistic resupply of the modular space station in a 270 -nautical mile altitutude orbit inclined at 55 degrees. For sortie application other altitudes and inclinations are of interest. The payload capability to the various orbits of interest are presented in Table 2-1. The 18,000 -pound penalty associated with the air-breathing engines is included.

Figure 2-1. Shuttle Operational Sequence

| CALENDAR YRS | S 1980 | 1981 | 1982 | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A D B \rightarrow$ | 1 EVERY 3 WEEKS | 1 EVERY 2 WEEKS |  |  |  | 1 EVERY 1-1/2 WEEKS |  |  |  |

Figure 2-2. Average Launch Rates for Pads A and B


Figure 2-3. Shuttle Traffic Model


Figure 2-4. Operational Timeline


Figure 2-5. Shuttle and LUT at Launch Pad
Table 2-1. Payload Capability (Up and Down)

| Inclination <br> (deg.) | Altitude <br> (n.mi.) | Payload <br> (lb.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | 270 | 25,000 |
| $28-1 / 2$ | 100 | 44,000 |
| 90 | 100 | 22,000 |

For the reference mission, the shuttle orbiter has sufficient propellant to provide 1500 fps on-orbit $\Delta V$ capability in excess of the amount required to attain the 50 by 100 -nautical mile insertion orbit. The propellant tanks are sized to provide $2000 \mathrm{fps} \Delta \mathrm{V}$.

The total mission time is 7 days with approximately 5 days on-orbit. During this period the orbiter is powered-down and in a free orbital coast mode.

Extended-mission capabilities (i.e., beyond the 7-day mission time and powered-down mode) can be obtained with a corresponding reduction in payload capability. The rules which apply for these extended capabilities are:

1. Crew, crew provisions (in excess of the flight crew of two), and unique mission equipment is charged against the payload.
2. For the 30-day mission:
a. 23 days of expendables for the flight crew of two are charged against the payload.
b. 23 days of expendables for the subsystems (e.g., cryogencies) are charged against the payload.
c. 30 days of expendables (e.g., food, LiOH ) for the crew (in excess of the two flight crewmen) are charged against the payload.
3. For the 7-day mission, subsystem expendables required to power-up the orbiter are charged against the payload.

The weight penalties associated with these conditions will be found under the various subsystem descriptions in the following section.

On-Orbit Guidance, Navigation, and Control
The one-sigma uncertainty in orbiter vehicle position and velocity at the time of a state vector update is presented in Table 2-2.

The one-sigma uncertainity in orbiter vehicle attitude at the time of a stellar update, is $\pm 0.1$ degree about each axis (pitch, roll, yaw). The onesigria uncertainty in orbiter vehicle attitude rate is $\pm 0.01$ degree per second about each axis (pitch, roll, yaw). When the orbiter is tracking a cooperative target, the one-sigma tracking uncertainties are as presented in Table 2-3.

In addition to range and range rate information, the orbiter is capable of optically determining the bearing angle to the target; the one-sigma bearing angle uncertainity is $\pm 0.02$ degree.

Orbiter vehicle translation control rates are defined in Table 2-4.
The orbiter has selectable attitude deadbands of $\pm 0.5$ degree, $\pm 10$ degras, and $\pm 45$ degrees. Attitude control rates are defined in Table 2-5.

Table 2-2. Position and Velocity Uncertainty

| Component | Position <br> (n. mi.) | Velocity <br> (fps) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altitude | $\pm 0.25$ | $\pm 1.5$ |
| In-track | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.6$ |
| Cross-track | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 3.0$ |

Table 2-3. Tracking Uncertainty

| Parameter | At $30 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. | At 500 feet |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range | $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. | $\pm 5.0 \mathrm{feet}$ |
| Range rate | $\pm 10 \mathrm{fps}$ | $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{fps}$ |

Table 2-4. Translation Control Rates (ACPS Thrusters)

| Orbiter <br> Axis | Minimum <br> Acceleration <br> (fps $^{2}$ ) | Maximum <br> Acceleration <br> (fps $^{2}$ ) | Minimum <br> Velocity <br> Increment (fps) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X (roll) | 0.26 | 0.78 | 0.026 |
| Y (pitch) | 0.52 | 1.56 | 0.052 |
| Z (yaw) | 0.26 | 0.78 | 0.026 |

Table 2-5. Attitude Control Rates
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|}\hline & \begin{array}{c}\text { Minimum } \\ \text { Orbiter } \\ \text { Axis }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Angular } \\ \text { Acceleration } \\ \text { (deg/sec }{ }^{2} \text { ) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Maximum } \\ \text { Angular } \\ \text { Acceleration } \\ \text { (deg/sec }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Minimum } \\ \text { Angular } \\ \text { Velocity } \\ \text { Increment } \\ \text { (deg/sec) }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Minimum } \\ \text { Velocity } \\ \text { (deg/sec) }\end{array}\right]$

## CONFIGURATION DESCRIPTION

Mated Vehicles
In the mated configuration, the vehicles form a vertical stack 290 feet tall-approximately 75 feet shorter than the Apollo-Saturn vehicle. The orbiter, which is attached at three points to the flat dorsal surface of the booster, extends about 20 feet forward of the booster nose (Figure 2-6).


Figure 2-6. Mated Vehicle Configuration
Acting as a single vehicle throughout the ascent phase, the mated orbiter and booster are propelled by the booster's main engines to a separation altitude of approximately 40 miles and a velocity of about 6500 miles per hour.

## Orbiter Vehicle

The orbiter configuration defined by the Phase B study is a delta-wing vehicle with an overall length of 206 feet and a wingspan of 107 feet (Figure 2-7). The profile of the orbiter incorporates a wide center fuselage ( 45.5 feet), which houses the two main liquid-oxygen tanks and a cargo bay of 15 feet wide and 60 feet long. Forward volume of the fuselage is occupied by the main liquid-hydrogen tank and the crew compartment. Protection of internal structures is achieved with reusable heat shielding over all external surfaces subjected to the high heats of boost and reentry.

Extensive use of computerized control and data management permits full orbiter flight operation with a crew of two, commander and pilot. Two additional personnel are carried when payloads are to be deployed, maintained, or taken aboard while in orbit.


Figure 2-7. Orbiter Configuration
Aerodynamic flight control is achieved with typical rudder and elevons, while exoatmospheric attitude control is sustained with a system of jet thrusters.

The main propulsion system consists of a pair of rear-mounted liquidpropellant rocket engines which develop a vacuum thrust of 632,000 pounds each. The main engines are used to propel the orbiter from booster separation to the initial 50 by 100 -nautical mile orbit only. Subsequent orbital transfers and deorbit are accomplished with three smaller orbital maneuvering engines mounted above the main engines. Following entry, four air-breathing turbofan engines are deployed above the center fuselage to provide go-around and landing maneuver capability. The air-breathing engine system, when augmented with a fifth engine mounted beneath the fuselage, delivers sufficient thrust for horizontal takeoff and ferry flight when required.

Mass properties for the orbiter are shown in Table 2-6. Payload longitudinal center-of-gravity locations are shown in Figure 2-8.

The fully instrumented, environmentally controlled crew and passenger compartment is mounted atop the main hydrogen tank in the forward fuselage assembly. In the forward section are the commander's and pilot's stations with vehicle controls and displays. Also located in this compartment are


Figure 2-8. Payload Center-of-gravity Envelope
Table 2-6. Mass Properties

| Orbit | Parameter | Ascent Burnout | Entry | On-Orbit Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $55^{\circ}$ inclination by <br> 270 n. mi. | Weight (lb) | 316,940 | 272,230 | 294,585 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{slug} \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$ ) | $2.725(10)^{6}$ | $2.495(10)^{6}$ | $2.610(10)^{6}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}}$ (slug $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | $19.883(10)^{6}$ | 17.946 (10) ${ }^{6}$ | 18.915 (10) ${ }^{6}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{slog} \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$ ) | $21.495(10)^{6}$ | $19.351(10)^{6}$ | $20.423(10)^{6}$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 90^{\circ} \text { inclination } \\ & \text { by } \\ & 100 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . \end{aligned}\right.$ | Weight (lb) | 302, 793 | 268,706 | 285,749 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{slug} \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$ ) | $2.574(10)^{6}$ | $2.401(10)^{6}$ | $2.488(10)^{6}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{slug} \mathrm{ft}{ }^{2}\right.$ ) | $19.511(10)^{6}$ | $18.047(10)^{6}$ | 18.779 (10) 6 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{slug} \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$ ) | $21.021(10)^{6}$ | $19.406(10)^{6}$ | $20.214(10)^{6}$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 28-1 / 2 \text { incli- } \\ & \text { nation by } \\ & 100 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . \end{aligned}\right.$ | Weight (lb) | 327, 359 | 293, 706 | 310, 532 |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{slug} \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$ ) | $2.599(10)^{6}$ | $2.425(10)^{6}$ | $2.512(10)^{6}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{slug} \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$ ) | $19.801(10)^{6}$ | $18.363(10)^{6}$ | $19.082(10)^{6}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{slug} \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right.$ ) | $21.306(10)^{6}$ | $19.719(10)^{6}$ | $20.513(10)^{6}$ |

accommodations for two other crew members, general life support equipment, and personal stowage provisions. Immediately behind the crew compartment is an airlock with overhead docking port for transfer of crew between the orbiter and a payload module. The docking port also is used for general personnel access while the orbiter is on the ground and as an ingress-egress hatch during extravehicular activity in space. The aft section of the module serves as an electronics bay. A center aisle through the electronics bay leads to a tunnel connected with the cargo bay. Ready passage in a shirtsleeve environment is provided between all manned compartments. Emergency egress for the crew is through overhead hatches. Figure 2-9 illustrates the crew compartment.

The orbiter's docking and payload handling system (Figure 2-10) is designed to carry out the many unique functions associated with orbital operations and the manipulation of a variety of payloads in zero gravity.

The cargo bay is fitted with trunnions and latches for securing a wide variety of payloads. It is also equipped with floodlights and closed-circuit television monitors placed to achieve maximum visibility in all parts of the bay. The two full-length, hydraulically actuated cargo doors, when opened, permit completely unobstructed vertical loading and removal of payload packages.


Figure 2-9. Crew Compartment


Figure 2-10. Docking and Payload Handling System
Loading, unloading, and critical positioning of payload modules are performed with a pair of jointed, electrically operated manipulator arms located on either side at the forward end of the cargo bay. Stowed inside the cargo compartment when not in use, the arms can be elevated, rotated, and extended to all corners of the bay. Precise control of the manipulators is exercised by the crew. The operator's station is equipped with all necessary television displays, communications outlets, and controls the maneuvering and emplacement of payloads.

Propulsion from the initial orbit established with the main engines is accomplished with three 10,000 -pound-thrust rocket engines mounted on the rear compartment just above the main engines. Using liquid hydrogen and liquid oxygen, these engines draw propellants from independent tankage installed in the aft section of the vehicle.

Attitude control is maintained by 29 thrusters located near the fore and aft ends of the fuselage. These 2100 -pound-thrust jets provide precision stabilization of pitch, roll, and yaw and are essential to maintaining proper orientation for lateral cross-range maneuvering during reentry. They also may be used as a backup deorbit system. The attitude control propulsion system and orbital maneuvering system, jointly referred to as the auxilliary propulsion system, draw their propellants from common tankage (Figure 2-11). Total system capacity is 46,745 pounds.

North American Rockwell


Figure 2-11. Auxiliary Propulsion System
Three 7/10-kilowatt fuel cells, located in the forward fuselage adjacent to the crew compartment, provide primary 28 -volt dc power via three central main dc buses. Primary l15/200-volt, three-phase, $400-\mathrm{Herz}$, ac power is produced by three $20 / 30-\mathrm{kva}$ ac generators.

The electrical power profile is shown in Figure 2-12. For the baseline profile, only 500 watts (average) of power are allocated to the payload. Total energy is 20 kilowatt-hours. For certain applications, however, additional power up to 5.2 kilowatts can be made available at the expense of adding fuel cell reactants and tankage. Also, where a powered-up orbiter is required, additional reactants are required to maintain the vehicle in this status. The weight penalties associated with these various conditions are also noted in the figure.

Orbiter environmental control and life-support subsystems maintain a shirtsleeve environment for a crew of four for seven days (or for 30 days with extra consumables). The overall subsystem assures appropriate environmental control for manned areas. Environmental maintenance of electronics and other sensitive equipment is essentially limited to temperature regulation and heat dissipation. Among the life-support functions are control of temperature, humidity, air composition, air pressure, contaminants, bacteria count, odors, ventilation, and acoustics.


MISSION DURATIONS (DAYS)

Figure 2-12. Electric Power Profile
Air temperature within the manned compartments is maintained between 65 F and 75 F under normal conditions and between 40 and 110 F during emergencies. Atmospheric temperature control is achieved with a heat exchanger and fan units through which cooled or heated water is circulated. The same water loop also supplies cooling water to the avionics compartment coldplates. Avionics coldplates in unpressurized locations are cooled by the Freon loop. Cabin air pressure may be selected at any point between 10 psi and one atmosphere, depending on mission code.

Gaseous and liquid wastes may be disinfected and dumped overboard, while solid wastes are decontaminated and retained in onboard storage tanks for removal during ground maintenance. Air contaminants, including bacteris, particulate matter, and odors, are removed from the cabin atmosphere with filters.

Food management incorporates a food packaging concept for storage of food serving cans, dehydratables, and drink packages. A freezer-locker compartment is used for food storage.

For the 7-day sortie mission, no change is required in the ECLSS for the flight crew of two and two passengers. For the 30 -day missions, however, additional nitrogen, oxygen, food, food storage, LiOH , and waste management is required. Table 2-7 presents the weight penalties that are changed against the payload.

Table 2-7. ECLSS Weight Penalties

| ECLSS | Missions |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7-Day | 30-Day |
| Hardware (lb) | - | 81 |
| Expendables (lb) | 112 | $487 \%$ |
| Total (lb) | 112 | 568 |

*Includes cabin leakage makeup and metabolic oxygen supplied by the 200 -pound excess volume in the EPS cryogenic tank.

The orbiter's two passengers are defined as experimenters capable of conducting experiments for 10 hours per day each. In addition, the orbiter's flight crew of two will be capable of assisting the experimenters in some skill-related tasks for 6 hours per day each for the orbital coast period only. During this period, one crew member (equivalent) will be on flight duty at all times.

Crew provisions include seats, restraints, and fixed life support items. For the 7-day mission, the current orbiter crew compartment has sufficient volume for the four men. For the 30 -day mission, however, additional living accommodations, clothing, and medical supplies are required. These must be supplied by the payload. Table 2-8 presents the weight penalties for these accommodations.

Table 2-8. Crew Provision Penalties

| Provisions | Mission |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Flight Crew (lb) | Baseline | Baseline |
| 2 Experimenters (lb) | 360 | 360 |
| Crew Provisions (lb) | 280 | $2980 *$ |
| Total (lb) | 640 | 3340 |

*Includes a 10 -feet long module, 14 feet in diameter used for sleeping, recreation, storage, etc. This volume (and weight) can be integrated into an experiment payload module when used.

The integrated avionics assembly is used to coordinate operation of all orbiter flight systems. Major subsystems governed by the data and control management assembly are guidance and navigation, communications, displays and controls, and power distribution and control. This assembly also performs the critical function of onboard checkout and fault isolation.

Sensor inputs for guidance and navigation are derived from three independent units: the inertial measurement unit, star tracker, and precision ranging system with onboard transceiver and ground and space station transponders. The inertial unit is the primary navigation assembly, the star tracker and ranging assembly serving as corrective devices only.

The orbiter communications subsystem provides two-way voice and data transmission, range and range-rate data for space rendezvous, and information for atmospheric navigation and landing. A unified S-band subassembly provides primary onboard voice and data intercommunication as well as two-way transmission with the manned spacecraft network. Additional voice and data communication with the ground is accomplished with a VHF FM subassembly via a stationary communications satellite, while two-way simplex voice transmission with civil and military air traffic control stations and with the booster vehicle is performed with UHF AM equipment. Precision ranging interrogators provide data for cooperative-target range and range-rate determination, on-orbit state vector updating, and atmospheric navigation and landing. A radar altimeter is part of the precision ranging system. Fifteen flush-mounted antennas are installed at various points on the orbiter fuselage. Antenna selection, as well as over all subsystem checkout and control, is accomplished by the central data and control management system. The data management assembly allocates 5 kbps to the payload with access to the antennas for transmission. Payload requirements in excess of this must be payload-supplied.

## 3. EXPERIMENT ANALYSIS AND SUPPORT REQUIREMENTS

As the space shuttle system progresses from its early development flights toward fully operational capability, it becomes desirable to include scientific payloads of complexity increasing with the shuttle capability. For this reason, the space station-oriented 1971 Blue Book experiment program was analyzed for experiments which would be compatible with the shuttle and which were logical choixes to be performed between the shuttle IOC and the modular space station IOC. This section describes the experiment package selection rationale and the resulting support requirements for both the 7-day and 30 -day sortie missions.

## EXPERIMENT SELECTION RATIONALE

The experiments selected for application to sortie missions were limited by the physical characteristics of the shuttle payload compartment, the performance capability of the shuttle, and to some extent by the subsystems support capability of the shuttle. However, the primary objectives of the shuttle sortie experiment selection was to provide an economical and useful step in the evolution of the complete manned earth-orbit scientific program. Thus, experiments which are precursors to Level II or Level III scientific investigations would be natural candidates for the early sorties ${ }^{1}$. Likewise, the experiments which can be accomplished on short-duration missions ( 7 to 30 days) or with interrupted operations would be suitable candidates for sortie missions. The candidate experiments from which the shuttle sortie activities were selected consisted of those Blue Book Experiments assigned to Level I.

Two durations of missions were considered, 7 days and 30 days, with preference given in experiment assignment to the shorter-duration case. Since specific shuttle schedules were not available for the experiment mode selection, the emphasis on 7-day sortie assignments provides flexibility in specific mission assignments.

Analysis of the evolutionary concept of accomplishing the 1971 Blue Book experiment objectives resulted in the selection of 31 experiment packages for sortie missions. Table 3-1 provides a listing of these packages showing an identification symbol and a title. This title is directly associated with the applicable Blue Book scientific discipline and FPE which will be supported by the recommended package.

[^2]Table 3-1. Experiment Packages for Shuttle Sortie Missions


Each experiment package, consisting of the equipment required to perform a set of experiments selected for sortie implementation and the support requirements, are presented in Appendix A.

## 4. OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

This section covers the analysis of the experiment packages developed in Section 3 that permitted their grouping into experiment payloads. It also examines the experiment payloads' orbital requirements and assesses the shuttle's ability to carry the equipment and supporting manpower to the desired altitude and inclination.

Ground rules were developed for phasing the various types of 7-day and 30-day flights. The impact of this experiment schedule on a crew training program is discussed.

APPROACH

The approach followed in defining a sortie experiment program to meet the study objectives can be summarized in four basic steps as shown in Figure 4-1.

First, a set of candidate experiment payloads was assembled from all of the previously defined experiment packages by analyzing operationalrelated characteristics and accommodation requirements. Secondly, these candidate experiment payloads were then tested for compatibility with the space shuttle capability model (i.e., payload weight, crew size, electrical power capability, etc.). As a result of this second step, shuttle-compatible experiment payloads were identified.

Having identified these experiment payloads, the next step was to identify sortie payloads which could be flown on specific missions. Sortie payloads consist of the compatible experiment payloads, the structure to house all hardware, and the necessary support subsystems. The total sortie payload weight was then compared to the orbiter's capability to achieve the preferred orbital inclination and altitude. When a negative capability arose, the analysis was repeated against alternative acceptable orbits. Orbiter inability to achieve these alternative conditions necessitated a sortie payload resynthesis.

Finally, once a valid set of sortie payloads was defined, a sortie experiment program was generated for the time frame of interest, based on the NASA shuttle fleet size, delivery data, and launch rate described in Section 2.


Figure 4-1. Operation Analysis Approach

## EXPERIMENT PACKAGE-EXPERIMENT PAYLOAD EVALUATION

Sortie experiment packages defined in Section 3 are summarized in Table 4-1, with emphasis on the parameters which were used to group them into payloads. Evaluation parameters consist of (1) inclination, (2) preferred orbit altitude, (3) experiment equipment weight, and (4) manpower requirements. In general, payloads are identified by their duration (i.e., 7 M representing a seven-day mission and 30 M representing a 30 -day mission). There are a total of six 7 -day experiment payloads and eleven 30 -day experiment payloads.

## Orbit Inclination

Experiment packages were grouped into payloads with first consideration for compatibility of orbital inclinations. No consideration was given to time sharing of different orbital inclinations by making plane changes on a flight because of the prohibitive demand on orbiter propellant.
Table 4-1. Principal Requirements for Experiment Payload Synthesis

| 30-Day |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp Pkg | $i$ (degrees) | h ( n mi) | Weight (lb) |
| A1-I | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0-55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} 400-500 \\ (370-740) \end{array}$ | 3000 |
| A3-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { sun sync } \\ (0-55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 270 \\ (2-400) \end{gathered}$ | 6475 |
| A4-1 | $\begin{aligned} & 28-70 \\ & \text { (Any) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 250-360 \\ (200-400) \end{array}$ | 1060 |
| A5-1 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (0-55) \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r} -500 \\ (200-400) \end{array}\right.$ | 2445 |
| A6-1 | $\begin{aligned} & 50-60 \\ & (25-70) \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 270-300 \\ (250-400) \end{gathered}\right.$ | 4400 |
| P1-II | Any | >100 | 233 |
| P3-1 | $\begin{aligned} & 28.5 \\ & (55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & (270) \end{aligned}$ | 10,500 |
| P4-1 | Any | $>100$ | 345 |
| ESI-I | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ (50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ (270) \end{gathered}$ | 4849 |
| C/N1-II | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ (>28) \end{gathered}$ | 100-300 | 690 |
| C/N1-III | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ (>28) \end{gathered}$ | 100-300 | 911 |
| T1-11 | Any | Any | 1416 |
| T2-1 | Any | $>270$ | 3856 |
| T2-II | Any | > 270 | 3505 |
| T2-III | Any | > 270 | 1450 |
| LSI-I | Any | Any | 400 |
| LS4,5-1 | Any | Any | 854 |
| LS6-I | Any | Any | 1051 |
| LS7-1 | Any | Any | 223 |


$(\quad)=$ Acceptable alternate $i$ or $h$


| Payload No. | Experiment Package | Incl. <br> (deg) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt. } \\ & \text { (n. mi.) } \end{aligned}$ | Crew |  | Power |  | Data Quan/Rate | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{G} \& \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{Ptg}_{\mathrm{tg}} / \text { Stab. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | No. | Skills | kwh | kw |  |  |
| . $7 \mathrm{M}-1$ | ES 1-III T1 - I | 55 | 270 | $2+2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,12 \\ & 19,26 \end{aligned}$ | 5.5 | 2.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.37 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{bpd} \\ & 2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{bps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm .05 \mathrm{deg} \\ & \pm .05^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |
| 7M-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{~T} 1-\mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | 90 | 80-500 | $2+2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,6,10 \\ & 12,17 \end{aligned}$ | 37.6 | 2.8 | $1.7 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{bpd}$ $2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 2 \mathrm{~min} \\ & \pm .01^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |
| 7M-3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ES1 - II } \\ & \text { T4-I } \\ & \text { T1-I } \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 270 | $2+2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8-12, \\ & 19.27 \end{aligned}$ | 54 | 3.5 | $1.52 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{bpd}$ $2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{bps}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm .05 \mathrm{deg} \\ & \pm .05 \% / \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |
| 7M-4 | MS 1 - III | 28.5 | 200 | $2+2$ | 12,23,24 | 71 | 5.0 | $4.3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{bpd}$ $1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | - |
| 7M-5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LS3 - II } \\ & \text { LS4 - II } \\ & \text { T3 - I } \end{aligned}$ | 28.5 | 100 | $2+3$ | 1,3,12 | 3.1 | 0.4 | $1.5 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{bpd}$ $5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{bps}$ | - |
| 7M-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P2-I } \\ & \text { P2-II } \end{aligned}$ | 55 | 270 | $2+2$ | 6,12 | 9.0 | 0.8 | $8.6 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bpd}$ $1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 0.5 \mathrm{deg} \\ & \pm 1 \% \mathrm{~min} \end{aligned}$ |
| 30M-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESI - I } \\ & \mathrm{T} 1 \text { - II } \end{aligned}$ | 70 | 100 | $2+2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6,12 \\ & 16,18 \end{aligned}$ | 5.6 | 1.0 | $2.6 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bpd}$ <br> $1.24 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm .05 \mathrm{deg} \\ & \pm .01 \% / \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |
| 30M-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P1 - II } \\ & \text { P4 - I } \end{aligned}$ | 28.5 | 200 | $2+2$ | 6,12,25 | 16.6 | 4.0 | $4.1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bps}$ $4.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 2 \mathrm{deg} \\ & \pm . .01 \% / \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |
| 30M-3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} 2-\mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{~T} 2-\mathrm{II} \\ & \mathrm{~T} 2-\mathrm{III} \end{aligned}$ | 28.5 | 300 | $2+2$ | 9,12 | 17.3 | 4.0 | $4.8 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{bpd}$ $5.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{bps}$ | - |

Table 4-2. Experiment Payload Requirements Summary (Cont)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Payload } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Experiment Package | Incl. <br> (deg) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt. } \\ & \text { (n. mi.) } \end{aligned}$ | Crew |  | Power |  | Data Quan/Rate | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{G} \& \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{Ptg} / \mathrm{Stab} . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | No. | Skills | kwh | kw |  |  |
| 30M-4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LS1 - I } \\ & \text { LS4, 5-I } \\ & \text { LS6 - I } \\ & \text { LS7 - II } \end{aligned}$ | 28.5 | 100 | $2+3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2,11 \\ & 12,13,21, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | 1.9 | 0.3 | $3.3 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{bpd}$ $2.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | - |
| 30M-5 | Al - I | 0 | 400-500 | $2+2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { or } 6, \& \\ & 10,14 \text { or } 15 \end{aligned}$ | 4.8 | 0.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{bpd} \\ & 4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 1 \text { sec } \\ & \pm \mathrm{l} \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |
| 30M-6 | A3-I | Sun <br> sync | 270 | $2+2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \& 12 \\ & \text { or } 14 \end{aligned}$ | 8.6 | 0.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{bpd} \\ & 4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 1 \mathrm{sec} \\ & \pm .1 \mathrm{sec} / \\ & 2700 \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |
| 30M-7 | A4-I | 28-70 | 250-360 | $2+2$ | 5,12 | 4.8 | 0.3 | $1.6 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bpd}$ $4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 5 \mathrm{sec} \\ & \pm 5 \mathrm{sec} / \\ & 1 \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |
| 30M-8 | A5-I | 0 | 400-500 | $2+2$ | 5,6,10 | 1.6 | 0.2 | $5.4 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{bpd}$ $6.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{bps}$ |  |
| 30M-9 | A6-I | 50-60 | 270-300 | $2+2$ | 5,12 | 5.5 | 0.3 | $1.2 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bpd}$ $4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ |  |
| 30M-10 | P3-I | 28.5 | 200 | $2+2$ | 7,12 | 13.2 | 0.7 | $1.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bpd}$ $1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | - |
| 30M-11 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{II} \\ & \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{III} \end{aligned}$ | 90 | 150 | $2+2$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10,12 \\ & 14,17 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | 0.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.27 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bpd} \\ & 3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \pm 0.01 \mathrm{deg} \\ & \pm 0.1^{\circ} / \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |

## Orbit Altitude

Next, compatibility of altitudes was examined. When a compromise could not be found, multiple altitudes were considered. This does not impose a significant penalty on propellant or payload, although it would require time sharing if performed on the same flight. If multiple cycles of an experiment were conducted over the course of several flights, then, of course, altitude may be different on each flight.

## Crew Skill Requirements

When the payload comprises different experiments for the same discipline, crew skills are likely to be compatible and requirements for more than two skills per crew member are few. However, when disciplines are mixed, little compatibility in crew skills occurs and more cross-training is required. Two skills per man has been the nominal requirement in the space station studies and also appears to be reasonable for the sortie missions.

## Experiment Payloads

The experiment payloads resulting from the experi ment package evaluation discussed are presented in Table 4-2, which also defines their support requirements used in the support subsystem selection discussed in Section 5. Four of the six 7-day mission payloads are multidisciplinary while only one of the 30 -day mission payloads is multidisciplinary. In the case of payload $7 \mathrm{M}-2$, the altitude is made variable through the use of an elliptical orbit to satisfy experiment requirements.

Commonality of crew skills for all payloads is presented in Tables 4-3 and 4-4. Payload $7 \mathrm{M}-3$ is the only one that tends to exceed the two skills per man requirement, indicating seven skills for two men (experiment operations crew). Closer examination, however, shows that an arrangement in skills assignment as illustrated in Table 4-5 would reasonably satisfy the support requirement.

## SORTIE PAYLOAD DEFINITION

Using the experiment payloads previously described, candidate sortie payloads were defined which took into account a preliminary assessment of their support subsystem weights, such as modules and airlocks, pallets, power, crew, crew accommodations, shuttle 30-day duration kit, and shuttle maneuvering propellant and attitude hold propellant. This total weight was used in testing the shuttle's capability to achieve the specified orbit.
Table 4-3. Seven-Day Experiment Payload Skills Commonality
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Table 4-4. Thirty-Day Experiment Payload Skills Commonality

Table 4-5. Payload 7M-3 Crew Skills Assignments

|  |  |  |  | peri | cka |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Skill |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESI } \\ & \text { T1-I } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | load | urat |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | Name |  |  | xper | rew |  |  |
| No. | Name | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 6 | Physicist |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| 8 | Photo technician/cartographer |  | $X$ | $x$ |  | X |  |
| 9 | Thermodynamicist | $X$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Electronic engineer | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Mechanical engineer | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Electromechanical technician | $X$ |  | $x$ |  |  |  |
| 19 | Physical geologist |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  | $X$ |
| 27 | Geographer |  | X |  | $X$ |  | $X$ |

Figure 4-2 summarizes the shuttle's mass properties characteristics for each of the three reference missions (28.5,55, and 90-degree orbit inclinations) and indicates the allowable weight for payload and propellant in each case.

The propellant needed for each mission is that required to achieve the final circular orbit altitude above the 50 by 100 -nautical mile orbit. In addition, since the shuttle orbiter attitude control propulsion system (ACPS) utilizes the same propellant tanks as the (orbital maneuvering system OMS) the total propellant weight requirement must also include the propellant needed to maintain vehicle attitude. Since the propellant requirement varies with each sortie mission, the amount of propellant needed must be calculated for each mission. However, there are a certain number of maneuvers that are common to all sortie missions and these are defined in Table 4-6 together with their propellant requirements.


INERT WT - DRY WT + FLIGHT CREW (2) + RESIDUALS
OPERATING WT - INERT WT + RESERVES + IN-FLT LOSSES \& ABES PROPELLANT

Figure 4-2. Mass Properties Characteristics

Table 4-6. Common Mission Maneuvers and Propellant Requirements

| Event | Propellant Weight (lb.) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Orbit injection (50 x 100 n. mi.) | 400 |
| Deorbit (from 100 n. mi.) | 360 |
| Preentry | 150 |
| Entry | 1200 |
| Total | 2100 |

The shuttle's payload capability as a function of attitude for each of the three reference missions is presented in Figures 4-3 through 4-5. Accompanying the payload capability is a definition of the corresponding propellant required to achieve the altitude desired. There are constraints imposed on the shuttle that place certain restrictions on its payload capability which are included in the curves of Figures 4-3 through 4-5. These are defined as:

1. In order to have the capability of a "once-around" orbiter abort, there must be at least 1000 feet per second $\Delta V$ propulsion available. This requires 20,000 pounds of OMS propellant.
2. The orbiter bay has a structural limit of 65,000 pounds of payload. Therefore, no payloads above 65,000 pounds are permissible, regardless of the $\Delta V$ capability.
3. The orbiter has a design landing rate of sink requirement of 10 feet per second. This, in turn, imposes a landing weight limit on the total orbiter vehicle which results in a limitation on the "down" payload weight for certain cases (particularly the due east launch, 28.5 degrees).

As previously mentioned, in order to maintain attitude, the orbiter utilizes propellant from the OMS/ACPS propulsive $\Delta V$ tanks. Figure 4-6 provides a means of computing the amount of propellant needed to achieve various attitude deadbands used in this analysis.


Figure 4-3. Payload Capability-Propellant Requirements: $28.5^{\circ}$ Inclination Angle


Figure 4-4. Payload Capability-Propellant Requirements: $55^{\circ}$ Inclination Angle


Figure 4-5. Payload Capability-Propellant Requirements: $90^{\circ}$ Inclination Angle


Figure 4-6. Attitude Hold, ACPS Propellant Requirement

Using the foregoing data, it was found that not all of the initially selected payloads were compatible with the shuttle's payload capability, hence a second iteration was required. Table 4-7 presents the results of this second iteration and indicates the payloads in which modifications to their requirements were made. During this process each payload's support requirements were better defined, hence in many cases a different weight margin between the first and second iteration will be noted.

The characteristics of the selected sortie payloads resulting from this analysis are presented in Tables 4-8 and 4-9.

## EXPERIMENT PROGRAM

The selected sortie payloads are organized here for the purpose of developing a time-phased experiment program. The first step in this process is the establishment of rules that will govern the scheduling order, plus the adoption of guidelines for assigning payloads to particular shuttles.

The first rule adopted was that the sortie missions would not be initiated until after 12 shuttle $R \& D$ flights. Figure 4-7 indicates the availability of the orbiters as they are delivered to flight test and the period during which the $R \& D$ flights are conducted. It will be noted that the sortie missions are started about one month prior to the twelfth $R \& D$ flight.

The second rule adopted was that 30 -day missions would not be flown until after one year of operations with 7-day flights. This recognizes the plan that orbiters will initially be delivered without the 30 -day duration kit, thereby necessitating installation of the kits as a ground modification. The rule provides an interval to accomplish this work on a non-interference basis with the routine turnaround maintenance.

Experiment priorities were set equal to those established for the space station study based on a system for evaluating "worth, benefit, and rank". The priority for scheduling payloads follows the order of the highest ranking experiment within a payload. Tables 4-10 and 4-11 identifies these priorities for the various FPE's. For example, payload No. 1 is rated as priority two because this is the priority of ES-l-III. The tables also show the number of flights required to satisfy each experiment objective.

The experiment evaluation system is discussed in Volume III, Experiment Analyses (SD 71-2i7-3) of the MSS Preliminary Systems Design report.

Table 4-7. Payload Summary

| Payload No. | * Weight Margin (Ib) |  | Second Iteration Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Did | New |  |
| 7M-1 | $-10,000$ | +8640 | Reduced incl in $90^{\circ}$ to $55^{\circ}$ |
| 7M-2 | -12,000 | +143 | Changed orbit from 300-500 n.mi circ to $80 \times(100-500)$ ellip. |
| 7M-3 | -5700 | +4750 | Reduced inclin $70^{\circ}$ to $55^{\circ}$ Eliminated P-1-1 from payload |
| 7M-4 | +27,000 | +32,219 |  |
| 7M-5 | +39,000 | +35,309 |  |
| 7M-6 | +20,000 | +18,740 |  |
| 30M-1 | -3000 | +4290 | Reduced inclin from $70^{\circ}$ to $55^{\circ}$ |
| 30M-2 | +29,000 | +21,849 |  |
| 30M-3 | +16,000 | +2799 |  |
| 30M-4 | +27,000 | +16,929 |  |
| 30M-5 | +12,000 | +379 |  |
| 30M-6 | -4000 | +523 | Reduce struct. weight (radiation) Reduce alt. $270 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$, to $220 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. |
| 30M-7 | -8000 | +4529 | Reduce struct. weight (radiation) Reduce alt, 250-360 n, mi.to $250 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$. |
| 30M-8 | +12,000 | +1169 |  |
| 30M-9 | +10,000 | +1490 |  |
| 30M-10 | +25,000 | +5389 |  |
| 30M-11 | - | +1453 |  |
| *Note: Weight margin is the difference between the shuttle's payload capability and the payload weight |  |  |  |

Table 4-8. Selected Sortie Payloads - 7-Day Sortie Missions

| Payload No. | Experiment Package | Inclination (deg) | Altitude (n, mi.) | Crew Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-1$ | Earth observation Contamination technology | 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 100- \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | 2 |
| 7M-2 | Contamination technology Space physics. | 90 | $80 \times 100 / 500$ | 2 |
| 7M-3 | Earth observation Advanced spacecraft systems tests Contamination technology | 55 | 100 | 2 |
| 7M-4 | Materials science | 28-1/2 | 200 | 2 |
| 7M-5 | Plant growth | 28-1/2 | 100 | 3 |
| 7M-6 | Plasma physics | 55 | 270 | 2 |

Table 4-9. Selected Sortie Payloads - 30-Day Sortie Missions

| Payload No. | Experiment Package | Inclination (deg) | Altitude (n. mi.) | Crew Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30M-1 | Earth observation... Contamination technology | 55 | 100 | 2 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-2$ | Space physics <br> Physics and chemistry | 28-1/2 | 200 | 2 |
| 30M-3 | Fluid management | 28-1/2 | 300 | 2 |
| 30M-4 | Medical research bioscience <br> Life support <br> Man systems | 28-1/2 | 100 | 3 |
| 30M-5 | X-ray Stellar Astronomy | 28-1/2 | 400 | 2 |
| 30M-6 | Advanced Solar Astronomy | Sun Synch | 220 | 2 |
| 30M-7 | Intermediate UV Telescope | 28-1/2 | 250 | 2 |
| 30M-8 | High-Energy Stellar Astronomy | 28-1/2 | 400 | 2 |
| 30M-9 | Infrared Astronomy | 55 | 270 | 2 |
| 30M-10 | Cosmic Ray Physics | 28-1/2 | 200 | 2 |
| 30M-11 | Communications | 55 | 150 | 2 |

Figure 4-7. Shuttle Delivery and R\&D Flight Schedule

Table 4-10. Payload Priorities - 7-Day Missions

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Payload } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Experiment <br> Package | Title | Priority | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Flights } \\ & \text { Required } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ES1-III | Earth observations | 2 | 28 |
|  | Tl-I | Contamination technology | 25 | 5 |
| 2 | P1-I | Space physics | 6 | 1 |
|  | T1-I | Contamination technology | 25 | 1 |
|  | C/Nl-I | Search navigation \& RF propagation | 7 | 1 |
| 3 | ESI-II | Earth observation | 2 | 28 |
|  | T1-I | Contamination technology | 25 | 5 |
|  | T4-I | Advanced spacecraft systems test | 8 | 8 |
| 4 | MS-1-III | Materials science | 7 | 18 |
|  | LS-3-II | Plant growth transients | 14 | 5 |
| 5 | LS-4-II | Cells and tissues | 14 | 5 |
|  | T3-I | EVA | 12 | 2 |
| 6 | P2-I | Plasma physics | 1 | 4 |
|  | P2-II | Plasma physics | 1 | 4 |

Table 4-11. Payload Priorities - 30-Day Missions

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Payload } \\ & \text { ID } \end{aligned}$ | Experiment Package | Title | Priority | No. <br> Flights <br> Required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ESI-I | Earth observations | 2 | 15 |
|  | T 1 -II | Continuous technology | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | P1-II | Space physics | - 6 | 1 |
|  | P4-I | Physics \& chemistry | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | T2-I | Fluid management | 29 | 2 |
|  | T2-II | Fluid management | 29 | 2 |
|  | T2-III | Fluid management | 29 | 2 |
| 4 | LS-1-I | Medical research | 4 | 8 |
|  | LS-45-I | Biosciences | 14 | 5 |
|  | LS-6-I | Life support | 25 | 10 |
|  | LS-7-II | Man systems | 11 | 3 |
| 5 | Al-I | X-ray stellar astronomy | 5 | 2 |
| 6 | A3-I | Advanced solar astronomy | 3 | 5 |
| 7 | A4-I | Intermediate UV telescope | 13 | 2 |
| 8 | A5-1 | High-energy stellar astronomy | 5 | 6 |
| 9 | A6-I | IR astronomy | 9 | 5 |
| 10 | P3-I | Cosmic ray physics | 5 | 7 |
| 11 | C/N1-II | Search navigation \& RF propagation | 7 | 4 |
|  | C/Nl-III | Search navigation \& RF propagation | 7 | 1 |

The sequence of scheduling sortie flights (Figure 4-8) was to honor the priorities for two consecutive flights with the same payload on the same orbiter and then rotate through the various disciplines to achieve some early returns in all disciplines. This procedure was found to accomplish a reasonably varied experiment program over the firstsix months of operations. A day or so spacing between shuttle launches would actually occur, although the time scale of the chart makes it appear concurrent in some places.

When possible, payload-orbiter associations were repeated in case some peculiar interfaces between payload and shuttle should develop.

It was assumed that two shuttles might be dedicated to space station support; this was reflected in Figure 4-8 as alternating periods of buildup flight and rescue standby for Orbiters 1 and 2 starting in mid-1981.

Distribution of new skills over the sortie experiment program are shown by quarterly requirements in Table 4-12. This chart gives a broad indication of the training schedule requirement for the various crew skills.

The sortie experiment program schedule is summarized in Figure 4-9. Up to the time of initial space station IOC, a total of 84 seven-day and 33 thirty-day missions are accomplished. Sixty percent of these are conducted for the earth observations discipline. Table 4-13 totals the amount of mission time realized by each of the experiment disciplines.

Table 4-12. Crew Skills Utilization


Table 4-12. Crew Skills Utilization (Cont)


Table 4-13. Discipline Mission Time

|  | Number of Flights |  | Number of Days <br> on Orbit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 Days | 30 Days |  |
| Astronomy | 0 | 500 |  |
| Physics | 56 | 15 | 305 |
| Earth observations | 18 | 0 | 842 |
| Material sciences | 1 | 4 | 126 |
| Communications navigation | 16 | 4 | 127 |
| Technology | 5 | 10 | 232 |
| Life sciences |  |  | 335 |
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## 5. SUBSYSTEM ANALYSIS

This section presents the results of the sortie support subsystem analysis. In the subsystem concept selection process, the main theme was to satisfy sortie payload requirements with a minimum cost impact on the program. As a result, emphasis was placed on commonality between sortie support subsystems and shuttle orbiter or space station equipment. Support subsystem analyses and trades commenced with the identification of sortie payload requirements and terminated with concept selection definition and subsystem characteristic description for the following subsystems: electrical power (EPS), environmental control and life support (ECLSS), information (ISS), and guidance and control (G\&C).

## ELECTRICAL POWER SUBSYSTEM

A number of payloads were considered in the analysis. Various experiment combinations were iterated until a final list of payloads could be selected. The electrical requirements for combinations constituting final payloads are shown in Table 5-1. Total support requirements size the electrical power subsystem. The average power level required ranges from 720 to 4290 watts for the 7 -day sorties and 760 to 1720 watts for 30 -day sorties. A major electrical power support requirement is the ISS power for data support.

Present Phase B shuttle definitions limit available electrical energy for payloads to 20 kilowatt-hours and an average power level of 500 watts ( 800 watts peak). This is not sufficient to permit payloads support. Further study of shuttle power loads, reactant supply, and fuel cell capability showed that for 7-day missions more power can be made available to support payloads. This resulted in a new definition at 5.24 kilowatts based on data shown in Figure 5-1. A constraint of two fuel cells operating is assumed, with basic powered-down shuttle housekeeping loads set at 4.1 kilowatts, an additional 2.37 kilowatts required to power up shuttle subsystems, and 2.0 kilowatts required for thermal control. Assuming two fuel cells capable of providing 14.0 kilowatts, the new load profile gives a balance of 5.24 kilowatts for payload, accounting for losses. Additional fuel cell reactant will be charged to the payload.

Trades
The trade tree options for electrical power source are shown in Figure 5-2. Free flyers were eliminated from this study effort; therefore,
Table 5－1．Electrical Power Support Requirements

| a品首0 |  |  |  |  | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $$ |  <br>  |  |
|  |  |  | $$ |  | 第 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ha } \\ & \text { ax } \\ & \text { 品 } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & m \infty \\ & \underset{\sim}{\sim} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  <br>  | － |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \infty \text { no } \\ & \text { Nin in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{n}{n} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 4 ¢ d d |
|  |  | aono． | $\begin{gathered} \tilde{N} \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  －नー00000000 | 容 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & n 000 \\ & \text { nín } \end{aligned}$ | no o. | む̛ <br>  | 0 0 0 0 00 00 0 |
|  |  | NrNr | Nr |  |  |
|  |  | $\sum_{N}^{N} \sum_{N}^{N} \sum_{N}^{N}$ | $\sum_{N}^{N}$ |  | 烒 |



Figure 5-1. Shuttle Power Availability


Figure 5-2. EPS Trade Tree
only fuel cells and batteries were viable options (i.e., no solar arrays). Major consideration was given to the comparis on between using shuttle fuel cells versus supplying separate payload fuel cells. The power requirements for all payloads are within the available power profile of Figure 5-1. The definition of available shuttle power is conservative because it assumes only two fuel cells operating. Separate power sources for the payload will result in more weight and cost; however, it does minimize the shuttle-payload interface. The definition model of Figure 5-1 includes an oxygen storage capability of 200 pounds by the shuttle without additional tank penalty to the payload. This means that if the payload provides hydrogen storage capability of 22.2 pounds plus the reactants, the shuttle can supply 247 kilowatt-hours at a weight penalty of:

Hydrogen
Hydrogen tank
Oxygen
Oxygen tank
Subtotal
22.2 pounds
66.5 pounds (at 3 pounds per pound of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) 200.0 pounds

0
288.7 pounds or 858 watt-hr/pound

Primary batteries typically achieve 40 to 80 watt-hours per pound. Adding fuel cells to the payload penalizes the subsystem by about 35 pounds per kilowatt.

## MSS Subsystem Comparison

The MSS electrical subsystem is a $120 / 208$-volt ac, 3-phase, $400-\mathrm{Hertz}$ distribution design. Shuttle design (NR) calls out a low-voltage 28-volt dc design. The sortie power conditioning and distribution selection will be made on the basis of minimum cost and will utilize commonality to a maximum extent. If commonality with MSS is to be achieved the sortie would be a basic 120/208-volt ac system.

## Selection

Energy requirements for sorties exceed the point where batteries can be considered as the primary energy source (Table 5-2) with possible exception of some 7 -day sorties (e.g., $7 \mathrm{M}-1,-5$, and -6 ). Batteries may be considered for peaking and to supplement shuttle fuel cells if heat rejection constraints limit available power below payload requirements.

Based on weight, it was decided to utilize shuttle maximum available power for all experiment payloads compatible with Figure 5-1. All of the payloads can be met within this definition by using shuttle power. Peak power requirements of $7 \mathrm{M}-4$ will exceed the two-fuel cell case requiring more consideration being to utilizing three fuel cells or supplementary
Table 5－2．Battery－Fuel Cell Weight Comparison

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ¢ <br> d <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 | ¢으욱욱웅 |  <br>  |
|  |  | ¢ 0 00 0 0 | 808800 |  <br>  |
|  |  |  | －nのmいの |  |
|  |  |  | H－HNOO | NvナNnmmmmmo |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \stackrel{y}{40} \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\text { 隹 } \times \infty$ |
|  |  |  | N |  |
|  |  |  |  |  <br>  |
|  |  |  | © oñ |  |
|  |  |  |  <br>  | TNom N Nu No No |

peaking power capability by primary batteries. A standard payload to shuttle power and control interface will be defined. A 28-watt dc shuttle fuel cell output will require additional power conditioning. Since the payload requires high power transfer, it is felt that this conditioning should be done at or near the shuttle fuel cells. The EPS weight and volume characteristics are summarized in Table 5-3, which also presents the ground rules and as sumptions which were employed.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORT SUBS YSTEM

The environmental control and life support subsystem (ECLSS) provides a habitable environment for crew and equipment by providing oxygen and nitrogen (gaseous storage), carbon dioxide removal ( $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management), temperature-humidity-contaminate control (atmospheric control), heat rejection (thermal control), crew water iwater management), a toilet (waste management), handwashing units and towels (hygiene), food (food management), and fire control (special life support).

## Approach and Analysis

Three approaches could be taken in designing the sortie ECLSS:

1. Design a separate sortie ECLSS independent of the shuttle.
2. Utilize the shuttle ECLSS and add delta assemblies to the sortie as required,
3. Utilize the shuttle ECLSS and add expendables as required.

Before a decision could be made, the capacity of the shuttle had to be investigated. The shuttle ECLSS basically can handle four men, though certain functions can be stretched to handle five men. The shuttle, according to the guidelines of this study, is responsible only for the consumables for two men for seven days. However, the shuttle has enough volume for four men and seven days.

With these data, the approach in designing the sortie ECISS could be determined. First, only the atmospheric control and thermal control functions are sensitive to configuration and heat load. All other functions are crew-sensitive. Therefore, a combination of Apfroaches 2 and 3 was utilized, and two major factors were discovered. First, the shuttle atmospheric control can handle a maximum of only five men (humidity control exchanger). This forced the various sortie payloads to limit the crew to five men ( 2 crew plus 3 experimenters), with a tendency not to exceed four unless absolutely required. The second factor involved power loads and the resultant heat rejection requirements. First, the shuttle's heat rejection

Table 5-3. EPS—Sortie Weight and Volume Characteristics

| Payload | Power <br> Conditioning |  | Cryo Tanks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weight (1b) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Volume } \\ & (\mathrm{ft} 3) \end{aligned}\right.$ | Weight (lb) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Volume } \\ & \left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right) \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 7M-1 | 200 | 5 | 160 | 14 |
| 7M-2 | 200 | 5 | 240 | 19 |
| 7M-3 | 240 | 6 | 320 | 26 |
| 7M-4 | 320 | 8 | 400 | 31 |
| 7M-5 | 45 | 1 | 80 | 7 |
| 7M-6 | 110 | 3 | 80 | 7 |
| 30M-1 | 90 | 3 | 320 | 24 |
| 30M-2 | 220 | 6 | 400 | 31 |
| 30M-3 | 200 | 5 | 720 | 55 |
| 30M-4 | 60 | 2 | 400 | 31 |
| 30M-5 | 60 | 2 | 400 | 31 |
| 30M-6 | 100 | 3 | 480 | 36 |
| 30M-7 | 80 | 2 | 480 | 36 |
| 30M-8 | 110 | 3 | 560 | 43 |
| 30M-9 | 90 | 3 | 560 | 43 |
| 30M-10 | 110 | 3 | 560 | 43 |
| 30M-11 | 100 | 2.5 | 320 | 24 |
| (1) Power conditioning is based on $40 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{kWe}$ with $40 \%$ of the weight allocated to wiring (volume estimates do not include wiring). |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Payloads $7 M-1$ through $7 M-6$ assume 200-1b oxygen storage capability provided by shuttle with no additional tank penalty (not applicable to 30M-1 through 30M-11). |  |  |  |  |
| $\text { (3) Cryo tanks utilize standard tanks: } \begin{aligned} \text { Oxygen tanks }= & 801 \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{(5} \mathrm{ft} 3 \\ & @ 350 \mathrm{lb} \text { capacity) } \\ \text { Hydrogen tanks }= & 801 \mathrm{~b}\left(7 \mathrm{ft}^{3}\right. \\ & @ 30.8 \mathrm{lb} \\ & \text { capacity) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

capacity is sized for the shuttle orbital loads and not additional large experiment loads. Also, the shuttle must rely on water boiling for large heat rejection loads and some experiments cannot tolerate water in the space environment when they are operating. Therefore, the payload's thermal loop was made separate from the shuttle. This decision influenced experiment packaging in order to avoid large radiator requirements.

The various proposed experiments for the sortie missions are compiled in Table 5-4 with their required heat rejection, tentative thermal control concepts, and number of men required. The information in Table 5-4 was used to generate Figure 5-3. The data in Table 5-4 and Figure 5-3 were used as one of the inputs in determining how to group the various experiment packages into the various sortie payload modules. The basic assumption used to generate the parametric weights and heat rejection rates in Figure 5-3 and Table 5-4 were:

1. The shuttle is responsible for intermittent manning heat loads.
2. Constantly manned experiments are penalized for man's metabolic heat load.
3. To obtain a reasonable metabolic sustainedheat load, the maximum number of men times the average metabolic heat generation rate of $496 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{man}$-hour was used.
4. Sustained peaks (loads greater than l hour) were utilized.
5. Radiators reject $35 \mathrm{Btu} /$ hour-feet ${ }^{2}$. It was assumed that the various orbital parameters have negligible effects on weight. However, radiator area is affected by several orbital parameters. Note that this assumption applies only to experiment packages in Table 5-4 and Figure 5-3.
6. All normally unattended experiments can use a single Freon radiator concept instead of a dual loop water-Freon concept. Continuously manned experiments will utilize the dual loop waterFreon concept.
7. Three experiments-P3-I, CNI-I, and CN1-III—can be passively cooled. The low heat rejection rates may require no thermal control penalty depending on the size and orbit of the module.
8. The assumed temperature ranges are 40 to 80 F for all experiments. No data for actual temperature ranges are available.

Table 5-4. Heat Rejection Rates

| Experiment <br> ID Number | Heat Rejection Concept | Sustained Heat Rejection Btu/hr | Number of Men (Maximum) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Al-I | $\mathrm{F}^{\text {(1) }}$ | 967 | - |
| A3-I | F | 2,455 | - |
| A5-I | $F$ or $\mathrm{P}^{(1)}$ | 228 | - |
| P3-I | F | 1,875 |  |
| T4-II | F | 649 |  |
| A4-I | F | 1,095 |  |
| A6-I | $F / W^{(1)}$ | 1,023 | - |
| P1-I | F/W | 6,953 | 3 |
| P1-II | F/W | 4,863 | 2 |
| P2-I | F/W | 341 | - |
| P2-II | F/W | 426 | - |
| P4-I | F/W | 2,270 | 3 |
| ES1-I | F/W | 4,534 | 2 |
| ESI-II | F/W | 6,764 | 3 |
| ES1-III | F/W | 6,290 | 2 |
| MS1-III | F/W | 18,548 | 3 |
| T1-I | $F / W$ or $P$ | 205 | - |
| Ti-I | F/W | 2,253 | - |
| T1-II | F/W or P | 955 | - |
| T1-I | F/W | 2,221 | 2 |
| T1-II | F/W | 2,221 | 2 |
| T2-I | F/W | 5,431 | 2 |
| T2-II | F/W | 2,357 | 2 |
| T2-III | F/W | 1,127 | 2 (2) |
| T3-I | F/W | 2,115 | $1(+2)^{(2)}$ |
| T4-I | F/W | 14,939 | 4 |
| LS1-I | F/W | 1,661 | 3 |
| LS3-II | F/W | 1,046 | 1 |
| LS4-II | F/W | 1,094 | 2 |
| LS4, 5-I | F/W | 1,164 | 2 |
| LS6-I | F/W | 3,163 | 5 |
| LST-II | E/W | 1,042 | 2 |
| NOTES: (1) F=freon, $\mathrm{W}=$ water, $\mathrm{P}=$ passive |  |  |  |
| (2) 1 man unsuited, 2 men suited so that metabolic load is removed by PLSS. |  |  |  |
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After the various sortie module payloads were defined, the radiator heat rejection was redefined as foilows:

1. Near $3-^{\circ}$ inclination $-35 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
2. Near $70^{\circ}$ inclination - $50 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$
3. Polar and sun synchronous - $100 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hr}-\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ with radiator always viewing deep space (on dark side of module)

These heat rejection values were assumed because normally for lower inclination orbits, the effects of the earth, sun, albedo, module placement, etc., are higher resulting in less heat rejection per square foot of radiator. The 35 Btu/hour-feet ${ }^{2}$ was derived from an orbit and radiator location similar to the MSS. Table 5-5 lists the sortie payloads with their inclination and the heat rejection per square foot utilized to calculate radiator requirements. Note that sortie payloads are listed as well as the experiment packages which make up the sortie module payloads.

Also, after the experiments were regrouped into payloads, all had heat rejection requirements greater than $1,000 \mathrm{Btu} / \mathrm{hour}$ ( $\approx 300$ watts). Therefore, it was assumed that the passive thermal control option could be discarded:

After the various experiment packages had been grouped into sortie module payloads and the crew size and thermal control concept selected, the ECLSS characteristics could be calculated. The following section covers the selected ECLSS concepts and characteristics.

Subsystem Selection and Description
The selected approach for the sortie ECLSS is to utilize the shuttle ECLSS and only provide expendables, atmospheric control ducting, and thermal control independent of the shuttle ECLSS. The following paragraphs cover the sortie ECLSS for shuttle sortie experiment operations. The sortie ECLSS assemblies discussed are:

1. Gaseous storage
2. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management
3. Atmospheric control
4. Thermal Control
5. Water management
6. Waste-management
7. Hygiene*
8. Food management**
9. Special life support

Table 5-5. Sortie Payload Radiator Sizing Parameter

| Sortie Payload | Experiment Packages | Orbital Inclination (Degrees) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Radíator } \\ & \text { Heat Rejct } \\ & \left(\text { Btu/hr-ft }{ }^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7M-1 | ES-1-III, T1-I | 90 | 100 |
| 7M-2 | P1-I, T1-I, $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N}-1-\mathrm{I}$ | 90 | 100 |
| 7M-3 | ES-1-II, T4-I, T1-I | 55 | 35 |
| 7M-4 | MS1-III | 28 1/2 | 35 |
| 7M-5 | LS-3-II, LS-4-II, T-3-I | 28 1/2 | 35 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-6$ | $\mathrm{P}-2-\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{P}-2-\mathrm{II}$ | 55 | 35 |
| 30M-1 | ES-1-I, T-1-II | 70 | $50^{-}$ |
| 30M-2 | P-1-II, P-4-I | 28 1/2 | 35 |
| 30M-3 | T-2-I, T-2-II, T-2-III | $281 / 2$ | 35 |
| 30M-4 | LS-I-I, LS-4, 5-I, LS-6-I, LS-7-II | $281 / 2$ | 35 |
| 30M-5 | A-I-I | 0 | 35 |
| 30M-6 | A-3-I | Sun Sync | 100 |
| 30M-7 | A-4-I | 28-70 | 35 |
| 30M-8 | A-5-I | 0 | 35 |
| 30M-9 | A-6-I | $50-60$ | 35 |
| 30M-10 | P-3-I | $281 / 2$ | 35 |
| 30M-11 | C/N-1-II, C/N-1-III | 90 | 100 |

*Some of the items considered here are part of crew and habitability subsystems on shuttle and modular space station programs.
On the shuttle program this assembly is part of the ECLSS; on the modular space station this assembly is part of the habitability subsystem.

## Gaseous Storage

The shuttle provides oxygen and nitrogen for seven days of shuttle leakage and metabolic oxygen for two men for seven days. Thus, the sortie ECLSS must provide for metabolic oxygen for any crew above the two-man limit as well as the experiment module oxygen and nitrogen leakage for 7-day missions. For 30-day missions, metabolic oxygen for any crew above the two-man limit is provided as well as 23 days worth for the two-man shuttle crew. Thirty days of leakage oxygen and nitrogen is provided for the experiment module, and 23 days of shuttle leakage is provided. Table $5-6$ presents the leakage rates.

Table 5-6. Metabolic and Leakage Rates

| Item | Shuttle | Experiment <br> Module |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Metabolic oxygen (lb/man-day) | 1.84 | 1.84 |
| Leakage oxygen (lb/day) | 1.86 | 0.233 |
| Leakage nitrogen (lb/day) | 6.85 | 0.767 |

Since the shuttle has no extra storage capacity for crew atmosphere quality oxygen, the sortie ECLSS will provide the additional supercritical oxygen and associated tankage. The experiment sortie ECLSS also will provide additional high-pressure (3000-psia) nitrogen and high-pressure nitrogen tank.

No pumpdown subassemblies or makeup gases are provided by the sortie ECLSS. Further definition of the experiment module will be required to determine pumpdown support. For now, it is assumed that the experiment payloads provide pumpdown or airlock gas makeup.. Also, no contingency gas supplies have been provided.

## $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Management

For compatibility with the shuttle, the sortie ECLSS utilizes the LiOH for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ removal. For the crew sizes which are equal to five persons, the four-man shuttle LiOH system is utilized with cartridges changed more frequently and slightly higher fan flow rates.

As in the gaseous storage assembly, the shuttle provides 14 man-days of LiOH cartridges. For the larger crews and longer missions additional

LiOH cartridges are provided by the sortie ECLSS. Note that the shuttle $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ production rate of 2.12 pounds per man-day was utilized in calculating the expendable. The modular space station uses a rate of 2.25 pound man-day.

## Atmospheric Control

The shuttle has a condensing heat exchanger and sensible heat exchanger which are designed to accommodate four men. These two units can be stretched to accommodate five men. However, to cover experiment air loads, a small sensible heat exchanger was added to the sortie ECLSS for all payloads except $7 \mathrm{M}-1,7 \mathrm{M}-2$, and $7 \mathrm{M}-6$.

Payloads $7 \mathrm{M}-1,7 \mathrm{M}-2$, and $7 \mathrm{M}-6$ are pallet loads and because they are only 7 days duration no delta habitable volume exists and therefore the ducting, fans, and sensible heat exchanger were not required. The shuttle provides the necessary atmospheric control for the latent loads in all the payloads.

Since the shuttle and sortie module both perform temperature control, (except for $7 \mathrm{M}-1,7 \mathrm{M}-2$, and $7 \mathrm{M}-6$ ) an interconnecting duct arrangement was required. Figure 5-4 illustrates the ducting arrangement. The shuttle return and supply ducts connect the sortie module duct work with the shuttle heat exchanger and condenser which in turn are connected to the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management ( LiOH ) assembly. Distribution ducting for circulation of the processed air is allocated to the experiment module. Four local ventilation fans are also provided.

Pressure control for all payloads is provided by the shuttle pressure control. (Thus, the sortie nitrogen tanks are plumbed into the shuttle nitrogen distribution lines.) Contaminant control is provided solely by the charcoal in the LiOH canisters.

Thermal Loop
The thermal loop is one of the few sortie ECLSS assemblies which is completely independent of the corresponding shuttle ECLSS assembly. Payloads $7 \mathrm{M}-1$ to $7 \mathrm{M}-5,30 \mathrm{M}-1$ to $30 \mathrm{M}-4$, and $30 \mathrm{M}-11$ have an internal water loop and external Freon heat rejection loop. These loops, each sized for the specific payload, are identical in concept to that on the modular space station. Table 5-7 presents the heat rejection loads.

Radiator rejection rates are defined for the various inclinations in Table lA.


Figure 5-4. Sortie ECLSS Ducting

Table 5-7. Heat Rejection Loads Used to Size Thermal Control Assembly

| Payload | Required Heat <br> Rejection (Btu/hr) | Payload | Required Heat <br> Rejection (Btu/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-1$ | 12,942 | $30 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 8,234 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 17,372 | $30 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 4,832 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 24,222 | $30 \mathrm{M}-5$ | 2,570 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 21,254 | $30 \mathrm{M}-6$ | 4,099 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-5$ | 5,275 | $30 \mathrm{M}-7$ | 2,661 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-6$ | 2,031 | $30 \mathrm{M}-8$ | 3,092 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-1$ |  | $30 \mathrm{M}-9$ | 2,661 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 9,584 | $30 \mathrm{M}-10$ | 4,400 |

Payloads 7M-6 and 30M-5 to 30M-10 do not have a water-Freon thermal control subassembly. Since they are only infrequently manned, the water loop was eliminated to save weight. Only a Freon loop is utilized.

Note that since excess water is available (see following discussion on water management), there may be merit in connecting the shuttle water sublimator to the sortie thermal control loop through an interconnecting heat exchanger (and lines) if the sortie module radiator becomes too large.

## Water Management

The shuttle water requirement is 6.25 pounds per man-day (compared to an equivalent MSS requirement of 6.54 pound per man-day. The shuttle fuel cells, at minimum load, produce 4 pound per hour of water and can range up to 14 pound per hour. Thus, for worse case, the daily water requirement for a five-man crew is produced in 8 hours. More than enough water is available. In addition, the shuttle water storage capacity of 360 pounds (fresh plus waste tank capacity) is more than sufficient. Therefore, no water hardware or expendables have to be stored by the sortie ECLSS. (However, the sortie EPS must store oxygen and hydrogen for the fuel cells.)

## Waste Management

The shuttle waste management assembly consists of a vacuum dry toilet with slinger and an attached water flush urinal which is adequate for all sortie missions. Only additional expendables such as filters and fecal storage containers need to be provided.

## Hygiene

The shuttle has a hygiene assembly consisting of wet and dry wipe (towels) and storage. The storage area is adequate for 7-day missions but the sortie needs to supply more storage for the 30 -day missions. Also, expendables are furnished by the sortie ECLSS for crew sizes greater than two men.

## Food Management

The shuttle has a dry and wet food mix, but no frozen foods. The food rate is 2.68 pound per man-day (versus the MSS rate of 2.86 pound per manday) including packaging.

The sortie ECLSS needs only to supply additional food and (disposable) eating utensils ( 0.04 pound per man-day rate for napkin plus utensil) for crew sizes over two men and seven days. The shuttle reconstitution unit and resistance oven are adequate for sortie missions.

## Special Life Support

The only equipment for this assembly is two fire extinguisher packages and one fire detector. The shuttle-type aqueous gel extinguisher was utilized instead of the MSS $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ extinguisher. The MSS-type fire detector was utilized since the shuttle fire detector is undefined.

## Summary and Characteristics

Table 5-8 summarizes the sortie ECLSS implementation. Most ECLSS functions are accomplished by storing more expendables. The only major assemblies - as far as fixed hardware is concerned - is in the atmospheric control and thermal control assemblies. Tables 5-9 through 5-11 present the weight, power, and volume of the sortie ECLSS deltas to the shuttle.

Note that expendable weight and volume credits were given to the items furnished by the shuttle (as 14 man-days of metabolic oxygen) and to assemblies located in the shuttle (as the toilet, water, etc.)

Table 5-8. Sortie ECLSS Implementation

| Assembly/Function | Approach |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gaseous'storage Oxygen supply <br> Nitrogen supply | Provide necessary gas expendable. Assume existing OMS or other supercritical tank is available for storage. <br> Add necessary expendable gas and necessary 3000-psia nitrogen tanks. Connect tanks into shuttle nitrogen pressure control. |
| Pumpdown | Insufficient data to size. No capability provided by ECLSS. Gas loss also neglected. |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ removal* | Use shuttle canisters and provide necessary expendables. For 7M-3 add a delta housing and ducting. |
| Atmospheric control Circulation | Add ducting and duct fan in sortie module. Add local ventilation fans. |
| Temperature control* | Use shuttle sensible heat exchanger via interconnecting ducting with a booster fan. Also, add sensible heat exchanger for any other air loads in sortie experiment module. |
| Humidity control* | Use shuttle condensing heat exchanger via interconnecting ducting as above. |
| Pressure control | Use shuttle concept. |
| Contaminant control | Use shuttle concept ${ }^{* *}$ |
| Thermal control | Use separate Freon/water loops with radiator for payloads $7 \mathrm{M}-1$ to $7 \mathrm{M}-5,30 \mathrm{M}-1$ to $30 \mathrm{M}-4$, and $30 \mathrm{M}-11$. Use separate Freon loop with radiator for payloads $7 \mathrm{M}-6$ and $30 \mathrm{M}-5$ to $30 \mathrm{M}-10$ |
| Water management Water storage | Shuttle fuel cells provide adequate water. Storage tanks provide adequate capacity. No deltas to the shuttle system are required. |
| Waste management <br> Fecal collection <br> Urine collection <br> Trash processing | Shuttle hardware is adequate. Provide only expendables. |
| Hygiene | Shuttle assembly used. Provide only expendables. |
| Food management | Shuttle concepts system used. Provide only food and utensil expendables. |
| Special life support Fire control | Add shuttle-type fire extinguisher packages to sortie module. Add MSS-type fire detector. |
| *Assumes shuttle capability can be stretched to 5 -man capacity by increased air flow without new hardware. <br> :*: Charcoal for contaminant control is in LiOH canisters. |  |



| Assembly | $\begin{gathered} \text { Payload } \\ \text { (Crew Size**) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7M-1 (4) |  | 7M-2 (4) |  | 7M-3 (4) |  | 7M-4 (4) |  | 7M-5 (5) |  | 7M-6 (4) |  | 30M-1 (4) |  | 30M-2 (4) |  |
|  | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Sxp |
| Gaseous storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (gas) | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 330 | 0 | 330 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (tank) | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 82 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (gas) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 181 | 0 | 181 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (tank) | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 212 | 0 |
| Pumpdown |  |  |  |  |  |  | - Not | Provi | d by | LSS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ removal LiOH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 608 | 0 | 608 |
| Atmospheric control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ducting | 0** | 0 | 0* | 0 | 200 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 200 | 0 |
| Duct fans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 0 |
| Ventilation fans (4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 |
| Temperature control (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thermal control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coldplate, valves, tube** | 267 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 470 | 0 | 451 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 185 | 0 |
| Water pumps (2) | 20 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Heat rejection loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Radiator | 138 | 0 | 186 | 0 | 740 | 0 | 651 | 0 | 162 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 204 | 0 | 261 | 0 |
| Intercoolers (2) | 95 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 52 | 0 |
| Freon pumps (2) | 30 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 20 | 0 |
| Freon rebervoir (2) | 20 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 15 | 0 |
| Water management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Waste management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trash bags | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| *Pallet-mounted, shuttle ventilation should be adequate ** Includes water and Freon valves and tubes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **** Includes 2 -man shuttle crew. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5-9. Sortie ECLSS Weight Deltas Over Shuttle Capability (Cont)

| Assembly | $\begin{gathered} \text { Payload } \\ \text { (Crew Size***) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7M-1 (4) |  | 7M-2 (4) |  | 7M-3 (4) |  | 7M-4 (4) |  | 7M-5 (5) |  | 7M-6 (4) |  | 30M-1 (4) |  | 30M-2 (4) |  |
|  | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp |
| Hygiene Wipes, etc. | 0 | 83 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 83 | 50 | 904 | 50 | 904 |
| Food management Food | 0 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 0 | - 38 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 407 |
| Utensils | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Special life support Fire extinguisher (2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 |
| Fire detector (1) | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
|  | 583 | 210 | 778 | 210 | 1936 | 210 | 1751 | 210 | 731 | 320 | 218 | 210 | 1244 | 2446 | 1266 | 2446 |
| \%**Includes 2 -man shuttle crew. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 5-9. Sortie ECLSS Weight Deltas Over Shuttle Capability (Cont)

| Assembly | $\begin{gathered} \text { Payload } \\ \text { (CrewSize } \left.{ }^{w, * *}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30M-3 (4) |  | 30M-4 (5) |  | 30M-5 (4) |  | 30M-6 (4) |  | 30M-7 (4) |  | 30M-8 (4) |  | 30M-9 (4) |  | 30M-10 (4) |  | 30M-11(4) |  |
|  | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp |
| Hygiene Wipes, etc. | 50 | 904 | 50 | 1083 | 50 | 904 | 50 | 904 | 50 | 904 | 50 | 904 | 50 | 904 | 50 | 904 | 50 | 904 |
| Food management Food | 0 | 407 | 0 | 488 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 407 |
| Utensils | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Special life support Fire extinguisher (2) | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 |
| Fire detector (1) | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Total | 1247 | 2446 | 1044 | 2893 | 886 | 2446 | 902 | 2446 | 892 | 2446 | 918 | 2446 | 892 | 2446 | 1003 | 2446 | 976 | 2446 |
| *****Includes 2-man shuttle crew. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]Table 5-10. Sortie ECLSS Power Deltas Over Shuttle

Capability (Cont)

| Assembly | Payload |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30M-3 |  | 30M-4 |  | 30M-5 |  | 30M-6 |  | 30M-7 |  | 30M-8 |  | 30M-9 |  | 30M-10 |  | 30M-11 |  |
|  | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max | Avg | Max |
| Gaseous storage | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management <br> (see atmospheric control) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Atmospheric control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duct fans | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 |
| Ventilation fans | 56 | 112 | 56 | 112 | 56 | 112 | 56 | 112 | 56 | 112 | 56 | 112 | 56 | 112 | 56 | 112 | 56 | 112 |
| Temperature control fans Pressure control | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| Contamination control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ttie | rovid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Contamination control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ovid |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thermal control Internal loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water pumps | 70 | 70 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 47 |
| Heat rejection loop Freon pumps | 55 | 55 | 30 | 30 | 39 | 39 | 62 | 62 | 40 | 40 | 47 | 47 | 40 | 40 | 67 | 67 | 31 | 31 |
| Water/waste management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hygiene/food management | 0 | 0 | 0 | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 391 | 447 | 339 | 395 | 305 | 361 | 328 | 384 | 306 | 362 | 313 | 369 | 306 | 362 | 333 | 389 | 344 | 400 |

Table 5-1]. Sortie ECLSS Volume Deltas Over Shuttle Capability

| Assembly | Payload |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7M-1 |  | 7M-2 |  | 7M-3 |  | 7M-4 |  | 7M-5 |  | 7M-6 |  | 30M-1 |  | 30M-2 |  |
|  | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp |
| ```Gaseous storage O N``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 4.5 | 0 | 4.5 | 0 |
|  | 0. 4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 12.2 | 0 | 12.2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ removal* LiOH (storage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 | 16.7 |
| Atmospheric control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ducting | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 19' ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{di}$ | $\times 136$ |  | $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | -- | ' dia | 136') |  |
| Duct fans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Ventilate fans (4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 |
| Temperature control | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 |
| Thermal control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal loop Water purnp (2) | 1.0 | 0 | 1. 3 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 |
| Heat rejection loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Radiator ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) | (129) | 0 | (174) | 0 | (692) | 0 | (608) | 0 | (151) | 0 | (58) | 0 | (192) | 0 | (244) | 0 |
| Intercooler (2) | 3.0 | 0 | 4.0 | 0 | 6.1 | 0 | 6.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.0 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 |
| Freon purnp (2) | 1.2 | 0 | 1. 4 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 1. 1 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 |
| Freon reservoir (2) | 0.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 |
| Water management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Waste management** | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg |
| Hygiene* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 13.2 |
| Food management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 | 12.5 |
| Special life support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire extinguisher (2) | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 |
| Fire detector (1) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 |
| Total | 6.2 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 3.4 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 42.4 | 35.9 | 42.4 |
| \%Credit given for room in Shuttle. (This is valid for all payload cases. Shuttle has room for 4 man expendables for 7 days). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5-11. Sortie ECLSS Volume Deltas Over Shuttle Capability (Cont)

| Assembly | Payload |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30M-3 |  | 30M-4 |  | 30M-5 |  | 30M-6 |  | 30M-7 |  | 30M-8 |  | 30M-9 |  | 30M-10 |  | 30M-11 |  |
|  | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp | Fix. | Exp |
| Gaseous storage <br> $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ tank (cryogenic) <br> $N_{2}$ tank (high-pressure) <br> $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management <br> $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ removal* <br> LiOH (storage) | 4.5 12.2 | 0 | 5.3 12.2 | 0 0 | 4.5 12.2 | 0 | 4.5 12.2 | 0 | 4.5 12.2 | 0 | 4.5 12.2 | 0 0 | 4.5 12.2 | 0 | 4.5 12.2 | 0 | 4.5 12.2 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 16. 7 | 0 | 22. 5 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 | 16.7 | 0 | 16.7 |
| Atmospheric control Circulation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ducting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | dia $x$ | $36 \mathrm{ft})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Duct fans | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Ventilation fans (4) | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 | 5.2 | 0 |
| Temperature control | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 |
| Thermal control |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water pump (2) | 0.8 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| Heat rejection loop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Radiator ( $\mathrm{ft}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) | (235) | 0 | (138) | 0 | (73.5) | 0 | (41.0) | 0 | (76. 1) | 0 | (88.4) | 0 | (76.1) | 0 | (126) | 0 | (58) | 0 |
| Intercooler (2) | 1.4 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (760 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 |
| Freon pump (2) | 0.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1. 2 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 |
| Freon reservoir (2) | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 |
| Water management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| W aste management* | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg |
| Hygiene* | 8.0 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 17. 5 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 8. 0 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 13.2 | 8.0 | 13.2 |
| Food management* | 0 | 12. 5 | 0 | 16.5 | 0 | 12. 5 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 | 12. 5 | 0 | 12.5 | 0 | 12. 5 | 0 | 12.5 |
| Special life support |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire extinguisher (2) | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1. 2 | 0 | 1. 2 | 0 |
| Fire detector (1) | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 | Neg | 0 |
| Total | 35.6 | 42.4 | 35.1 | 56.5 | 34.0 | 42.4 | 33.9 | 42.4 | 33.4 | 42.4 | 33.6 | 42.4 | 33.4 | 42.4 | 34.1 | 42.4 | 34.7 | 42.4 |
| *Volume credit given for 28 man-days storage in shuttle. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The ISS studies were conducted in support of the sortie payloads for the orbiter. The study began with an evaluation of requirements and then proceeded to the identification of support equipment and description of physical characteristics. An ISS concept was developed to support the sortie experiments which consists of control/display, recording (video and digital), and external communications equipment.

## Study Guidelines

The guidelines for the sortie payload ISS are summarized as follows:

1. Design of the sortie payload ISS will be self-sufficient so that interface with the orbiter data management system will be minimized.
2. Closed-circuit color television will be provided from the sortie module to the orbiter payload control and display station. The ability to relay color TV to the ground will be provided through the orbiter payload control and display station.
3. The sortie module ISS will provide the capability for checkout, command, control, monitoring, and display of sortie module subsystems operation. Automation will be implemented where it is possible to relieve the crew from routine and repetitive tasks; however, the crew override capability will be available at all times.
4. Sortie module subsystem safety parameter signals will be provided for display at both the orbiter crew station and the orbiter payload control and display station.

## Approach

The approach used to determine the ISS equipment consisted of four major steps: (1) establish ground rules for the ISS, (2) analyze requirements of each experiment and the defined groups of experiments for each payload to establish the ISS payload requirements, (3) perform studies to relate the requirements to technology, and (4) determine the ISS physical characteristics based on the ISS requirements.

Ground Rules
The ground rules used for the study as related to the ISS were:

1. The ISS concepts and components as defined for the MSS will be utilized for the sortie payloads to reduce development of equipment.
2. The orbiter's information system will be evaluated and its capability utilised on a noninterfering basis.
3. The monitoring and control of the payload subsystems would be accommodated through the orbiter system. This will be accomplished with the 5 kbps which have been allocated to the payloads.

## Requirements

An analysis was made of each experiment to determine its ISS requirement. The experiments were then analyzed as a payload package and digital data acquisition, data external transmission, data storage, and display requirements defined. These requirements are listed in Tables 5-12 and 5-13 for the 7-day and 30-day sortie missions.

Analyses and Technology Selection
Analyses of the requirements for each of the payloads showed that the requirements in almost all areas exceeded the capability allocated to the payload by the orbiter. This included data required to be transmitted to the ground, recording of video and digital data, and command control and monitoring of the experiment operations and their data.

The internal communications functions of voice telecomm between experiment personnel and the orbiter crew and ground could be accomplished, however, using the orbiter equipment. The monitor and alarm function for the experiments payload would also be accomplished using the 5 kbps allocated to the payload. The data from the payload would enter the orbiter through its ACT (acquisition control and test) unit.

## External Communication

Studies were conducted to select an optimum method of transmitting between $1.5 \times 10^{9}$ bits of experiment data and 2 megaHertz of TV per day to the ground. Two options were available: $K$ band and $S$ band. The S-band option was selected because it is lighter, less complex, and costs less. The S-band subassembly will utilize fixed semidirective antennas.
Table 5-12. Seven-Day Sortie Payload ISS Requirements

Table 5-13. Thirty-Day Sortie Payload ISS Requirements

| Payload Number | Experiment Package | Digital Data Acguisition |  | Transmission |  | \| Mission Storage |  | Display |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Digit. Data } \\ & 24 \mathrm{Hr} \text { Delay } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { TV } \\ \text { Delay. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Pigita | $\frac{1 \text { Data }}{\substack{\text { Util. } \\(\mathrm{hrs})}}$ | (\%V.) |
|  |  | Peak Rate | 24 Hr . Avg. |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Total (bits }}$ Digit. Data | TV |  |  |  |
| 30M-1 | ES1-I; T1-II | $1.2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{9}$ bits | $2.2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{bits}$ | -- | $7.7 \times 10^{10}$ |  | м | 4.13 | 2 (1) |
| 30M-2 | P1-II; P4-I | $4.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | $4.1 \times 10^{9}$ bits |  | -- | $1.2 \times 10^{11}$ |  | m | 0.1 |  |
| 30M-3 | T2-I; T2-II; | 5. $8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{bps}$ | $4.8 \times 10^{7}$ bits |  | -- | $1.5 \times 10^{9}$ | - | тv | -- | 24 |
|  | T2-III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30M-4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LS1-I; LS4,5-I; } \\ & \text { LS6-I; LS7-II } \end{aligned}$ | 2. $8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | $3.3 \times 10^{7}$ bits | ----- | -- | $7.4 \times 10^{8}$ | - | M | 17.31 | 142 |
| 30M-5 | Al-I | $4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | $5 \times 10^{8}$ bits | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 5 \times 10^{7} \\ & 5 \times 10 / 0 \\ & 5 \times 10^{B / D} \\ & B / D \end{aligned}\right.$ | -- | $1.5 \times 10^{10}$ | - | ${ }_{0} 3$ | -- | 0.5 |
| 30M-6 | A3-I | $4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | $6 \times 10^{8}$ bits | $6 \times 10^{8}$ bits | -- | $1.8 \times 10^{10}$ | - | TV | -- | 0.6 |
| 30M-7 | A4-I | $4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{9}$ bits | $4 \times 10^{8}$ bits | -- | $4.8 \times 10^{10}$ |  | -- | -- | -- |
| 30M-8 | A5-I | $6.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{bps}$ | $5.4 \times 10^{8}$ bits | $5.4 \times 10^{7}$ bits | -- | $1.6 \times 10^{10}$ |  | M | 3.5 | -- |
| 30M-9 | A6-I | $4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | 1. $2 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bits}$ | $3 \times 10^{8}$ bits | -- | $3.6 \times 10^{10}$ |  |  | -- | -- |
| 30M-10 | P3-I | $1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ | 2. $5 \times 10^{9}$ bits | $\cdots$ | -- | $4.5 \times 10^{10}$ |  | D4 | 2.5 | -- |
| 30M-11 | c/N1-II; C/N1-III | $3.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps}$ | 1. $3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bits}$ | $3.8 \times 10^{8}$ bits | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \times 10^{10} \\ & 1 \mathrm{Hr} / \mathrm{Day}- \\ & \text { Analog } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{\text { Exp. }}{\text { Exp- }}$ vided | -- | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) High Resolution TV; (2) TV Displayed - 14 Hrs. per 30 days; M - Multiformat; D - Dedicated B/O - Bits per Operation; B/D - Bits per Day; (3) 6 Displays; (4) 12 Displays |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Data Processing

Studies to select the optimum method for recording $7.4 \times 10^{8}$ to 1.1 x $10^{12}$ bits of experiment data for each mission considered the following technologies: (1) solid state, (2) magnetic tape, (3) rotating media, and (4)optical storage media. All techniques required read and write capabilities. Solidstate devices include plated wire, core, and transistor-type techniques. Magnetic tape involves continuous-run tape recorders which transfer data in large blocks of data bits. The rotating medium is a magnetic disk and drum. The optical storage medium operates by having a laser beam or electron beam record on a metallic-coded photographic emulsion.

The magnetic tape technology was selected on the basis of less weight, complexity, and cost when compared to the other technologies considered.

## Command Control and Monitoring

Two options were available for the displays and controls required to manage from $3.3 \times 10^{7} \times 10^{11}$ bits of experiment data per mission: dedicated meters and controls and a multiformat display (callable alphanumerics with TV and keyboard control). Analysis was of weight, volume, power, complexity, flexibility, and cost factors resulted in selection of the multiformat display.

## ISS Concept

The ISS concept to meet sortie requirements is shown in block diagram form in Figure 5-5. The diagram shows experiment data entering a switching and control unit from which it is routed to the various data depositories (i.e., recorders, display, or RF links). The physical characteristics of the ISS for each payload was based on the components required to perform the functions for each payload defined in the block diagram. The physical characteristics, as a function of the payload, are listed in Tables 5-14 through 5-17.

Table 5－14．ISS Physical Characteristics－7－Day Missions

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\Sigma{ }_{\sim}$ | 「न－ | $\square$ $\infty$ 0 | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ |
| ミn | 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | 0 |
| ミ | －1 | N | $\stackrel{-1}{n}$ |
| ミm |  | ¢ | － |
| ミN | 11 H－Hm1 1 N | － | $\stackrel{9}{\square}$ |
| ミ -1 | －rrrrrin 1 | N | － |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 5-15. ISS Power Requirements - 24-Hour Energy (KWH) and Peak Watts) -

| ISS <br> Equipment | 7M-1 |  | 7M-2 |  | 7M-3 |  | 7M-4 |  | 7M-5 |  | 7M-6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Engy | Peak | Engy | Peak | Engy | Peak | Engy | Peak | Engy | Peak | Engy | Peak |
| S-Band | 0.2 | 102 | - | - | 0.2 | 102 | 0.2 | 102 | - | - | 0.2 | 102 |
| Playback/recorder | 0.1 | 50 | - | - | 0.1 | 50 | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 50 |
| Digital recorder 1 | 2.7 | 112 | 2.7 | 112 | 2.7 | 112 | 2.7 | 112 | 2.7 | 112 | 2.7 | 112 |
| Digital recorder 2 | - | 45 | - | 45 | - | 45 | - | - | - | - | - | 45 |
| Video recorder 1 | 0.2 | 78 | 0.2 | 78 | 0.2 | 78 | 0.1 | 78 | - | - | 0.9 | 78 |
| Video recorder 2 | - | 45 | - | 45 | - | 45 | - | 45 | - | - | - | 45 |
| Multiformat display | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5.8 | 510 | 1.2 | 510 |
| Multiform. Disp. w/Hi-Res. TV | 4.5 | 515 | 3.1 | 515 | 2.2 | 515 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TV display | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.1 | 225 | - | - | - | - |
| Totals | 7.7 | 947 | 6.0 | 795 | 5.4 | 947 | 3.1 | 572 | 8.5 | 622 | 5.1 | 942 |
|  | KWH | W | KWH | W | KWH | W | KWH | W | KWH | W | KWH | W |

Table 5-16. ISS Physical Characteristics - 30-Day Missions

Table 5-17. ISS Power Requirements - 24-Hour Energy (KWH) and Peak (Watts) -

Table 5-18. G\&C Requirements Summary

| Payload Number | Ephemeris | Pointing | Rate Limits | Accel. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7 M-1 \\ -\quad 7 M-2 \end{array}$ | 1 nm 3 axes | $0.05^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \% / \mathrm{sec} \\ & 0.01 \% / \mathrm{sec} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 7M-3 | 1 nm 3 axes | $0.05^{\circ}$ | $0.05 \% \mathrm{sec}$ |  |
| 7M-4 |  |  |  | $0 \pm 10^{-4} \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 7M-5 |  |  |  | $10^{-5} \mathrm{~g} \quad 90 \%$ |
| 7M-6 | 1 nm altitude | $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ | $0.016 \%$ |  |
| 30M-1 |  | $\pm 0.05^{\circ}$ | $0.01 \%$ sec |  |
| 30M-2 |  | $\pm 2^{\circ}$ | $0.01 \% / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |
| 30M-3 |  |  |  |  |
| 30M-4 |  |  |  |  |
| 30M-5 | 1 nm | $\pm 1$ sec | 1 sec/hr |  |
| 30M-6 | 1 nm | $\pm 1$ sec | 0.1 sec/ $/ 45 \mathrm{~min}$ |  |
| 30M-7 | 1 nm | 5 sec | $5 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| 30M-8 | 0.5 nm | 6 min | 1 min/30 min |  |
| 30M-9 | 1 nm | 1 sec | $1 \mathrm{sec} / 50 \mathrm{~min}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30 \mathrm{M}-10 \\ & 30 \mathrm{M}-11 \end{aligned}$ | Altitude | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\circ} \text { (assumed) } \\ & \pm .010 \end{aligned}$ | $0.10 / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |

## GUIDANCE AND CONTROL SUBSYSTEM

This section documents the guidance and control studies conducted in support of the shuttle sortie analysis. The study began with an evaluation of requirements and proceeded to the identification of support equipment physical characteristics. A concept was established that supplements shuttle stabilization performance yielding a capability in the low arc-minute region. This section is concluded with a brief discussion of problem areas.

Requirements Analysis
The G\&C requirements for the individual sortie payloads are summarized in Table 5-18. Each payload includes several experiments. The data shown in the table are an extraction of the most stringent control requirements. The format is not consistent throughout the table - note that various units are used. The intent was to preserve the experiment documentation format.

Accommodation Approach
A major objective was to use the shuttle as is unless the inherent shuttle capability was not adequate. In these cases, supplementary equipment was added.

It was assumed that the ephemeris requirements could be satisfied by the basic shuttle system. Referring to Table 5-18, the most severe requirement is 0.5 -nautical-mile position accuracy. It is assumed that automatic ground beacon tracking is available for shuttle navigation. That concept should be adequate to meet the required performance.

The computation required for stabilization and control could be provided by the shuttle computation complex. An alternative apporach would be to provide an independent capability using, for example, one of the modular space station G\&C preprocessors.

The pointing requirements specified in Table 5-18 indicate the need for a broad range of support capability. At one extreme, the requirements can be satisfied by the basic shuttle system. At the other extreme, multiple-level control will be necessary. A spectrum of pointing concepts was postulated for evaluation against the individual payload requirements. The concepts, listed in order of increasing complexity, are:

1. Shuttle as is
2. Shuttle with ACPS modification to provide smaller minimum impulse


Figure 5-6. Fuel Rate Characteristics
3. Shuttle plus isolation platform
4. Shuttle with ACPS modification and Flat form
5. Shuttle with ACPS modification and platform and fine pointing within experiment

## ACPS Considerations

The ACPS modification is necessary to obtain prolonged attitude holds with reasonable fuel expenditures. Figure $5-6$ shows symmetrical limit cycle fuel rate as a function of deadband size for several values of minimum impulse bit. The data used in constructing the plots are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I_{\mathrm{Xx}}=2.79 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{SL-ft} & \text { Pitch jet arm }=50 \text { feet } \\
I_{\mathrm{yy}}=17.3 \times 10^{6} & \text { Roll jet arm }=9 \text { feet } \\
I_{z z}=18.5 \times 10^{6} & \text { Yaw jet arm }=62 \text { feet }
\end{array}
$$

It is evident from the plots that fine deadband stabilization ( 0.5 degree) is prohibitive in terms of fuel rate if the minimum impulse available from the ACPS is large ( $210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ). Long term, fine deadband stabilization will require the provision of a smaller minimum impulse capability. Single jet operation should also be evaluated as a means of lowering the propellant required for fine deadband stabilization. This technique would lower the propellant rate to one-fourth that required for two jet operation, neglecting cross-coupling effects. The actual fuel rate for single jet operation would be influenced by coupling effects and a more detailed analysis is required to quantitize the effect. An example of the fuel rate for $40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$, single-jet operation assuming a coupling penalty of 20 percent is shown on Figure 5-6.

## Pointing and Stabilization Concept

The isolation platform concept is used to provide finer pointing and stabilization than can be achieved using the basic shuttle hardware. The concept would use either one- or two-axis gimbaled platforms upon which the experiments could be mounted.

Figure 5-7 presents a functional diagram of the concept. Each gimbal axis is provided with angular position encoders and torquers for control about that axis. A rate sensor package is mounted on the stable element for rate stabilization. The interface electronics unit would include any necessary power conditioning, servo amplifiers, and control logic circuitry.


The functional diagram indicates the potential use of the shuttle inertial reference system consisting of a gimbaled inertial measurement unit and star trackers for updating the interital unit. Because of the remote location of the shuttle reference equipment, an alignment transfer technique is required. One approach is to mount a gimbaled star tracker on the experiment pallet and make simultaneous sightings on common stars. The relative alignment of the pallet (with respect to the shuttle reference) can then be computed.

It is expected that the platform concept described would yield pointing performance in the low arc-minute region and would be adequate for the earth survey payloads such as $7 \mathrm{M}-1$ and $7 \mathrm{M}-3$. The low arc-second requirements ( $30 \mathrm{M}-5,30 \mathrm{M}-6$, and $30-\mathrm{M} 9$ ) probably exceed the capability of a gimbaled platform isolation system. These requirements will probably necessitate an additional level of control within the experiment itself. An alternative concept is to use air-bearing isolation such as that developed by Owens-Illinois for NASA/Ames, which provides about 3 arc-second pointing of a telescope in an airborne application. Preliminary work by Owens Illinois projects improved performance for a shuttle bay application - due in part to a less severe disturbance environment. Pointing in the 1 arc-second region is predicted.

Concept Selections and Characteristics
The alternative concepts discussed were evaluated for each of the candidate payloads and support levels were established. The selected concept for each payload is identified in Table 5-19.

The estimated physical characteristics for G\& C support of the payloads is presented in Tables 5-20 and 5-21. The ACPS propellant requirements are based on the assumption that a free drift mode would be used whenever possible. The weight quantities were obtained by surbeying the individual experiment items in each payload, determining that portion required pointing support, and finally estimating the equipment weight as a percentage, slightly over 50 percent, of the weight to be pointed. The scaling factor was extracted from previous preliminary design work onthe earth surveys module for the NR 33-foot space station, which included comparable equipment. The estimated electrical power requirements are presented in Tables 5-20 and 5-22.

## Problem Areas

Payload Package $30 \mathrm{M}-3$, as originally configured, required the application of controlled low-G levels for extensive periods. For example, 10.4 hours at $10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}$ and 70 hours at $10^{-5} \mathrm{~g}$ are desired. These requirements appear to be incompatible with operation attached to the shuttle. A thrusting technique to obtain these acceleration levels for the requested durations
Table 5-19. G\& C Concept Selections

| Payload | Shuttle As Is | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RCS } \\ & \text { Mod } \end{aligned}$ | Isolation Platforms | Experiment Control | Vehicle <br> Control Provisions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7M-1 | - | - | X | - | Continuous @ $\pm 10^{\circ}$ |
| 7M-3 | - | - | X | - | Continuous @ $\pm 10^{\circ}$ |
| 7M-2 | - | - | X | - | Continuous @ $\pm 10^{\circ}$ |
| 7M-4 | X | - | - | - | All free drift |
| 7M-5 | X | - | - | - | All free drift |
| 7M-6 | - | X | - | - | $90 \mathrm{hrs} @ \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ |
| 30M-1 | - | X | X | - | 720 hrs @ $\pm 10^{\circ}$ |
| 30M-3 | ? | ? | ? | - | All free drift |
| 30M-4 | X | - | - | - | All free drift |
| 30M-2 | - | - | X | - | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \mathrm{hrs} @ \pm 1^{10^{\circ}}, \\ & 5 \mathrm{hrs} @ \pm 2^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| 30M-5 | - | X | X | X | 720 hrs @ $\pm 10^{\circ}$ |
| 30M-6 | - | X | X | X | A |
| 30M-7 | - | X | X | X |  |
| 30M-8 | - | X | X | - |  |
| 30M-9 | - | X | X | X | 720 hrs @ $\pm 10^{\circ}$ |
| 30M-10 | - | X | - | - | 385 hrs @ $\pm 5^{\circ}$ |
| 30M-11 | - | X | X | - | $720 \mathrm{hrs} @ \pm 10^{\circ}$ |

Table 5-21. G\&C Concept Characteristics - 30-Day Missions

would require approximately 260,000 pounds of propellant. It should be noted that the majority of the fuel is associated with the $10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}$, and the $10^{-5} \mathrm{~g}$ requirement can be satisfied using less than 2000 pounds of fuel.

Other concepts have been postulated for satisfaction of the applied low-g requirements. These concepts include spinning the vehicle and utilization of aerodynamic drag. The rotational concept suffers from the complex nature of the dynamic environment (Coriolis forces, for example). The aerodynamic drag concept is hampered by the variable density of the atmosphere over periods as short as one orbit. Further analysis is required to evaluate the feasibility of these concepts.

At present, no reasonble techniques are known to be suitable for satisfying the applied low-g requirement.

## 6. DESIGN INTEGRATION

The design integration portion of the study consisted of determining the most satisfactory methods for accommodating the experiment payloads and their supporting subsystems. This includes pressurized modules, unpressurized pallets, and airlocks. Conceptual arrangements of each of the 17 sortie payloads resulted from this effort and they are included in this section along with a weight summary of each.

## PAYLOAD ACCOMMODATION REQUIREMENTS

Initially, the 17 payloads were reviewed to determine their accommodation requirements. These are summarized in Figure 6-1.

The analysis of the experiment accommodation requirements for the 17 sortie payloads revealed those which required airlocks, an unpressurized pallet, or both, or a pressurized module with manned entry capability.


Figure 6-1. Payload Accommodation Requirements

For the 7-day missions, four payloads require airlocks and pallets while the remaining two need a pressurized module. For those requiring an airlock, consideration was given to using the MSS airlock on the shuttle orbiter's airlock.

For the 30 -day missions, all 11 payloads require a pressurized module for extra living accommodations over that provided by the orbiter. In eight cases, a pressurized module also is needed for the experiments while the remaining three utilize a pallet, an airlock, and a combination pallet-airlock.

The volume requirements for the payloads are presented in Table 6-1. These data were used to define the size of the modules and pallets. Payloads which require an airlock for their operation are noted.

## PAYLOAD ACCOMMODATION SELECTION

The selection of the best accommodations for the experiment payload included not only the experiment volumes but consideration of the supporting subsystem volumes, shuttle orbiter crew compartment volume, and the use of its airlock.

## Airlock Utilization

As noted in Table 6-1, six experiment payloads require an airlock for their operations. These are $7 \mathrm{M}-1,-2,-3$, and -6 , and $30 \mathrm{M}-1,-2$, and -3. An airlock is necessary in these cases because of the need to obtain a contamination sample, to eject a canister, and for experiment maintenance and calibration.

To satisfy these conditions, the MSS airlock and the shuttle orbiter airlock were compared for possible utilization. The MSS airlock configuration is shown in Figure 6-2 which illustrates the earth observation arrangement as used for the MSS. The airlock has a volume of 436 cubic feet.

A review of the unpressurized volume requirements (Table 6-1) for the six payloads reveals that the MSS airlock has ample volume to accommodate them. Also, sufficient sensor viewing area was found to be available. It was also decided that the application of the MSS airlock would be less complex than utilizing the orbiter's airlock for this purpose, particularly since an unpressurized module (or pallet) is needed anyway. It was also felt that the interface problems would be less severe as well.

## Orbiter Pressure Volume Utilization

When the MSS airlock is used for the unpressurized experiment equipment of these six payloads, the pressurized compartment of the orbiter is

Table 6-1. Experiment Payload Volume Requirements

| Payload | Experiment Package | Title | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pressurized } \\ \text { Volume } \\ \text { (cu. ft.) } \end{gathered}$ | Unpressurized Volume (cu. ft.) | Airlock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7M-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ESI-III } \\ & \text { TI-I } \end{aligned}$ | Earth Observations Contamination Tech: | 124.2 | 140 | $\checkmark$ |
| 7M-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Pl}-\mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | Space Physics <br> Contamination Tech. Search Nav. \& RF Propog. | 4.2 | 49 | $\checkmark$ |
| 7M-3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ES1-II } \\ & \text { T1-I } \\ & \text { T4-I } \end{aligned}$ | Earth Observation Contamination Tech. Adv. SC Systems Test | 163.8 | 103.5 | $\checkmark$ |
| 7M-4 | MS1-III | Materials Science | 562 |  |  |
| 7M-5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LS3-II } \\ & \text { LS4-II } \\ & \text { T3-I } \end{aligned}$ | Plant Growth Transients Cells and Tissues EVA | 374 | 20 |  |
| 7M-6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { P2-I } \\ & \text { P2-II } \end{aligned}$ | Plasma Physics <br> Plasma Physics | 2.75 | 231 | $\checkmark$ |
| 30M-1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ES1-I } \\ & \mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{II} \end{aligned}$ | Earth Observations Contamination Tech. | 167.0 | 157 | $\checkmark$ |
| 30M-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pl-II } \\ & \text { P4-I } \end{aligned}$ | Space Physics <br> Physics and Chem. | 336 | 64 | $\checkmark$ |
| 30M-3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T} 2-\mathrm{I} \\ & \mathrm{~T} 2-\mathrm{II} \\ & \mathrm{~T} 2-\mathrm{III} \end{aligned}$ | Fluid Management <br> Fluid Management <br> Fluid Management | 259 | 2573 |  |
| 30M-4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LS1-I } \\ & \text { LS4,5-I } \\ & \text { LS6-I } \\ & \text { LS7-II } \end{aligned}$ | Medical Research <br> Biosciences <br> Life Support <br> Man Systems | 561 |  |  |
| 30M-5 | A1-I | X-Ray Stellar Astronomy |  | 2400 |  |
| 30M-6 | A3-I | Adv. Solar Astronomy |  | 2634 |  |
| 30M-7 | A4-I | Intermed. Size UV Tel. |  | 334 |  |
| 30M-8 | A5-I | High Energy Stellar Astr. |  | 348 |  |
| 30M-9 | A6-I | 1R Astron. |  | 1360 |  |
| 30M-10 | P3-I | Cosmic Ray Physics | 48 | Lab |  |
| 30M-11 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{II} \\ & \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{III} \end{aligned}$ | Search Nav. \& RF Propog. <br> Search Nav. \& RF Propag. |  | 46 |  |



Figure 6-2. Airlock
used for those peices of equipment requiring this environment (Table 6-1). These are primarily related to controls and displays, computers, recorders, etc. Figures 6-3 and 6-4 illustrate the volume in the pressurized compartment of the orbiter which would be utilized for this purpose and a possible arrangement for controls and displays. It is apparent that sufficient volume is available to accommodate the experiments' requirements.

## Pallet Utilization

The unpressurized experiment volume requirements for the sortie payloads presented in Table 6-1 have been satisfied by the use of the MSS airlock in six cases as discussed previously. That is, the airlock module not only serves the need for an operational airlock but in turn acts as a pallet in supporting the experiments in the space environment.

Payload 30M-3, however, has such a large unpressurized volume requirement that the airlock module is inadequate; hence, a special pallet is required to accommodate it.

Payloads 30M-5 through 30M-10 are telescopes and are themselves pallets.


Figure 6-3. Orbiter Unused Pressure Volume

North American Rockwell


Payload 30M-11 requires a small pallet to mount deployable antennas in the payload bay.

Pressurized Modules
For the remaining payloads of Table 6-1 (i.e., $7 \mathrm{M}-4,7 \mathrm{M}-5$ and all 11 of the 30 -day mission payloads), pressurized modules are required to accommodate the experiments, the subsystems, and the crew.

Crew volume determination consisted of both living and experiment operation requirements.

For the 7-day missions the shuttle orbiter provides the necessary living accommodations. For the 30 -day missions, however, additional volume was found necessary for sleeping, recreation, etc.; a minimum of 240 cubic feet was established for this purpose. A layout of this module revealed that the smallest module which could be developed with MSS structural components contained 598 cubic feet; this was therfore the value used.

The experiment operation volume was determined by layout. For this purpose, payload $7 \mathrm{M}-4$ was selected as typical. The total volume was determined after the experiment equipment, its operating space, and the supporting subsystems had been arranged. The total module volume was found to be 3.3 times that required for the experiments and the subsystem. Figure 6-5 illustrates the layout of Payload 7M-4 used for this purpose.

Total module volume requirements for these payloads and the resulting lengths of each are presented in Table 6-2. As noted in the table, the experiment (except Payloads 30M-5 through 30M-11 which do not require a pressure volume), subsystem, and crew habitability volumes are given. The total module volume is the product of the combined volumes of the forementioned elements and the 3.3 scale factor. The exceptions are Payloads 30M-5 through 30M-11 where this scale factor does not apply and the minimum module volume of 598 cubic feet was used.

It must be noted that there is a considerable variation in module length resulting from this analysis. The commonality analysis which is discussed in Section 7 reduces these variations to only three modules-10, 20, and 26 feet in length. These lengths are therefore used in the final sortic payload conceptual arrangements which follows.

## SORTIE MISSION CONCEPTS

The sortie mission conceptual arrangements described and illustrated in-the-following-pages-icorporate-the-results-of the-commonality-ana-lysis

Table 6-2. Payload Module Volume Requirements

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Payload } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Experiment Volume (cu. ft.) | Subsystem Volume (cu. ft.) | Crew Habitability Volume (cu. ft.) | Combined Volume (cu. ft.) | Total Module Volume (cu. ft.) | Module Length (ft.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7M-4 | 562.0 | 24.6 | -- | 586.6 | 1930 | 20 |
| 7M-5 | 374.0 | 16.0 | -- | 390 | 1290 | 15 |
| 30M-1 | 167.0 | 134.1 | 240 | 541.1 | 1790 | 18 |
| 30M-2 | . 336.0 | 73.8 | 240 | 649.8 | 2140 | 20 |
| 30M-3 | 259.0 | 64.0 | 240 | 563. | 1850 | 18 |
| 30M-4 | 561.0 | 66.9 | 295.5 | 923.4 | 3060 | 26 |
| 309-5 | -- | 80.4 | 240 | 320.4 | 598 | 10 |
| 30M-6 | -- | 79.3 | 240 | 319.3 | 598 | 10 |
| 30M-7 | -- | 78.3 | 240 | 318.3 | 598 | 10 |
| 30M-8 | -- | 78.6 | 240 | 318.6 | 598 | 10 |
| 30M-9 | - | 75.6 | 240 | 315.6 | 598 | 10 |
| 30M-10 | -- | 64.0 | 240 | 304. | 598 | 10 |
| 30M-11 | - | -- | 240 | 240. | 598 | 10 |

noted above with respect to the pressurized modules, length, number of the shuttle's fuel cell reactants, cryogenic tanks, and the radiators. The more important features of the arrangements are pointed out.

Payload 7M-1
This payload consists of earth observation experiment package ESI-III and contamination technology experiment package T.l.1. It utilizes an MSStype airlock as an airlock and a pallet for equipment. The airlock is deployed to the shuttle berthing port to provide sensor viewing and separation from the antenna. It has 140 square feet of radiator attached to the airlock. Antennas are located in and deployed from the shuttle bay. Two EPS cryogenic tanks (one $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ /one LH 2 ) are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. A stabilized platform is required for sensors and is provided by the payload. The shuttle pressurized volume is utilized for work area. Figure 6-6 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities

| Orbit - 100-300 n. mi. x $55^{\circ}$ | Acquisition (peak) - | $2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{bps}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew - 2 plus 2 | Transmission - | $13.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bits}$ |
| Power - 1.5 kw | Storage (digital data) - | $961.8 \times 10^{9}$ |
| Stability - $210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS plus stabilized platform | Storage (TV) - | 2 hrs |



Figure 6-6. 7M-1 Payload General Arrangement

## Payload 7M-2

This payload consists of space physics experiment package Pl-I, contamination experiment package Tl. 1 , and communications experiment package CN1-I. It utilizes an MSS-type airlock also used as a pallet for equipment. The airlock is deployed to the shuttle berthing port to provide sensor viewing. It has 210 square feet of radiator attached to the airlock. Three EPS cryogenic tanks (one $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ /two $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. The shuttle pressurized volume is utilized for work area. Figure 6-7 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities

Orbit $-80 \times 100 / 150$ n. mi. $\times 90^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Power - 2.8 kw
Stability - $210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS

Acquisition (peak) $\quad-2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps}$
Transmission -
Storage (digital data) - $121.5 \times 10^{9}$
Storage (TV) -2 hr


Figure 6-7. 7M-2 Payload General Arrangement

Space Division
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## Payload 7M-3

This payload consists of earth observation experiment package ESI-II, advanced spacecraft systems experiment package T4-1, and contamination experiment package Tl-I. It utilizes an MSS-type airlock also used as an equipment pallet. The airlock is deployed to the shuttle berthing port for sensor viewing and antenna separation. A stabilized platform is provided by the payload. It has 420 square feet of radiator attached to the airlock. Antennas are mounted in the shuttle bay and deployed. Four EPS cryogenic tanks (one $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and three $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) are installed in the shuttle bay to supply shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. The shuttle pressurized volume is utilized for work area. Figure 6-8 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities

| Orbit $-100 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . \times 55^{\circ}$ | Acquisition (peak) | $-2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{bps}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crew -2 plus 2 | Transmission | $-15 \times 10^{\circ} \mathrm{bits}$ |
| Power -3.3 kw | Storage (digital data) | $-10.68 \times 10^{11}$ |
| Stability $-210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS plus |  |  |
| stabilized platform | Storage (TV) | -2 hr |



Figure 6-8. 7M-3 Payload General Arrangement

Payload 7M-4
This payload consists of material science experiment package MS-III. It uses a 20 -foot-long module which is fixed in the shuttle bay and connected to the shuttle pressurized compartment with a pressurized tunnel. It has 700 square feet of radiator which is installed in the shuttle bay and deployed when required. Five EPS cryogenic tanks (two $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and three $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-9 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities

| Orbit $-200 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . \times 28.5^{\circ}$ | Acquisition (peak) | $-1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crew -2 plus 2 | Transmission (TV) | -0.06 hr. |
| Power -4.3 kw | Storage (digital data) $-3.024 \times 10^{9}$ |  |
| Stability $-210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS | Storage (TV) | -0.3 hr. |



Figure 6-9. 7M-4 Payload General Arrangement

This payload consists of life sciences experiment package (plant growth) LS3-II, life sciences experiment package (cells and tissue) LS4-II, and space technology experiment package (extravehicular activity) T3-I. It uses a 20 -foot-long module which is fixed in the shuttle bay and connected to the shuttle pressurized compartment with a pressurized tunnel. The module contains a 10 -foot-diameter centrifuge. An astronat maneuvering unit is stored in the shuttle bay and reached by extravehicular means. The shuttle airlock is utilized to achieve space access. A 2l0-square-foot radiator is installed in the shuttle bay. One EPS $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ cryogenic tank is installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-10 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities

| Orbit - 100 n.mi. $\times 28.5^{\circ}$ | Acquisition (peak) | - $5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{bps}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew - 2 plus 3 | Transmission | $\begin{aligned} & -0.06 \mathrm{hr} . \\ & \text { delayed TV } \end{aligned}$ |
| Power - 0.72 kw | Storage (digital data) | - $3.024 \times 10^{9}$ |
| Stability - $210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS | Storage (TV) | - 0.3 hr . |



Figure 6-10. 7M-5 Payload General Arrangement

Payload 7M-6
This payload consists of plasma physics experiment packages P2-I and P2-II. An MSS-type airlock is used as a pallet for the experiment. The airlock is deployed to the shuttle berthing port so that the experiment instruments may be reached for maintenance and calibration. A 70-square-foot radiator is located on the airlock. One EPS $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ cryogenic tank is installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-11 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities

Orbit - 270 n. mi. $\times 55^{\circ}$
Crew-2 plus 2
Power - 2.8 kw
Stability - $40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS

| Acquisition (peak) | $-1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transmissition <br> (digital data) | $-2.15 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bits}$ |

Storage (digital data) - $120.4 \times 10^{9}$ bits


Figure 6-11. 7M-6 Payload General Arrangement

## Payload 30M-1

This payload consists of meteorology and atrnospheric science experiment package ESI-I and contamination technology experiment package Tl-II. A 20 -foot-long module is used for habitability and laboratory facilities. An MSS-type airlock is attached to the module. The combined unit is deployed to the shuttle berthing port for sensor and antenna separation and airlock utilization. A stabilized platform is provided by the payload. A 210 -squarefoot radiator is attached to the module. Antenna and deployment booms are located in the shuttle bay. Four (two $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and two $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) EPS cryogenic tanks are located in the shuttle bay to supply fuel to the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-12 shows the general management. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities:
Orbit - 100 n.mi. $x 55^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Acquisition (peak) $\quad-12.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$
Transmission
(digital data)
$-2.23 \times 10^{7}$ bits
Power - 1.0 kw
Stability - $40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS plus stabilized platform

| Acquisition (peak) | $-12.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transmission <br> (digital data) | $-2.23 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{bits}$ |
| Storage (digital data) | $-77.2 \times 10^{9}$ |
| Storage (TV) | -2 hr. |



Figure 6-12. 30M-1 Payload General Arrangement

This payload consists of space physics experiment package Pl-II and physics and chemistry experiment package P4-I. A 20 -foot-long module is required for habitability and laboratory facilities. An MSS-type airlock is attached to the module and is also used as a pallet. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A stabilized platform is provided by the payload. A 280 -square-fcot radiator is attached to the module. Five (two $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and three $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) EPS cryogenic tanks are located in the shuttle bay to supply fuel to the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-13 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities:

Orbit-200n.mi. $\times 28.5^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Power - 1.2 kw
Stability - $210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS plus stabilized platform

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Acquisition (peak) } \quad-4.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps} \\
& \text { Transmission } \\
& \quad \text { (digital data) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Storage (digital data) }-122.2 \times 10^{9}
$$



Figure 6-13. 30M-2 Payload General Arrangement

## Payload 30M-3

This payload consists of fluid management experiment packages T. $2-\mathrm{I}$, T. 2-II, and T. 2-III. A $20-$ foot-long module is required for habitability and laboratory facilities. The module is connected to the shuttle living area with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A pallet for experiment provisions is installed in the shuttle bay. A 140 -square-foot radiator is attached to the module. Nine (four $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and five $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) EPS cryo tanks are installed in the shuttle bay to supply fuel to the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-14 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities:

Orbit - 300 n.mi. $\times 2 \varepsilon .5^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Power - 1.7 kw
Stability - $210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS

$$
\text { Acquisition (peak) } \quad-5.78 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{bps}
$$

Transmission
(digital data)
Storage (digital data) $\quad-14.61 \times 10^{8}$


Figure 6-14. 30M-3 Payload General Arrangement

This payload consists of medical research experiment package LSl-I, bioscience experiment package LS4, 5-I, life support experiment package LS6-I, and man systems experiment package LS7-II. A 26 -foot-long module is required for habitability and laboratory facilities. An alternative of one 20 -foot and one 10 -foot module interconnected could be used in place of a single 26 -foot module. The module contains a 10 -foot-diameter centrifuge for experiments. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A 140 -square-foot radiator is attached to the module. Five (two $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and three $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) EPS cryogenic tanks are installed in the shuttle bay to supply fuel to the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-15 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities
Orbit - 100 n.mi. $\times 28.5^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 3
Acquisition (peak) $\quad-28 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$
Transmission
Storage (digital data) - $74.34 \times 10^{7}$
Power - 1.1 kw
Stability - $210 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS


ALTERNATE MODULE

Figure 6-15. 30M-4 Payload General Arrangement

## Payload 30M-5

This payload consists of X-ray stellar astronomy experiment package Al-I. A 10 -foot-long module is provided for crew habitability provisions. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A deployable pallet with a stabilized mount for a 45 -foot telescope is provided. A 140 -square-foot radiator is attached to the module. Five (two $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and three $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) EPS cryogenic tanks are installed in the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-16 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities:

Orbit-400n.mi. x $28.5^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Power - 1.0 kw
Stability - $40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS plus stabilized mount

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { Acquisiton (peak) } & -4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{bps} \\
\text { Transmission (digital }-5 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{D} \\
\text { data) } & 5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{D}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { Storage (digital data) }-5 \times 10^{9}
$$



Figure 6-16. 30M-5 Payload General Arrangement

Payload 30M-6
This payload consists of advanced solar astronomy experiment package A3-I. A 10 -foot-long module is provided for crew habitability provisions. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A deployable pallet with a stabilized mount for 40 -foot, 11-foot and 23-foot
 Six (three $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and three $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) EPS cryogenic fuel tanks are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-17 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities:

Orbit -220 n.mi. $\times 90^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Power - 1.1 kw
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Stability }- 40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec} \text { ACPS plus } \\ & \text { stabilized mount }\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} \text { Stability }- & 40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec} \text { ACPS plus } \\ & \text { stabilized mount }\end{aligned}$
都

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Acquisition (peak) } \quad-4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps} \\
& \text { Transmission (digital }-6 \times 10^{8} \text { bits } \\
& \text { data) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Storage (digital data) - $18 \times 10^{9}$ bits

## Payload 30M-7

This payload consists of intermediate size ultraviolet telescope experiment package A4-I. A 10 -foot-long module is provided for crew habitability provisions. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A deployable pallet with a stabilized mount for an 8-foot telescope is provided. A l40-square-foot radiator is attached to the module. Six (three $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and three LH2) EPS cryogenic fuel tanks are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-18 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

## Data rates/quantities

Orbit - 250 n. mi. $\times 28.5^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Power - 1. l kw

Stability - $40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS plus stabilized mount

Acquisition (peak) $\quad-4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$
Transmission (digital - $4 \times 10^{8}$ bits data)

Storage (digital data) - $48 \times 10^{9}$ bits
Storage (TV) - 1.9 hr .


Figure 6-18. 30M-7 Payload General Arrangement

This payload consists of high-energy stellar astronomy experiment package A5-I. A 10 -foot-long module is provided for crew habitability provision. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A deployable pallet with a stabilized mount for a 27-foot and a 13 -foot telescope is provided. A 140-square-foot radiator is attached to the module. Seven (three $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and four $\mathrm{LH}_{2} \mathrm{EPS}$ cryogenic tanks are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-19 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities:
Orbit - 400 n.mi. $\times 28.5^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Power - 1.2 kw
Stability - $40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS plus stabilized mount

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Acquisition (peak) }-6.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{bps} \\
\text { Transmission (digital }-5.4 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{bits} \\
\text { data) }
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
\text { Storage (digital data) }-16.2 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{bits}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 6-19. 30M-8 Payload General Arrangement

Payload 30M-9
This payload consists of infrared astronomy experiment package A6-I. A 10 -foot-long module is provided for crew habitability provisions. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A deployable stabilized pallet is provided for the 12 -foot telescope. A $140-$ square-foot radiator is attached to the module. Seven (three $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and four $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) EPS cryogenic tanks are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-20 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

## Data rates/quantities

| Orbit $-270 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . \times 50^{\circ}$ | Acquisition (peak) $-4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crew -2 plus 2 | Transmission (digital $-3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{bits}$ |
| data) |  |



Figure 6-20. 30M-9 Payload General Arrangement

Payload 30M-10
This payload consists of cosmic ray experiment package P. 3-I. A 10 -foot-long module is provided for crew habitability provisions. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A 13-footdiameter by 25 -foot-long pressurized experiment laboratory is attached to the module and is accessible from the module. A 140 -square-foot radiator is attached to the module. Seven (three $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and four $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ ) EPS cryogenic tanks are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-2l shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities:

Orbit-200n.mi. $\times 28.5^{\circ}$
Crew - 2 plus 2
Power - 1.5 kw
Stability - $40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS

Acquisition (peak) $\quad-1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}$
Transmission
Storage

- $45 \times 109$ bits


Figure 6-21. 30M-10 Payload General Arrangement

This payload consists of optical frequency, millimeter wave, and navigational tests experiment package C/N. I-II and search, navigation, and RF propagation experiment package C/N.l-III. A 10 -foot-long module is provided for crew habitability. The module is connected to the shuttle with a pressurized transfer tunnel. A pallet is provided for experiment equipment. Large antennas are deployed from the pallet. A 140-squarefoot radiator is attached to the module. Four (two $\mathrm{LO}_{2}$ and two LH 2 ) EPS cryogenic tanks are installed in the shuttle bay to supply the shuttle fuel cells for experiment power. Figure 6-22 shows the general arrangement. The major payload characteristics are:

Data rates/quantities:
Orbit $-150 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi} . \times 90^{\circ}$
Crew -2 plus 2
Power -0.75 kw
Stability $-40 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ ACPS

$$
\text { Acquisition (peak) } \quad-30 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{bps}
$$

Transmission (digital - $37.9 \times 10^{7}$ bits data)

$$
\text { Storage } \quad-38 . \times 10^{9} \text { bits }
$$

- l hr. /day analog


Figure 6-22. 30M-11 Payload General Arrangement

## SHUTTLE INTERFACES

The interfaces of sortie mission payloads with the shuttle fall into two general categories: structural and systems.

## Structural Interface

The physical interface of attaching payloads to the shuttle is broken down as follows:

1. Modules
a. Shuttle Bay Interface - The basic structure of each module is the same; only the length is variable. These modules have identical shuttle payload bay trunnion attach fittings. However, because the length varies, they do not all have the same fore and aft locations. Therefore, additional hard points must be coordinated with the shuttle.
b. Berthing Port Interface - During the orbital mode, some modules are deployed to the shuttle berthing port. This location requires the use of an MSS-type berthing adapter for the structural interface between the sortie modules and the shuttle berthing port.
c. Manipulator pickup points must be provided on all modules that are displaced from the shuttle bay to the shuttle berthing port. The pickup fitting configuration is identical to those utilized by the MSS.
2. Airlocks
a. Shuttle Bay Interface - The MSS-type airlock is smaller in diameter than a sortie module; therefore, it will require an adapter to reach the shuttle attaching hard points.
b. Sortie Module Interfaces - Some payloads require the MSStype airlock to be attached directly to the sortie module. Sortie modules will have berthing rings identical to those developed for the MSS modules; therefore, airlocks will structurally interface directly to the modules.
c. Shuttle Berthing Port Interface - During orbital operations, some airlocks are deployed to the shuttle berthing port. This location requires the use of an MSS-type berthing adapter for the structural interface between the MSS-type airlock and the shuttle berthing port.
3. Pallets - Experiment equipment pallets have two possible structural interfaces, depending on their size and use.
a. Sortie Module Interfaces - Pallets will attach directly to the sortie module berthing ring.
b. Shuttle Bay Interface - Pallets will interface with the shuttle bay attaching provisions.
4. Cryo Tanks - Experiment cryo fuel tanks are mounted in packages that are attached directly to shuttle structure, and are plumbed to the shuttle fuel cells. These packages may be installed or removed as required.
5. Antenna Packages - In some cases, antennas and deployment mechanisms are mounted in packages that are attached directly to shuttle structure. These packages may be installed or removed as required.

## Systems Interface

The shuttle systems that are utilized by the sortie missions have various interfaces depending on the sortie payload.

All sortie habitable modules have a standard MSS-type berthing ring and feedthrough arrangement at the forward end. Installation of this module in the shuttle bay requires an adapter which interfaces with the sortie module berthing ring and the shuttle tunnel. This adapter is the subsystem interface link. It is also a pressurized tunnel for personnel transfer. Figure 6-23 illustrates the subsystem interface.

The other shuttle interface is at the shuttle berthing port. When the module is deployed to this position, an MSS-type berthing adapter is required (Figure 6-24). This adapter is stored in the shuttle bay and when required is moved by the shuttle manipulator to the shuttle berthing port prior to the deployment of the sortie module. The adapter provides the pressurized passage to the module as well as the subsystem feedthrough.

## SORTIE PAYLOAD WEIGHTS

Weight summaries for each of the sortie concepts previously described are presented in Table 6-3 and 6-4. These weights reflect experiment equipment, support subsystem and consumable weights which make up the sortie payloads, and shuttle dry weight and the propellants required to fly each specific mission. All payloads fall within the shuttle's capabilities for end_of=ascent_and_orbiter_landing_weights.
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Table 6-3. Weight Summary - 7-Day Sortie Payloads

| Items | Payloads |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7M-1 | 7M-2 | 7M-3 | 7M-4 | 7M-5 | 7M-6 |
| Shuttle Dry Weight | 237,329 | 237,329 | 237,329 | 237,329 | 237,329 | 237,329 |
| Flight Crew (2) and Residuals | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4,691 |
| Resources, Inflight Losses, ABES Propellant | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 |
| Sortie Payload | 14,470 | 9, 120 | 17,360 | 16,810 | 11,720 | 6,670 |
| Structural provisions | 2,220 | 2,090 | 2, 310 | 6,870 | 6,720 | 2, 000 |
| ECLSS hardware | 618 | 821 | 2,023 | 1,832 | 770 | 542 |
| Electrical power provisions | 410 | 508 | 654 | 835 | 145 | 221 |
| G\&C provisions | 1,430 | 880 | 990 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Information provisions | 985 | 443 | 1,043 | 257 | 182 | 514 |
| Crew and habitability provisions | 601 | 601 | 601 | 601 | 798 | 601 |
| Powerup and housekeeping tankage | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Sortie consumables | 1,007 | 1,217 | 1,309 | 1,468 | 984 | 950 |
| Experiment equipment | 6,376 | 1,807 | 7,279 | 4,165 | 1,175 | 1, 122 |
| Experiment consumables | 103 | 33 | 431 | 62 | 16 | 0 |
| Crew | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 630 | 420 |
| Shuttle Propellant | 36,500 | 36,200 | 37, 500 | 21,000 | 23,000 | 34,200 |
| Drag makeup propellant | 0 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 |
| Attitude control propellant | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 200 | 200 | 2. 700 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ maneuver propellant | 32,500 | 32,200 | 32,500 | 20,800 | 21,800 | 31,500 |
| End Ascent Gross Weight | 308, 300 | 302,650 | 312,190 | 295, 140 | 292,050 | 298, 200 |
| Consumables | 52,920 | 42, 760 | 54, 550 | 39, 840 | 40,310 | 51,460 |
| Shuttle propellant | 36,500 | 26,200 | 37, 500 | 23,000 | 24,000 | 35,200 |
| Experiment consumables | 103 | 33 | 431 | 62 | 16 | 0 |
| Sortie consumables | 1,007 | 1,217 | 1,309 | 1,468 | 984 | 950 |
| Reserves, inflight loss, etc. | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 |
| Landing Reserves | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 |
| Landing Gross Weight $\text { (Limit }=268,000 \mathrm{lb} .)$ | 255,810 | 250,320 | 258,070 | 257, 730 | 253,170 | 248, 170 |

Table 6-4. Weight Summary - 30-Day Sortie Payloads

| Items | Payloads |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30M-1 | 30M-2 | 30M-3 | 30M-4 | 30M-5 | 30M-6 | 30M-7 | 30M-8 | 30M-9 | 30M-10 | 30M-11 |
| Shuttle Dry Weight | 237.329 | 237,329 | 237,329 | 237,329 | 237,329 | 219, 329* | 237,329 | 237, 329 | 237. 329 | 237. 329 | 237.329 |
| Flight Crew (2) and Residuals | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4,691 | 4.691 | 4,691 | 4.691 | 4.691 | 4.691 |
| Resources, Inflight Losses, ABES Prop. | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15.310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15.310 | 15.310 |
| Sortie Payload | 28,120 | 23,680 | 32,320 | 26, 100 | 23,850 | 30, 740 | 21,000 | 23, 060 | 24,620 | 36,690 | 20.810 |
| Structural provisions | 6,950 | 8,230 | 8, 060 | 8, 430 | 5,420 | 6,270 | 5, 030 | 5, 280 | 5. 440 | 11.500 | 5.170 |
| ECLSS hardware | 1,392 | 1, 413 | 1,400 | 1,201 | 1, 024 | 1,033 | 1,022 | 1, 049 | 1, 026 | 1.141 | 1.115 |
| Electrical power provisions | 487 | 726 | 1,093 | 560 | 554 | 692 | 670 | 792 | 780 | 812 | 497 |
| G\&C provisions | 1.210 | 220 |  | 0 | 1,540 | 3, 740 | 660 | 770 | 880 | 0 | 110 |
| Information provisions | 494 | 280 | 93 | 182 | 189 | 177 | 305 | 266 | 421 | 104 | 243 |
| Crew and habitability provisions | 643 | 643 | 643 | 869 | 643 | 643 | 643 | 643 | 643 | 643 | 643 |
| Powerup and housekeeping tankage | 2,120 | 2,120 | 2,120 | 2, 120 | 2,120 | 2, 120 | 2, 120 | 2,120 | 2,120 | 2, 120 | 2.120 |
| Sortie consumables | 8,839 | 9. 050 | 9,590 | 9,580 | 9, 060 | 9, 170 | 9. 165 | 9, 200 | 9, 320 | 9.450 | 8. 890 |
| Experiment equipment | 5,324 | 578 | 8,811 | 2, 498 | 2,880 | 6,475 | 950 | 2, 520 | 3. 370 | 10.500 | 1.601 |
| Experiment consumables | 241 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 15 | - | 200 | 0 | 0 |
| Crew | 420 | 420 | 420 | 630 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 | 420 |
| Shuttle Propellant | 27,200 | 24,500 | 35,000 | 27,000 | 45,800 | 33, 200 | 44,500 | 45,800 | 33, 500 | 27,950 | 23.200 |
| Drag makeup propellant | 4,000 | 0 | 0 | 4, 000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Attitude control propellant | 1,000 | 2,700 | 200 | 200 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1, 000 | 1,000 | 1.150 | 1. 150 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ maneuver propellant | 22.200 | 21,800 | 34,800 | 22,800 | 44,800 | 32,200 | 40,500 | 44,800 | 32,500 | 26.800 | 22. 200 |
| End Ascent Gross Weight | 312,650 | 305, 510 | 324, 560 | 310,430 | 326,980 | 302, 270 | 322,830 | 326, 190 | 315.450 | 321.970 | 301. 340 |
| Consumables | 51,590 | 49, 860 | 47,900 | 51.920 | 48, 170 | 47,680 | 57,990 | 48, 310 | 58,330 | 48.710 | 47. 400 |
| Shuttle propellant | 27,200 | 25,500 | 23, 000 | 27, 000 | 23, 800 | 23, 200 | 33,500 | 23, 800 | 33.500 | 23,950 | 23.200 |
| Experiment consumables | 241 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 |
| Sortie consumables | 8,839 | 9,050 | 9,590 | 9. 580 | 9, 060 | 9, 170 | 9, 165 | 9.200 | 9. 320 | 9. 450 | <1. 890 |
| Reserves, inflight loss, etc. | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15,310 | 15.310 |
| Landing Reserves | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 | 430 |
| Landing Gross Weight (Limit =268, 000 lbs .) | 261.490 | 257,080 | 265, 090 | 258, 940 | 257, 240 | 264, 020 | 254,270 | 256,310 | 257, 550 | 269.690 | 254.370 |

## 7. COMMONALITY AND COST ANALYSES

This section presents the results of the commonality analysis conducted on the sortie modules and subsystems and the MSS modules and subsystems. The cost analysis presents the development cost of the 17 sortie payloads and their value to the development of the MSS.

MODULE COMMONALITY ANALYSIS

Module commonality analysis fell into two categories: commonality among sortie modules and MSS modules, and commonality among sortie payload modules. A description of the various modules involved and their related commonality follows:

## MSS Common Module Description

The MSS common module, using universal structure, was utilized to provide a basepoint configuration for sortie module commonality. The common module is designed so that the pressure shell will assume all primary structure loads; floors and partitions are thus secondary structural elements. In addition, the structure must provide radiation protection on orbit and meet the shuttle orbiter crash-landing condition during return from orbit or abort.

The module has been designed for low-cost monocoque construction, using 0.145 -inch 5052 aluminum alloy augmented by a 0.030 -inch aluminum meteoroid bumper. Three frames are utilized outside the pressure shell, which accommodate the shuttle attach points and manipulator sockets. Kapton-lined insulation is located inside the meteoroid bumper and acts as a secondary bumper.

MSS Cargo Module Description
The MSS cargo module was an alternative to use for sortie module commonality. This module is 14 feet in diameter and 24 feet in overall length. The structure characteristics of the pressurized portion are similar to the MSS common module. This section of the cargo module is 20 feet, 6 inches long. Structural construction is monocoque with 0.145 -inch 5052 aluminum alloy, as is the MSS common module.

## Sortie Modules Description

Five sortie module lengths evolved during the study. These five modules associated with the 17 experiment payloads were sized for the individual experiment requirements. The weight margins resulting from the sortieshuttle integration analysis gave the additional shuttle payload capability for each individual sortie payload. These data, utilizing the five module lengths, are depicted in Table 7-1.

Table 7-1. Module Utilization

| Payload | Module Length <br> (feet) | Weight Margin <br> $(1 \mathrm{~b})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 20 | $+27,000$ |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-5$ | 15 | $+39,000$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-1$ | 18 | $+2,500$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 20 | $+29,000$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 18 | $+16,000$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 26 | $+27,000$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-5$ | 10 | $+12,000$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-6$ | 10 | 0 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-7$ | 10 | 0 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-8$ | 10 | $+12,000$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-9$ | 10 | $+10,000$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-10$ | 10 | $+25,000$ |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-11$ | 10 | $+11,800$ |

Further evaluation was conducted to reduce the number of modules required. This was accomplished by taking advantage of the weight margin capabilities shown previously; that is, the module may be increased in length (and weight accordingly), but cannot be decreased and still satisfy experiment requirements. This evaluation reduced the number of different required module lengths to three. The module reduction is as shown in Figure 7-1.

Sortie payload 30M-5 requires a 10 -foot module because of experiment length restricted by the shuttle bay length. Payloads $30 \mathrm{M}-6$ and $30 \mathrm{M}-7$ are weight-limited and can use only a 10 -foot module. Payload $30 \mathrm{M}-4$ requires the largest module length, a minimum of 26 feet, because of the experiment size. All other payloads can utilize the 20 -foot length module.


Figure 7-1. Module Variation and Selection

Several alternatives exist to accommodate sortie payload 30M-4, which requires the 26 -foot length module. This payload could be restructured by eliminating an experiment such as the centrifuge to allow use of a 20 -foot module. Another approach is reducing the 3.3 scale factor (total volume-toexperiment volume) used for defining module volume. A more definitive analysis would be required to show this percentage number lower for larger pieces of equipment, therefore reducing the requirement from the 26 -foot length module to be compatible with the 20 -foot module. Another alternative was to combine the 10 -foot and the 20 -foot to replace the single 26 -foot module. This approach would reduce the number of different modules to two.

The three shuttle sortie modules (SSM) and the alternative of combining two are depicted in Figure 7-2. This figure also indicates the commonality of the sortie modules with the MSS elements. A module weight comparison is shown in Figure 7-3.


Figure 7-2. Sortie Module Alternatives


Figure 7-3. Module Weight Comparison

The sortie module analysis concluded:

1. A 100 -percent commonality in design characteristics between sortie module structural and MSS modules was achieved except for length.
2. Two different length modules - 10-foot and 20 -foot - will satisfy sortie payload requirements.
3. It is desirable to utilize the 20 -foot module, which is the same as the cargo modules and has similar operating conditions (up-down cycles and space environment).
4. The 10 -foot module can be developed merely by reducing the constant section-length of the 20 -foot module.

Airlock-Pallet
Seven of the 17 sortie payloads require an airlock or pallet accommodations. Several alternatives are available for these accommodations:

1. MSS airlock plus pallet (as required)
2. Shuttle airlock plus pallet
3. Use MSS airlock as pallet

These alternatives for the various sortie payloads were discussed in Section 6.

The MSS experiment airlock configuration was selected over the shuttle airlock, primarily because of operational complexity and interfaces with the shuttle.

From the pallet standpoint, all experiments except $30 \mathrm{M}-3$ could operate with an extendible rack or rail system from the MSS airlock. Therefore, six payloads can be accommodated by the airlock and the seventh payload, $30 \mathrm{M}-3$, requires a pallet because of the experiment length. These data are depicted in Figure 7-4.


- PALLET


| ACCOMMODATES: | ACCOMMODATES: |
| :---: | :---: |
| $7 M-1$ | $30 M-3$ |
| $7 M-2$ |  |
| $7 M-3$ |  |
| $7 M-6$ |  |
| $30 M-1$ |  |
| $30 M-2$ |  |

Figure 7-4. Pallet-Airlock Summary

## SUBSYSTEM COMMONALITY ANALYSIS

Analyses have been conducted at the component level to define commonality between sortie and MSS subsystems and also among sortie payloads. Commonality data at the component level, based on having the same physical and functional characteristics as the MSS component, are presented in subsequent paragraphs and expressed in percentage of commonality.

## Information Subsystem

Six items have been identified as having some degree of commonality to the MSS. Two items-S-band antenna and transponder and magnetic tape cartridges - have 100-percent commonality to the MSS for physical and functional characteristics. Four items-display and control unit, digital recorder, video recorder, and video tape cartridges - have a percentage of commonality to the MSS. These data are depicted in Figure 7-5.

The display and control unit for three sortie payloads has 90 percent commonality or 10 -percent uniqueness. Five sortie payloads have a 60 -percent commonality or 40 -percent uniqueness. Four sortie payloads have 40 -percent commonality or 60 -percent uniqueness. The uniqueness is



Figure 7-5. Subsystem Equipment Commonality to MSS
caused by having to have additional equipment such as high-resolution TV, switching controls, and variations in the mounting structure and packaging.

Digital recorders for all 17 payloads have a 60 -percent commonality or a 40 -percent uniqueness. This uniqueness is caused by having additional equipment such as signal conversion units and formatting with variations in the mounting structure and packaging.

Video recorders for five sortie payloads have an 80 -percent commonality or 20 -percent uniqueness. Four sortie payloads have 30 -percent commonality or 70 -percent uniqueness. The uniqueness for this item is caused by requiring additional equipment, different mounting structure, and packaging.

Video tape cartridges for three sortie payloads have 100-percent commonality. Five sortie payloads have a 30 percent commonality or 70 percent uniqueness. Again, the uniqueness is caused by requiring additional equipment, different mounting structure and packaging.

ECLS Subsystem
In the ECLS, 16 items have been identified as having some degree of commonality to the MSS. Two items - vent fans and pressure control units have 100-percent commonality. These data are presented in Figure 7-5.

Tanks for 17 sortie payloads have 50 -percent commonality or 50 -percent uniqueness. This uniqueness is caused by different size tanks which require new tooling.

LiOH cartridges have 90 -percent commonality or 10 -percent uniqueness. This latter is caused only by assembly size.

Ducting for 17 sortie payloads have 20 -percent commonality with 80 -percent uniqueness. This uniqueness is due to the length, shape, etc. Duct fans have 70 -percent commonality or 30 -percent uniqueness. This uniqueness is caused by different sizes and different operating characteristics.

Contamination control units for 17 sortie payloads have 40 -percent commonality or 60 -percent uniqueness. The uniqueness is caused by capacity capability (size); identical components are the valves, etc.

Coldplates and valves 17 payloads have 50 -percent commonality; uniqueness is caused only by size, shape, and length. Radiators commonality is 70 percent; uniqueness is caused only by size, shape, and length.

Freon pumps 17 payloads have 70 -percent commonality, uniqueness is due to size or flow rate capability. Freon reservoirs have 50 -percent commonality; again; uniqueness is caused by size and shape.

Temperature fans for 16 of the payloads have 40 -percent commonality or $60-$ percent uniqueness caused by the size and operating characteristics. Heat exchangers for 16 payloads have 40 -percent commonality; the 60 -percent uniqueness is due to the size and shape.

The humidity control unit for one sortie payload has 50 -percent commonality. Uniqueness is caused by size and shape.

Water pumps and intercoolers for nine sortie payloads have 70 -percent commonality; uniqueness is caused by size and operating characteristics.

## Guidance and Control Subsystem

Only two items have been identified for commonality to the MSS. One, a computer, has 100 -percent commonality, and the other, the gimballed star tracker, has 95 -percent commonality. These data are presented in Figure 7-5. The 5-percent uniqueness of the star tracker is caused only by the mounting structure variation.

## Electrical Power Subsystem

The electrical power subsystem has commonality to the MSS only at the component level (i.e., wire, switches, circuitbreakers, connectors, etc.).

## Crew and Habitability Subsystem

The crew and habitability subsystem has only two items with some degree of commonality. The first item $-\mathrm{O}_{2}$ mask, medical kit, and radiation dosimeters (considered as one item) -has 100 -percent commonality. The second item-bunks, tables, and seats-has 90 -percent commonality. The 10 -percent uniqueness is caused by the mounting provisions needed to satisfy shuttle launch and recovery phases.

## Subsystem Commonality Conclusions

The commonality value of items that have some degree of commonality to the MSS will be reflected in the cost analysis. For other hardware not listed (fuel cells, tanks, etc.) there will be some degree of commonality to the shuttle hardware.

## EPS Cryogenic Tanks

To achieve maximum commonality among payloads, two specific sizes of tanks were selected. These sizes and the quantity required by the payloads are presented in Table 7-2.

Table 7-2. Tank Utilization

|  | Tanks Required |  | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Payload | Oxygen | Hydrogen |  |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-1$ | 1 | 1 | 200 pounds of oxygen stored in shuttle tanks |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 1 | 2 |  |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 1 | 3 |  |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 2 | 3 |  |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-5$ | 0 | 1 |  |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-6$ | 0 | 1 |  |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-1$ | 2 | 2 |  |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 2 | 3 |  |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 4 | 5 | Standard apollo tanks: |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 2 | 3 |  |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-5$ | 2 | 3 | Oxygen $-5 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$, capacity -350 lb. |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-6$ | 3 | 3 | Hydrogen $-7 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$, capacity -30.8 lb. |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-7$ | 3 | 3 |  |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-8.8$ | 3 | 4 |  |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-9$ | 3 | 4 |  |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-10$ | $3-2$ | 2 |  |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-11$ | 2 | 2 |  |

## Radiators - ECLSS

Heat rejection requirements dictated various radiator sizes for each payload. These requirements are delineated in the Section 5 of this report. To obtain maximum commonality, an analysis was made which resulted in the selection of 70 -square-feet radiator segments which would be combined to satisfy the heat rejection requirements. The number of segments required by each payload is depicted in Table 7-3.

Table 7-3. Required Radiator Size, Number of Segments

| Payload | Area <br> Required <br> $\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ | No. <br> Segments* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-1$ | 129 | 2 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 174 | 3 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 692 | 10 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 608 | 10 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-5$ | 151 | 3 |
| $7 \mathrm{M}-6$ | 58 | 1 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-1$ | 192 | 3 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-2$ | 244 | 4 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-3$ | 235 | 4 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-4$ | 138 | 2 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-5$ | 73.5 | 2 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-6$ | 41 | 1 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-7$ | 76.1 | 2 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-8$ | 88.4 | 2 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-9$ | 76.1 | 2 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-10$ | 126 | 2 |
| $30 \mathrm{M}-11$ | 58 | 1 |

## ESTIMATED COST COMPARISON

The study objectives for this task were to determine comparative costs of sortie payloads and the value of commonality with the MSS applied to MSS development costs.

## Approach

The approach used, as depicted in Figure 7-6, is explained in the three steps. Step 1 was to determine costs (nonrecurring) of 17 payloads assuming that each was developed separately and that there was no relationship among them.


Figure 7-6. Cost Analysis Approach

Step 2 was to recognize commonality among payloads and determine development costs which would reflect this. Thus, nonrecurring costs would be spread over all payloads. The difference in costs for payloads developed in Step 1 and Step 2 is the cost savings due to commonality.

Step 3 was to determine how much the commonality between sortie payload components and MSS components was worth in terms of reduction of MSS development. Thus, if an element of the sortie payload is 40 -percent common to MSS, its nonrecurring costs are assumed to be 40 percent of MSS costs. Developing the sortie payloads first would save 40 percent of MSS development in this area. The sum of all sortie component costs which have some commonality to MSS represents the savings to MSS by having the sortie payloads share part of the total development cost.

Development cost estimates were prepared for the 17 sortie payloads. The methodology used to estimate these costs was based on NR cost-estimating relationships (CER's) for nonrecurring DDT\&E costs.

## Cost Analysis Results

The cost analysis results (Figure 7-7) show that approximately 30 -percent savings is accomplished by sharing cost among payloads. Approximately a 4 percent cost savings can be contributed to the initial MSS development cost. Other benefits that can be realized, but cannot be expressed in dollar value, are:

1. Obtain early experience in operational, procedural, and technique of operating experiments.
2. Reliability data on hardware elements.

In addition, certain subsystem hardware will have some degree of commonality with the shuttle, resulting in a further reduction of development costs.

- 17 SORTIE payloads
- DEVELOPMENT COSTS ONLY
- 1972 DOLLars

| ITEM | INDEPENDENT <br> DEVELOPMENT <br> (S) | SHARED <br> DEVELOPMENT <br> ( $($ ) | SAVINGS <br> TO MSS <br> $(S)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRUCTURE | 770 | 140 | 28 |
| ECLSS | 370 | 120 | 27 |
| EPS | 120 | 25 | - |
| G/C | 305 | 235 | 5 |
| INFORMATION | 120 | 30 | 10 |
| CRFW/HAB. | 115 | 20 | 7 |
| TOTAL | 1.800 | 570 | 77 |

- irutangible savings to mss
- COMPONENT RELIABILITY DATA
- Experiment procedures
- operational experience
- maintenance procedures

Figure 7-7. Cost Analysis Results

## 8. SORTIE LABORATORY

At the conclusion of the sortie mission analysis, an alternative approach to the accommodation of sortie payloads was conceived. This would provide a family of general-purpose laboratories. With this concept, each GPL would support a group of related disciplines and would contain, as an integral part of the module, laboratory and experiment equipment. The intent would be to minimize the amount of equipment required from the investigator.

The GPL's would be designed so as to exploit the reusability made possible by the shuttle. That is, they would be adaptable to a wide range of missions and users with a minimum of reconfiguration. In addition, use will be made of existing ground and aircraft-based laboratory equipment (microscopes, cameras, spectrometers, multimeters, etc.) where practical, to minimize costs. The philosophy behind this concept is illustrated in Figure 8-1 and described in the following paragraphs.

The functional capability of the GPL would evolve from the actual performance of experiments (Level I) to the support of experiments (Level III, as described in Volume III, Experiment Analysis, of the MSS Preliminary design report). This evolving role for the GPL is consistent with an evolutionary program. In the shuttle sortie period (Level I) when funds are limited and mission durations are short, the emphasis will be on low-cost means of achieving a wide range of experiments, with little or no on-orbit support functions (e.g., data processing, maintenance, calibration, etc.). In the space station period (Level III), when a large family of dedicated discipline-oriented laboratories will be available, the GPL will accommodate the support functions required across all the dedicated labs.

The lower right-hand portion of Figure 8-1 depicts commonality across a family of Level I GPL's. As discussed in Section 6, the first level of commonality (structure and subsystems) is common across not only all GPL's in this family but also other program elements such as space station modules. The next level (general-purpose experiment equipment) is that level common across all types of GPL's within the family. The third level (laboratory experiment equipment) is that level common to a substantial number of experiments within the individual GPL's area of interest. The top level is experiment-unique, and this level of equipment would normally be supplied by the investigator.

The_objective of this-task-was-to-define-a-Level I-shuttle-generat-purpose laboratory.


Figure 8-1. Sortie Lab Philosophy

## APPROACH

The approach used was to select a typical Level I GPL capable of performing viable experiments while being flown initially in the purely shuttle era and throughout the space station era. An arbitrary selection was made to define a seven-day applications GPL (A) which would perform a high-benefit experiment program.

The high-benefit program as defined in earlier in-house studies consists of the following experiments ( FPE 's):

> ES-1 - earth observations
> LS-1 - medical research
> MS-1 -materials science

The objectives of this high-benefit experiment program are:

1. Evaluate individual sensor performance.
2. Study effects of atmosphere on target signatures.
3. Develop total earth surveys information management system.
4. Gather data on slowly varying phenomena.
5. Perform precursor experiments as early as possible.
6. Select early experiments least dependent on precursor data.
7. Select early experiments for high return and minimum equipment to delay costs.
8. Observe short-duration effects of space flight on man.
9. Investigate means of predicting onset of undesirable effects.
10. Verify new instrumentation (post-Skylab) and measurement techniques.

The primary consideration in deriving the GPL concept definition was to minimize the interface impact with the shuttle. Consequently, the following assumptions were made:

1. GPL module to be as self-sufficient as practical.
2. Any data analysis to be performed would be done in the GPL.
3. The primary method of data collection, storage, and return to be via magnetic tape.
4. Real-time data to the earth to be compatible with the present shuttle telemetry capability ( 5 kbps ).
5. Minimum data samples to be returned.

## REQUTR.EMENTS

Table 8-1 indicates the support-type equipment required above the normal experiment equipment. In most cases, the same equipment is shown as recommended for the Level II GPL's. The envelope dimensions may differ but the general MSS configuration should be used.

Table 8-1. General Purpose Laboratory Equipment


Tables 8-2 through 8-4 indicate the equipment to be included into the subject GPL along with the general area of location and deployed and stowed envelopes as applicable.

## DESCRIPTION

Figure 8-2 illustrates the general arrangement of the sortie lab based on the experiment equipment and ground rules described previously.

Since the fundamental principle of efficiency (lowest program cost) was paramount, a study was made to utilize potential space hardware. This study resulted in the selection of the MSS cargo module and the MSS airlock combination as the basic module shell. This selection not only has the advantage of using potential hardware but virtually eliminates the structural interface problem with the shuttle since that interface has been accomplished as part of the MSS program.

A minimum modification will be required to the MSS cargo module shell to add floors, partitions, etc.

Table 8-2. Earth Observations

| Item No. | Equipment Nomenclature | Envelope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E001 | Metric camera | $51^{\prime \prime} \times 46^{\prime \prime} \times 31^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E002 | Stellar camera |  |
| E003 | Multis pectral camera | $24^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime} \times 14^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E005 | Multispectral scanner | $33^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime} \times 60^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E006 | Passive microwave scanner | $\begin{aligned} & 160^{\prime \prime} \times 160^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \\ & \left(\text { stow } 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \times 57^{\prime \prime} 1\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| E007 | Microwave radar | $\begin{aligned} & 180^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime \prime} \\ & \left(\text { stow } 8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \times 22^{\prime \prime} 1\right) \\ & \text { Boom stow. }\left(28^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \times\right. \\ & \left.54^{\prime \prime} 1\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| E008 | Multispectral radiometer | $10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \times 24^{\prime \prime} 1$ |
| E009 | Microwave radiometer | $360^{\prime \prime}$ d $\times 36^{\prime \prime}$ deep <br> (stow $25^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \times 85^{\prime \prime} 1$ ) <br> Boom 28'd x $54^{\prime \prime} 1$ |
| E010 | Scatterometer/radiometer | $\begin{aligned} & 44^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \times 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{l} \\ & \left(24^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime} \text { elec }\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| E011 | Multispectral spectrometer | $20^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E012 | Aeronomy spectrometer | $35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \times 80^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{l}$ |
| E013 | Polarimeter | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E014 | Sferics detector | $18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \times 5^{\prime \prime} 1$ |
| E015 | Absorption spectrometer | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E017 | Observation telescope | $96^{\prime \prime} \times 22^{\prime \prime} \times 18^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E021 | Controls and displays | Uses GPL G021 |

Table 8-3. Medical Research

| Item No. | Equipment Nomenclature | Envelope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L002 | ECG/VCG assembly | Small |
| L003 | EEG assembly | Small |
| L008 | Leg \& plethysmographs | Small |
| L015 | Lower body negative pressure | $24^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime} \times 38^{\prime \prime}$ |
| L019 | Blood pressure assembly | Small |
| L020 | Stowage container | $24^{\prime \prime} \times 30^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| L050 | Movie camera | See M037 |

Table 8-4. Materials Science

| Item No. | Equipment Nomenclature | Envelope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M002 | Environmental chamber ' $A$ ' passive cooling | $55^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime} \times 36^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M007 | General purpose lab. installation | $64^{\prime \prime} \times 58^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M008 | Instrum. and control center | $58^{\prime \prime} \times 58^{\prime \prime} \times 40^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M009 | Atmosphere supply and control system | $40^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M010 | Power conditioning and distribution system | $46^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M011 | Resistance heated furnace - 1600 C | $20^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M033 | Miscellaneous internal attachments | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M036 | Chill system | $24^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M037 | Motion picture camera | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M038 | TV camera | $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M039 | Remote measuring (mass and dimension) | $20^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M040 | Mixing unit | $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M050 | VHF power unit | $24^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M051 | External molds and container | $12^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M055 | Cleanup and refurbishment equipment | $40^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime}$ |
| M060 | Accident control system | $40^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime \prime}$ |



## General Arrangement

A basic guideline was established to use a longitudinal floor arrangement. This guideline was established by the ground handling aspect of the experiment setup and checkout. Using this guideline, a study was made to determine the most efficient use of the volume. This study resulted in a split-level arrangement. The two-level portion of the module attains the maximum amount of bench and instrument area to the full height passage ares. The single-level area was dictated by the need to load and service experiment sensors on the extendible airlock boom. This boorn can be extended into the module as well as into space when the airlock is utilized.

## Equipment Arrangement

The selected equipment was arranged to keep associated experiment equipment in localized areas. A secondary goal was to utilize work benches and controls for various types of experiments. The equipment arrangement as seen on the drawing resulted from these considerations. Generally, the upper level is devoted to medical and photographic subjects with controls and displays on one side. The lower level consists of power supplies, material sciences equipment, and analysis space.

The single-level area is used for earth observation experiments, carry-on equipment storage, and loading and servicing the equipment on the extendible boom and in the airlock. The airlock serves a dual function. It is primarily an airlock for servicing and calibrating equipment that must be deployed to a space environment. It also serves as a pallet which can support equipment that has no specific requirements or sensors that require a space environment but do not need to point. The airlock also serves as a pallet on which is mounted a saddle platform for sensors that need to point but do not need servicing or calibrating. A second saddle platform which is gimballed is provided for pointing sensors that require closer tolerance pointing than the shuttle can provide and that do not require on-orbit maintenance and calibration.

The radiator for the lab is shown fixed to the space-exposed side of the module. Further studies may reveal a need for a larger radiator and possibly one that is deployable.

## Shuttle Subsystem Utilization

In line with the basic philosophy of efficiency, the shuttle subsystems are utilized wherever possible. The following systems are affected:

1. Electrical Power - The fuel cells (similar to the shuttle) are used to supply electrical power for the laboratory. However, the
reactants for this conversion are supplied from the laboratory and are stored as cryogenic gas in tanks mounted on the airlock.
2. Environmental Control - The shuttle ECLSS is used to supply the laboratory through ducts to the module.
3. Guidance and Control- Whenever pointing requirements permit, the shuttle guidance subsystem is used to orient the experiment.
4. ISS - The laboratory has its own ISS but utilizes the shuttle antennas for information relay.
5. Habitability - Since the shuttle normally has a large capability for habitability, this capability is utilized in the following areas: Seating, food preparation, waste management, emergency equipment, etc.

All other subsystems are an integral part of the sortie lab module. The sortie lab subsystem interface is accomplished with an adapter which is basically a pressurized personnel transfer tunnel. One end of this adapter interfaces with the berthing port end on the sortie lab and the other end interfaces with the shuttle bay tunnel. This adapter is not only a pressurized tunnel but provides the physical link for the subsystems.

A weight summary for a 7-day applications sortie lab is presented in Table 8-5.

Table 8－5．Weight Summary（lbs）

| Item | Sortie Lab | Lab Provisions in Snuttle | Iotal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Structural and mechanical | 7，504 |  | 7， 504 |
| Environmental control／life support | 750 |  | 750 |
| Electrical power | 978 |  | 978 |
| Guidance and control | 500 |  | 500 |
| Information | 240 |  | 246 |
| Crew habitability | 35 | 300 | 335 |
| On－board experiments | 8,415 |  | $3,+15$ |
| Dry weight | 18，428 | 300 | 18，ここ |
| PLSS（2）and PGA＇s（2） |  | 354 | $3 ミ 4$ |
| 2 crew |  | 400 | 400 |
| Reactants |  | 500 | 500 |
| Leakage make up $\mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{N}_{2}$ |  | 100 | 100 |
| Consumables（food） |  | 63 | 63 |
| Atmosphere | 200 |  | 200 |
| Gross Weight | 18，628 | 1，717 | 20，345 |

# APPENDIX A. SORTIE EXPERIMENT PACKAGES AND EQUIPMENT/SUPPORT REQUIREMENTS 

The sortie experiment packages, equipment requirements, and support requirements are summarized in 31 groups of tables. Each package consists of two tables. The first table indicates the 1971 Blue Book experiments supported, the equipment items required for the experiment operations to be performed on the type of sortie mission indicated, and a short description of the desired operational concept for the sortie.

Further details of the experiment descriptions and equipment items are available in the 1971 Blue Book in the related FPE and experiment descriptions. The tables list equipment titles together with an equipment identification number utilized during the study.

The operational concept includes a recommended number of missions. This is guided by an estimate of the activity required to comply with the objectives of the 1971 Blue Book. The final entry of the experiment description tables provides a total weight estimate for the list of equipment identified for the experiment package. This value does not, in general, include mounting structure or allowance for support requirements such as electrical power and data relay. The experiment package summaries are shown in Tables A-1 through A-31.

The support requirements for the identified packages are indicated in the companion set of tables, Tables A-1A through Table A-31A. The subsystem requirements data of this second sheet are based on the following definition:

1. Electrical Energy Per 24 Hours - This is the 24 -hour average rate at which the electrical power must be provided for experiment operations. These 24 -hour averages may be scaled linearly to longer time intervals with confidence.
2. Maximum Sustained Electrical Power - This is the maximum rate at which electrical energy must flow to the payload package for sustained periods (defined as periods exceeding one hour).
3. Peak Electrical Power - This is the maximum instantaneous rate at which electrical energy must flow at the payload package, excluding transients, for periods of less than one hour.
4. Crew Support Requirements - The 24 -hour average number of crew man-hours required to support the laboratory are presented, broken down by skill. Skills are selected from the following list:
5. Biological technician
6. Microbiological technician
7. Biochemist
8. Physiologist
9. Astronomer/astrophysicist
10. Physicist
11. Nuclear physicist
12. Photo technician/cartographer
13. Thermodynamicist
14. Electronic engineer
15. Mechanical engineer
16. Electromechanical technician
17. Medical doctor
18. Optical technician
19. Optical scientist
20. Meteorologist
21. Microwave specialist
22. Oceanographer
23. Physical geologist
24. Photo geologist
25. Behavioral scientist
26. Chemical technician
27. Metallurgist
28. Material scientist
29. Physical chemist
30. Agronomist
31. Geographer
32. Quantity of Data Per 24 Hours - This is the total amount of data generated after internal processing and which thus must be accommodated during each 24 -hour interval. It is broken down by major classification, such as TV, digital, analog, samples, film, magnetic tape.
33. Maximum Data Output Rate - This is the maximum rate at which data are generated after any internal processing, broken down by major classification.
34. Data Disposition Requirements - For each class of data output identified above, the first major function which must be performed on it after leaving the laboratory is specified, and the portion of the output data subjected to this function will be estimated. The functions considered include display, storage, real-time or near real-time transmission to ground, and real-time onboard processing.
35. Data Input Requirements - These are the data which must be provided to the payload package in order to support its operations. Examples include ephemeris and attitude data, time signals, externally generated experiment data previous runs, and commands.
36. Guidance and Control Requirements - These are requirements for the stabilization, attitude control, or limitation of attitude rates of the laboratory as a whole. Data generated by the G\&C subsystem to be used in controlling a portion are specified under Data Input Requirements.
37. Operational Requirements - Each payload package may have certain special operational requirements such as flight mode (inertial or local vertical reference) or environmental requirements (acceleration, vibration, temperature) which are specified here.

In summary, the data are presented at the individual package level; the packages may be combined but may not be broken down further without additional analyses.

To assist in the planning and scheduling of specific experiment packages and combination of packages for shuttle sortie missions, an analysis was made of the extimated volume requirements for each of the 31 reference experiment packages. These volume requirements are summarized in Table A-32. Where possible, the volume requirement is stated for a complete experiment group for an experiment payload package. In other cases, several separate volumes are stated for a given set of experiment equipment items. The required experiment package envelopes are separated into two categories, pressurized volume and unpressurized volume, to assist in the assignment of a given package to a pressurized support module or to an unpressurized pallet.

Table A-1. X-Ray Stellar Astronomy (Al-1)
Experiments SupportedA-1.1 High Resolution X-Ray Telescope ExperimentsA-1.3 Proportional Counter Array Experiments
Equipment Items
ID Number

| A001 | High Resolution X-Ray Telescope <br> A002 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aspect Optics |  |
| A003 | Aspect Detector |
| A004 | Imaging Detector |
| A005 | Transmission Grating |
| A006 | Filter Wheel (Crystal) |
| A007 | Spectrometer Cource |
| A008 | Radioactive Calibration Source |
| A015 | Proportional Counter Array |

Operational Concept
Duration: 30 days
Recommended Number of Missions: 2 (minimum)
Orbital Parameters:

| Altitude: | $400-500 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{mi} \mathrm{(desired)}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $370-740 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{mi} \mathrm{(acceptable)}$ |
| Inclination: | 0 deg (desired) |
|  | 0 deg to 55 deg (acceptable) |

Recommended Mode: Housed in manned attached module withaccess to shuttle
Special Requirements: Minimum contamination. Supplementary pointing capability of 1 arc sec.
Total Weight: 2880 pounds
Table A-1A. X-Ray Stellar Astronomy (Al-I) Subsystem Support


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) Internal processing assumed - reduces max rate to } 4 \times 10^{4} \text { BPS } \\
& \text { from } \sim 10^{7} \text { BPS } \\
& \text { (2) Supplemental stabilization of sensors required for finer } \\
& \text { pointing than available from Shuttle }
\end{aligned}
$$

Table A-2. Advanced Solar. Astronomy (A3-1)

## Experiment Supported

A-3.1 Photoheliograph Experiments
A-3.2 XUV Spectroheliograph Experiments
A-3.3 X-Ray Grazing Incidence Telescope Experiments

## Equipment Items

ID Number

| A034 | I. 5 -Meter Photoheliograph |
| :--- | :--- |
| A036 | Alignment and Calibration Equipment |
| A037 | Aspect Sensor |
| A038 | Echelle Spectrograph |
| A039 | Lyot Birefringent Filter |
| A040 | Electronic Imaging Camera |
| A041 | Optical Transmission Filters |
| A042 | Magnetograph Analyzer |
| A043 | 0.25 Meter XUV Spectroheliograph |
| A044 | Band Selection Grating |
| A045 | 0.5 Meter X-Ray Telescope |
| A046 | X-Ray Imaging Sensor |
| A047 | Transmission Grating |
| A048 | Proportional Counter |
| A049 | Crystal Spectrometer |

## Operational Concept

Duration: 30 days
Recommended Number of Missions: 5 (minimum)
Orbital Parameters:

| Altitude: | 270 nmi (desired) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $200-400 \mathrm{nmi}$ (acceptable) |
| Inclination: | Sun synchronous (desired) <br> $\quad 0$ to 55 degrees (acceptable) |

Recommended Mode: Housed in manned attached module with access to shuttle

Special Requirements: First and possibly second missions could be flown at low inclinations with frequent logistics visits for film transport. Following missions use electronic imagery instead of film and are performed in solar synchronous orbit. Supplemental stabilization of sensors required for finer pointing than shuttle can provide. Simultaneous timecorrelated measurements of moving solar phenomena are required.

Total Weight: 6475 pounds
Table A-2A. Advanced Solar Astronomy (A. 3-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30 Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POK'ER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 8.6 KWH $720 \mathrm{~W} \quad 910 \mathrm{~W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFIW SUPPCRTT |  $\frac{\text { Skill Code }}{}$ $\frac{\mathrm{Hr} / \text { Day }}{5}$ <br> $6 \mathrm{Man} \mathrm{Hr} / 24 \mathrm{Hr}$ 5 5.5 <br> 6 Hr to Set up 12 or 14 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR Q!:ANTITY  <br> $6 \times 10^{8}$ Bits <br> 5 Lb Hard Data $4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{BPS}{ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | 24 HR QUANTI |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA DISPOSITION | Digital 100\% Stored <br>  100\% Transmitted Within 1 Day <br>  $10 \%$ Display TV |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Time Signals <br> Location: $\pm 1$ n.mi ECSI Coordinates |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | Attitude: l Arc Sec Pointing <br>  0.1 Arc Sec $/ 2700 \mathrm{Sec}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]Table A-3. Intermediate Size UV Telescope (A4-I)

## Experiment Supported

A-4.2 Wide-Field UV Telescope Survey Experiments

## Equipment Items

ID Number

| A059 | Optional Star Tracker/Inertial Reference Assembly |
| :--- | :--- |
| A060 | 0.3 Meter Wide-Field UV Telescope |
| A062 | Broad Band Filters |
| A063 | Wide-Field UV Electronic Camera Assembly |
| A064 | Backup Film Holder and Film Magazine Assembly |
| A065 | Pattern Recognition Star Field Lock-On Unit |

## Operational Concept

Duration: 30 days
Recommended Number of Missions: 4
Orbital Parameters:

| Altitude: | 250-360 nmi (desired) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 200-400 nmi (acceptable) |
| Inclination: | 28 deg to 70 deg (desired) Any (acceptable) |

Recommended Mode: Pallet mounted in shuttle bay -- remote control by man; limited access by man to retrieve film and/or service telescope

## Special Requirements:

(a) Contamination control of shuttle effluents
(b) Equipment prefers $10-6$ torr environment
(c) Temperature range - Stowed 283 to 298 K Operating 290 to 291 K

Total Weight: Equipment 950 lb
Consumables 15 lb 965
Table A-3A. Intermediate Size U-V Telescope (A.4-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30 Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | $4.8 \mathrm{KWH}^{(1)}$ | . 30 KW | . 30 KW |  |  |  |
| CREW <br> SUPPORT | Skill Man Hr/Day (1) <br> 12 4 <br> 5 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \mathrm{HR} \text { QUANTIT } \\ & \hline \mathrm{TV}-5 \times 10^{1} \\ & 1 \mathrm{Lb} \mathrm{Fi1m} \\ & 1.6 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{Bi} \end{aligned}$ | Digital | AX SUST RATE $0^{4}$ BPS (DIg. $0^{6} \mathrm{~Hz}$ (TV) | 24 HR QUANTI |  | MAX SUST RATE |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | TV - Store 100\% <br> Digital - Transmit 25\%, Store 100\% <br> Film - Store 100\% |  |  | - |  |  |
| DATA <br> INPUT | Commands 100 BPS <br> Position: $\pm 1$ n.mi, all axes. |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | 5 Arc Sec (2); 5 Arc Sec/1 Hour Inertial Reference |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: <br> (1) Power, crew support and data requirements are based upon 10 hours of operation per day, in two 5 hour cycles; 1.6 KWH are standby powers. <br> (2) Stabilization duration required for 1 hour - experiment provided platform is presumed. <br> (3) Internal processing assumed to reduce maximum rate to $4 \times 10^{4}$ BPS. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-4. High Energy Stellar Astronomy (A5-I)

## Experiments Supported

A-5.2 X-Ray Source Mapping (1-20 kev)
A-5.4 Large Area X-Ray Counter Measurements ( $0.1-100 \mathrm{kev}$ )

## Equipment Items

ID Number

| A067 | Aspect Sensor |
| :--- | :--- |
| A071 | Composite Alignment and Calibration Equipment |
| A072 | Venetian Blind X-Ray Telescope |
| A075 | Large X-Ray Counter Array |
| A076 | Mapping Module |
| A077 | Modulation Collimators |
| A078 | Control Gas Source |

## Operational Concept

Duration: 30 days
Recommended Number of Missions: 6

## Orbital Parameters:

| Altitude: | 400-500 n mi (desired) <br> 200-400 n mi (acceptable) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Inclination: | 0 deg (desired) |
|  | 0 deg to 55 deg (acceptable) |

Recommended Mode: Housed in manned module with access
to shuttle

Special Requirements: Additional stabilization to provide 6 arc min per observation period

Total Weight: 2520 pounds
Table A-4A. High Energy Stellar Astronomy (A. 5-1) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30 Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 1.6 KWH | 65 W | 193W |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Code   <br>  Hrs/Day $13 \mathrm{Man} \mathrm{Hr} /$ Day <br> 6  8 <br> 10 2  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTI |  | AX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | $5.4 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{BI}$ | 6 | $\times 10^{3}$ BPS |  |  |  |
| data <br> DISPOSITION | 100\% Storage <br> 10\% Transmit to Ground <br> 15\%. Display (During Calibration) |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Location $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{mi}$ all Directions Time Signals <br> Commands: 100 BPS |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Attitude: } 360 \mathrm{Arc-Sec} \text { Pointing }{ }^{(1)} \\ & 60 \mathrm{Arc-Sec} / 3000 \mathrm{Sec}-24 \mathrm{Hr} \\ & \text { Mode: Inertial } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^5]Table A-5. Infrared Astronomy (A6-I)
Experiments Supported:
A6. 2 Radiometry
A6. 3 . High Resolution Spectrometry
Equipment Items:
ID Numbers
A063 Wide-Field UV Electronic Camera Assembly

AO64 Backup Film Holder and Film

    Magazine Assembly
    A085 Telescope

A086 Aspect Sensor Guide Star Trackers

A087 Cooling Equipment (each)

and

A088

A089 Alignment and Calibration Equipment

A090 Linear Detector Array

A091 Michelson Interferometer
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 30 day mission
Recommended Number of Missions:
4
Orbit Parameters: Preferred $50^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$ inclination © 270 to $300 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$.
Acceptable $25^{\circ}$ to $70^{\circ}$ inclination (a 250 to 400 n . mi .
Recommended Mode: Mounted on pallet which can be boom deployed from
shuttle bay.
Special Requirements: (a) Contaminant control of shuttle effluents required.
(b) IR telescope will be delivered to orbit chilled down
to $27^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$; thermal protection during launch to
orbit insertion is required.
(c) Retrieve film pack prior to entry.
(d) Equipment prefers $10^{-6}$ TORR.
(e) Temperature Range: Stored $27.6^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ (Prechill)
Operating $2^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ (Detector Array
Total Weight: Equipment 3370 lbs
Consumables 200 lbs
3570 lbs
Table A-5A. Infra-Red Astronomy (A.6-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30 Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ElECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | $5.5 \mathrm{KWH}{ }^{(2)} .30 \mathrm{KW}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Man $\mathrm{Hr} / \mathrm{Day}^{(2)}$ <br> 5 8 <br> 12 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTITY (2) Film MAX SUST RATE1  <br> TV $2.0 \times 10^{11}$ Bit 1 Lb $4 \times 10^{4}$ BPS (Dig) <br> Dig. $1.2 \times 10^{9}$ Bit $7 \times 10^{6}$ BPS (TV)  |  |  | 24 HR QUANTI |  | MAX SUST RATE |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | ```TV - Store 100% Digital - Store 100%; Transmit - 25% Film - Store.``` |  |  | . |  |  |
| DATA <br> INPUT | ```Position +1 n.mi - All Axes Commands - 65 BPS``` |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^6]Table A-6. Space Physics (P. 1-I)

## Experiments Supported

P.I.I Atmospheric and Magnetospheric ..... SciencesP.1.4 Small Astronomy Telescope
Equipment Items
ID Numbers
POOI Photometric Cluster
P002 Interferometer Spectrometer

    P003 Scanning Grating Spectrometer
    
    P004 EUV Spectrometer
    
    P005 Image Isocon Television
    
    P006 Image Tube Optical System
    
    P007 Open Source Mass Spectrometer
    
    P008 Closed Source Mass Spectrometer
    
    P009 Neutral Gas Temperature Exper. Equipment
    
    P010 . Ion Mass Spectrometer
    
    PO11 Ion Trap
    
    PO12 Electrostatic Probe
    
    P013 Electric Field Probes
    
    \(\mathrm{PO14}\) FIux Gate Magnetometer
    
    PO15 . Magnetometer Coil
    
    POI6 VLF Sensor
    
    PO17 Aluminum Foil Exposure Device
    
    PO18 Particle Sensor Cluster
    OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: ..... 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 5
Orbit Parameters:

| Mission <br> No. | Orbital <br> Alt. (n.mi.) | Orbital <br> Inclination (Deg) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 <br> 2 | 200 |
| 3 | 300 | 90 |
| 4 | 400 | 90 |
| 5 | 500 | 90 |
|  |  | 90 |

Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Sensors are pallet-mounted on extendable booms deployed through airlock. Requirement for 4 -channel video recorder for P005 image isocon TV may be met by Shuttle ISS
Total Weight: 854 Lbs.
Table A-6A. Space Physics (P. 1-I) Subsystem Support


Table A-7. Space Physics (P. 1-II)
Experiments Supported
P.1. 2 Cometary Physics
Equipment Items
P003 Scanning Grating Spectrometer
P019 NH3 Release Device

P020ICN Release Device
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: ..... 30 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 1
Orbit Parameters:
Altitude: $100 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$.
Inclination: No requirement
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Scanning grating spectrometer must be deployedthrough airlock for UV measurements.Mechanism for gas release from canisters at a distance from shuttlemodule for safety and non-contamination considerations must beprovided. Also provisions for ejection of canisters from airlockby spring-loaded impulse mechanism or by subsatellite are to beevaluated.
Total Weight: ..... 233 Lbs.


| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30 Days |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 11 KWH | $1137 \mathrm{~W}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4000 \mathrm{~W} \\ & \text { for } 1000 \mathrm{Sec} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | $2 \text { Man Hr/Day } \quad \frac{\text { Skil1 Code }}{} \begin{array}{cc} 6 & \\ & 12 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTI |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10\% On-Board Display } \\ & 100 \% \text { Storage } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | Time Signals <br> Distance to Cloud |  |  | -.. . .- . |  |  |
| data INPUT | Attitude: $\pm 2$ Deg Pointing |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-8. Plasma Physics (P. 2-I)

## Experiments Supported

## P.2.1 Investigation of the plasma wake around orbital bodies

## Equipment Items

## ID Numbers

| P026 | Electron Density and Temperature Measurement Device |
| :--- | :--- |
| P027 | Planar Thermal Ion Trap |
| P028 | Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer |
| P029 | Measurement of AC Electric Field |
| P030 | Measurement of DC Electric Field |
| P031 | Fluxgate Magnetometer |
| P032 | Suprathermal Electron Measurement |
| P033 | Cylindrical Electrostatic Probe |
| P034 | Transmitter VLF |
| P035 | VLF Antenna |
| P039 | Balloon-Sphere |
| P040 | Balloon-Cylinder |
| P161 | Transmitter |

OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: ..... 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 4
Orbit Parameters:
Altitude: $\quad 270$ n.mi. preferred, $165-330$ n.mi. acceptable
Inclination: $55^{\circ}$
Recommended Mode: Manned attached module
Special Requirements: Articulated boom for wake sensors
Total Weight: 420 Lbs.
Table A-8A. Plasma Physics (P.2-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 7 Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | $6.6 \mathrm{KW}-\mathrm{Hr} \quad 250 \mathrm{~W} \quad 750 \mathrm{~W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUST | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | AX SUST Rate | 24 HR QUANT |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | $\begin{array}{ll} 8.6 \times 10^{9} \text { Bits } & 1 \times 10^{5} \text { BPS Digi } \\ & 1 \mathrm{MHZ} \text { Analog }(1) \end{array}$ |  |  | al |  |  |
| DATA DISPOSITION | 10\% Display <br> 25\% Real Time Transmission 100\% Storage \& Shuttle Return |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Time Signals \& Ephemeris Data Data to Support 1 Deg/Min Stability |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | Altitude: $\ddagger 1 \mathrm{n} . \mathrm{mi}$ <br> Attitude $:$ $\ddagger 0.5 \mathrm{Deg}$ Pointing <br>  $\underline{ \pm 1} \mathrm{Deg} / \mathrm{Min}$ Stability |  |  |  |  |  |

[^7]Table A-9. Plasma Physics (P. 2-II)
Experiments Supported
P.2.4 Investigation of Electron and Ion Beam Propagation
Equipment Items
ID Numbers
P038 Hemispherical Analyzer
P048 VLF Electronic Receiver
P049 High Energy Measurement Device
PO50 Low Energy Range Analyzer
P051 Electron Accelerator
P158 Particle Detectors 0-2 KeV
P159 Particle Detectors $0.5-20 \mathrm{KeV}$
P160 Particle Detectors 10 - 500 KeV
P161 Transmitter
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: ..... 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 4
Orbit Parameters:
Altitude: $\quad 550$ n.mi. preferred Inclination: $55^{\circ}$
Recommended Mode: Manned attached module
Special Requirements: Second umanned satellite located at geomagneticfield conjugate point is desirable, but may be deferred.Return current system for reducing changing of acceleration platformand RAM.
Total Weight: 702 Lbs.
Table A-9A. Plasma Physics (P. 2-II) Subsystem Support


Table A-10. Cosmic Ray Physics (P. 3-I)
Experiments Supported
P.3.2 Electron and Position Energy Spectra
P.3.3 Isotopic Composition of Light Elements
Equipment Items
ID Numbers
P053 Total Absorption Device
P054 Total Absorption Shower - Counter (TASC)
P055 TASC Photomultipliers
F056 Magnet-Dewar Assembly
P057 Liquid Cerenkov Counter
P058 Spectrometer Assembly
P059 Detector Bay 1
P060 Detector Bay 2
P064 Control Console
P067 Microfilm Storage
OPERATIONAL CONCEPTS
Duration: 30 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 7
Orbit Parameters:
Altitude: 200 n.mi. preferred, 270 n. mi. acceptableInclination: 28.5 deg. preferred, 55 deg. acceptable
Recommended Mode: Pallet-mounted instrumentation
Special Requirements: These experiments are performed in the absenceof the $24,000 \mathrm{lb}$. Total Absorption Device (TAD) but space should beprovided for adding it piecewise in 350 lb . segments to the cosmicray RAM at later dates, if this accomodation technique is anticipated.Total Weight: 10,500 Lbs.
Table A-10A. Cosmic Ray Physics (P.3-I) Subsystem Support


Table A-11. Physics and Chemistry (P. 4-I)

## Experiments Supported

P.4.2 Gas-surface Interactions
P.4.6 Gas Reaction in Space

Equipment Items
ID Number

| P068 | Airlock (2) | Pl09 | Acceleration Sensors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P069 | Feedthroughs | P110 | Special Purpose Power Supplie |
| P070 | View Ports - Visible | P111 | Polarimeter |
| P071 | View Ports - IR | P116 | Quartz Microbalance |
| P072 | View Ports - UV | P117 | Energy Transfer Prode |
| P073 | Bench Area | P118 | Test Surfaces |
| P081 | Glove Boxes - Vacuim | P119 | Data Monitor |
| P082 | Glove Boxes - Clean | P138 | Canisters |
| P083 | Glove Boxes (Hazardous) | P139 | EUV Photometer |
| P085 | Extendible Boom | P140 | Electron Probe |
| P086 | Data Acquisition System | P141 | EUV Spectrometers (2) |
| P087 | Camera Ciné | P142 | Visible - IR Spectrometer |
| P088 | Camera Still | P143 | Cine' Camera |
| P089 | Camera TV | P144 | Mass Spectrometer - Sub Sat/B00m |
| P095 | Data Displays | P146 | Electron Probe S/S-Boo:n |
| P097 | Voltmeters | P147 | Temperature Probe S/S-Bo |
| P098 | Ammeters |  | Cemperature Probe S/S-Boom |
| P099 | Frequency Meter |  |  |
| P106 | Temperature Sensors |  |  |
| P107 | Displacement Sensors |  |  |
| F108 | Velocity Sensors |  |  |

OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 30 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: 2
Orbit Parameters:

| Altitude: | 100 n.mi. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Inclination: | Any |

Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Both experiments require instrumentation mounted interchangeably on extendable boom in "air stream." P. 4.6 requires a means of ejecting canisters of chemicals to be released at a distance to avoid contamination from shuttle effluents. Possibilities are a spring-type ejection from airlock or a sub-satellite. P. 4.6 requires a viewing port inside module for near $U V$, visible and near IR sensors.

Total Weight: 345 Lbs.
Table A-llA. Physics \& Chemistry (P. 4-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30 Days |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electrical POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 5.5 KWH | 230 W | 300 w |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT |  |  |  | 24 HR QUANTITY |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANT |  | ax SUST Rate |  |  | maX SUST RATE |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \times 10^{9} \text { Bits Digital } \\ & \text { Microfilm \& Polaroid: } 1 \mathrm{Lb} \end{aligned} 4.5 \times 10^{4} \text { BPS }$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA DISPOSITION | 100\% Storage \& Shuttle Return $25 \%$ on Board Processing |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA <br> INPUT | Time Signals, Real Time Link with Ejected Cannister. Knowledge of Local Vertical \& Velocity Vector to $\pm 1$ Deg |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | No Pointing or Stability Req. Except $\pm$ Deg Pointing of Boom Instrumentation into Air Stream or to Cloud |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-12. Earth Observations (ES1-I)

## Experiment Supported

ES-1.1 Meteorology and Atmospheric Sciences
Equipment Items
ID Number

| E001 | Metric Camera |
| :--- | :--- |
| E002 | Stellar Camera |
| E006 | Passive Microwave Scanner |
| E008 | Multispectral Radiometer |
| E009 | Microwave Radiometer |
| E010 | Scatterometer/Radiometer |
| E011 | Multispectral Spectrometer |
| EO12 | Aeronomy Spectrometer |
| E013 | Polarimeter |
| EO14 | Sferics Detector |
| E017 | Observation Telescope |
| E018 | Telescope Computer |
| E020 | Cloud Chamber |
| E021 | Controls and Displays |
| E022 | Data Analysis Electronics |
| E024 | Maintenance and Repair |

## OPERATIONAL CONCEPT

Dratation: 30 days
Recommended Number of Missions: 15 over 2 year period (may be grouped - 2 sensor development, 1 for sensor qual., 12 consecutive for signature research)

Orbit Parameters: 100 nm and $70^{\circ}$ inclination preferred, 270 nm and $50^{\circ}$ inclination are acceptable

Recommended Mode: Partially housed in manned module (E001, E002, E012, E017, E018, EO2O thru EO22, EO24)- partially mounted on pallet (E006, EOO8 thru E011, E013, E014)

```
Special Requirements:
    a. Sensor spacing required to satisfy clear field of view
                of up to }12\mp@subsup{0}{}{\circ}\mathrm{ cone (fixed) and }15\mp@subsup{0}{}{\circ}\mathrm{ cone ( }3\mp@subsup{0}{}{\circ}\mathrm{ cone
                gimballed }\pm6\mp@subsup{0}{}{\circ}
                    b. Sensor pointing accuracy = \pm0.5 deg and attitude
                                hold rate = . Ol deg/sec - max. constraints
    c. Sensor scamning/tracking - mechanization for up to
        \pm6\mp@subsup{0}{}{\circ}}\mathrm{ in both in-track and cross-track directions
            d. Sensor erection/deployment/retraction - antennas up
        to }30\textrm{ft}\mathrm{ dia. (gimballed }\pm60\mathrm{ ) and }14\textrm{ft x 14 ft
        square (fixed, looking 400}\mathrm{ forward)
Total Weight: (including consumables).
            Equipment = 4647
    Consumables = 208
        Totals }4849\mathrm{ Lbs.
```

Table A-12A. Earth Observations, Meteorology (E3.1-I), Subsystem Support

Notes: * NR Estimate, No Blue Book Data

Table A-13. Earth Observations (ESI-II)

## Experiment Supported

ES-1. 2 Land Ise Mapping
Equipment Items
ID Number
E001 Metric Camera
EOO2 Stellar Camera
E033 Multispectral Camera
E005 Multispectral Scanner
E006 Passive Microwave Scanner
E007 Microwave Radar
E008. Multispectral Radiometer
E010 Scatterometer/Radiometer
EO11 Multispectral Spectrometer
E013 Polarimeter
E017 Observation Telescope
E018 E018 Telescope Computer
EO21 Controls and Displays
E022 Data Analysis Electronics
E023 Photo Analysis
E024 Maintenance and Repair

## OPERATIONAL CONCEPT

Duration: 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: 28 over 2 year period (may be grouped *12 for sensor development, 4 for sensor qual., 12 at one month intervals for signature research)

Orbit Parameters: 100 nm and $70^{\circ}$ inclination preferred; 270 nm and $50^{\circ}$ inclination are acceptable
Recommended Mode: Partially housed in manned module (EOO1, EOO2, E003. E017, E018, E021 thru E024) - partially mounted on pallett (EOO5 thru EOO8, E01O, E01l, EO13)

Special Requirements: a. Sensor spacing required to satisfy clear fields of up to $120^{\circ}$ cone (fixed) and $150^{\circ}$ cone ( $30^{\circ}$ cone gimballed $\pm 60^{\circ}$ )
b. Sensor pointing accuracy $= \pm 0.5 \mathrm{deg}$ and attitude hold rate $=.05 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}-$ max. constraints
c. Sensor scanning/tracking - mechanization for up to $\pm 60^{\circ}$ in both in-track and cross-track directions.
d. Sensor erection/deployment/retraction - antennes up to 15 ft long x 5 ft wide (fixed, looking $45^{\circ}$ side-track) and $14 \mathrm{ft} \times 14 \mathrm{ft}$ square (fixed, looking $40^{\circ}$ upward)
Total Weight: Equipment $=6056$
Consumables
Total $\frac{398}{6454}$ Lbs.

* Equivalent 30 day missions could be substituted for sensor development and qualification.
Table A-13A. Earth Observations, Land Use (ES. l-II) Subsystem Support


Table A-14. Earth Observations (ESI-III)

Experiment Supported
ES-1. 4 Resource Recognition and Identification
Equipment Items

## ID Numbers

EOO1 Metric Camera
E002 Stellar Camera
E003 Multispectral Camera
EOO5 Multispectral Scanner
E006 Passive Microwave Scanner
E007 Microwave Radar
E008 Multispectral Radiometer
E011 Multispectral Spectrometer
E015 Absorption Spectrometer
E017 Observation Telescope
E018 Telescope Computer
E019 Data Collection System
EO21 Controls and Displays
EO22 Data Analysis Electronics
E023 Photo Analysis
E024 Maintenance and Repair
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: 28 over 2 year period (may be grouped *12 for sensor development, ${ }^{4} 4$ for sensor qual., at 1 month intervals for signature research)

Orbit Parameters: 100 nm and $90^{\circ}$ inclination preferred, 270 nm and $55^{\circ}$ inclination acceptable

Recommended Mode: Partially housed in manned module (EOO1, EOO2, E003, E013, E015, E017, E018, E021 thru E024) - partially mounted on pallet (EOO5 thru E008, E011, E019)

Special Requirements:
a. Sensor spacing required to satisfy clear fields of view of up to $120^{\circ}$ cone (fixed) and $150^{\circ}$ cone ( $30^{\circ}$ cone gimballed $\pm 60^{\circ}$ ).
b. Sensor pointing accuracy $= \pm .05 \mathrm{deg}$ and attitude hold rate $=.05 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}-$ max. constraints
$\Theta$
c. Sensor scanning/tracking - mechanization for up to $\pm 60^{\circ}$ in both in-track and cross-track directions
d. Sensor erection/deployment/retraction - antennas up to 15 ft long $x 5 \mathrm{ft}$ wide (fixed, looking $45^{\circ}$ side-track) and $\mathcal{H}_{4} \mathrm{ft} x$ 14 ft square (fixed, looking $40^{\circ}$ forward)

Total Weight: Equipment: 5693 Consumables:
Total $\quad \frac{70}{5763}$ Lbs.

* Equivalent 30 day missions could be substituted for sensor development and qualification.
Table A-14A. Earth Observations, Resource Identification (ES-1-III), Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: Phase ES.1-III, 7 Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | $3.5 \mathrm{KWH} \quad 1.55 \mathrm{KW}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREW <br> SUPPORT <br> $*$ <br> NR Est-No B/B data | $\frac{\text { skill code }}{26}$   <br>  hrs/day  <br> 19 $4.5^{*}$ $\frac{\text { total m-hrs/day }}{}$ <br>  $4.5^{*}$ $9 *$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | AX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTI |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.35 \times 10^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{BIt} \\ & 23.4 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | MBPS $1 \mathrm{~b} / 3$ days ee note 1) |  |  |  |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | display - 25\% <br> store - 100\% <br> transmit - 10\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | time code $\pm 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{sec}$ | Ephemers s Mile all axes | ttitude .1 degree |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATTIONS | $\pm 0.5$ Degree <br> . 05 Degree/Second |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: l. Limit digital data rate to I.S.S, to $2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{BPS}$ by data compression by laboratory equipment.


Table A-15. Materials Science (MS. 1-I)

## Experiments Supported

```
MS. l.l.1 Composite Materials
MS. 1.1.2 Metal Foams and Controlled-density Materials
MS. 1.2.1 Crystal Growth from Solution
MS. 1.5.1 Fluid Convection
```

Equipment Items
ID Numbers
M002 Environmental Chamber ${ }^{1} A$ ', Passive Cooling
M007 General Purpose Lab Installation
M008 Instrumentation and Control Center
M009 Atmosphere Supply and Control System
MO10 Power Conditioning and Distribution System
MOLI Resistance Heated Furnace $\left(1600{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ )
MO16 Mold Insertion System
MO22 Dispersion Control System
MO23 Susceptor for Silicate Melts
MO25 Sted Injector
M032 Molds, Cavities, Crucibles (Sets)
M033 Miscellaneous Internal Attachments
M036 Chill System M049 Isotope Tracer Counter
M037 Motion Picture Camera MO49 Isotope Tracer Counter
MO38 TV Camera
MO39 Remote Measuring Mass D:mension
MO40 Mixing Unit L/S, L/L.
M041 Mixing Unit $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{G}$
M043 Vibrator
MO44 Microscope Stage Attachment
M045 Photometric Densitometer
MO48 Model Zone Refiner
MO50 VHF Power Unit
MO51 External Molds and Containers

MO50 VHF Power Unit
MO51 External Molds and Containers
M052 Minor External Components
M053 Process Control Computer
M054 Heat Rejection System
M055 Cleanup and Refurbishment Equip
M056 Materials Analysis Equipment
MO57 Photographic Processing Lab
M058 Open Materials and Fluid Storage M060 Accident Control System

OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: 11 (minimum) to 30
Orbit Parameters: Any altitude, any inclination
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Large diameter duct to hard vacuum from equipment item M009, heat rejected by equipment items M053 thru M058 and M060 to be absorbed by shuttle thermal control equipment, acceleration to be limited to $0 \pm 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}$ for periods up to 8 hours and $0 \pm 10^{-4} \mathrm{~g}$ for periods up to 2 hours.

Total Weight (Including Consumables):

| Equipment | 4165 Lbs. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Consumables | 62 Lbs. |

Table A-15A. Materials Science (MS. l-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 7 Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | SKILL CODE HRS/DAY TOTAL M/HRS <br> 12 4 12 <br> 23 4  <br> 24 4  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{24 \text { HR QUANTI }}{4.32 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{bit}} \\ & 1.02 \times 10^{10} \\ & 6.6 \mathrm{lbs}-\mathrm{sa} \end{aligned}$ |   <br> data $10^{4}$ <br> s-TV $10^{7}$ <br> pes/film 6.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX SUST RATE } \\ & \hline \text { BPS-Data } \\ & \text { BPS-TV } \\ & \text { lbs/day } \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR QUANTI |  | MAX SUST RATE |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | ```Digital data - display 25%, store 100% TV & volce - direct 20%, store/replay 100% Film - store 100% Samples - store 100%``` |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Time code <br> Acceleration level |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | $\pm 10^{-4} \mathrm{~g}-2 \text { hours }$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^8]Table A-16. Transmitter Breakdown Test (C/N. 1-I)
Experiroents Supported
C/N 1.7 Transmitter Breakdown ..... Tests
Equipment Items
ID Numbers
COO1 Voice Communication System to Ground
$\mathrm{COO3}$ DC Ammeter
COO5 AC Voltmeter
C006 Nultimeter $20 \mathrm{~Hz}-700 \mathrm{MHz}$
C007 Power Meter
$\mathrm{COO8}$ Oscilloscope $50 \mathrm{MHz} 0.1 \mu \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{cm}$
$\mathrm{COO9}$ Wideband Spectrum $10 \mathrm{MHz}-40 \mathrm{GHz}$ Analyzer
COIl VSWR Meter
CO13 Function Generator
C016 RF - Transmitter Common Blocks
CO17 Modulator
C030 Ensemble of Dipole Array and Antennas
C034 Analog Recorder (10 Channel)
C037 35 mm Camera
$\mathrm{C038} 70 \mathrm{~mm}$ Camera
C049 Modulator Peculiar Blocks
C054 IM Antenna (Parabola)
C063 Instrument Probes - Optical
C064 Instrument Probes-Plasma
C065 Instrument Probes - Pressure
C066 Instrument Probes-Temperature
C067 Mass Spectrometer
CO71 Transmitter and Modulator
CO73 Microwave Receiver and Processor
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... One
Orbit Parameters: $28^{\circ}$ inclination or more Five separate altitudes with two repetitions at each altitude:

| 80 | n mi |
| ---: | :--- |
| 160 | nmi |
| 240 | n mi |
| 320 | nmi |
| 400 | mmi |

Recormended Mode: Pallet Mounted Equipment
Special Requirements;
Measurements in all flight regimes, possibly including boost
Total Weight: 270 Lbs.
Table A-16A. Communications/Navigation (C/N.l-I). Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 7 Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 42 watt hrs 60 watts |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | skill code MH/D <br> $10 \ldots \ldots$ Total <br> $17 \ldots \ldots$ $3 \mathrm{MH} / \mathrm{D}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { HR QUANTI } \\ & \hline \text { Digital } 3.6 \\ & \text { Film - Frar } \end{aligned}$ | $\int_{\mathrm{s}} 0^{6} \text { bits }$ | AX SUST RATE <br> gital 30 KBS | 24 HR QUANTITY MAX SUST RATE |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DATA } \\ & \text { DISPOSITION } \end{aligned}$ | Store - 100\% Digital <br> Film Store -- 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Time, Ephemeris and Altitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | No Requirement |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-17. Optical Frequency and Millimeter Wave Communication and Propagation Demonstration (C/N. 1-II)

## Experiments Supported

C/N 1.l Optical Frequency Demonstration
C/N 1.2 Nillimeter Wave Communication System and Propagation Demonstration

## Equipment Items

## ID Numbers

| COOL | Voice Communication System to Ground |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C002 | Telemetry System to Ground |  |
| C003 | DC Digital Voltmeter |  |
| COO4 | DC Anmeter |  |
| C005 | AO Voltmeter |  |
| C006 | Multimeter $20 \mathrm{Hz-700} \mathrm{MHz}$ |  |
| C007 | Power Meter |  |
| C008 | Oscilloscope $50 \mathrm{MHz} 0.1 \mathrm{\mu s} / \mathrm{cm}$ |  |
| C009 | Wideband Spectrum 10 MHz - 40 GHz Analyzer |  |
| COIO | $\mu$ Wave and mm Wave Noise Cenerators |  |
| COII | VSWR Meter | C030 Ensemble of Dipole Array and Antennas |
| COI2 | Frequency Counters | C031 Boresight Telescope |
| CO13 | Function Generator | C032 Ephemeris Data Presentation |
| COI4 | Calibrated Waveguide | C033 C/NRF Integrated Altitude Control |
| C015 | RF-Receiver Common Blocks | C034 Analog Recorder (10 Channel) |
| C016 | RF - Transmitter Common Blocks | C035 Narrow Band Recorder |
| C017 | - Modulator | C037 35 mm Camera |
| C018 | Demodulator | C038 70 mm Camera |
| C019 | Data Processor (conmon blocks) | C041 Optical Transmitter |
| C020 | Clock | C042 Optical Auxillary Acquisition Transmet |
| C021 | Multiplexer/Demultiplexer | C046 Optical Receiver |
| C022 | A-D/D-A Converter | CO47 Optical Auxillary Acquisition Receiver |
| C023 | Encoder/Decoder | C049 Modulator Peculiar Blocks |
| C025 | Bit Error Counter | C051 Communication to Deep Space Probe |
| C028 | Antenna Tracking System | C053 Subsatellite |
| C029 | Antenna Position Readout | C054 In Antenna (Parabola) |
|  |  | C055 Antenna (3) |
| OPERATIONAL | CONCEPT | C060 Laser Tracking Systems |

Duration: 30 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: Four - 30 day missions (performed seasonally)

Orbit Parameters: Polar orbit desired (greater than 28 degrees acceptable)

Altitude: 100-300 NM (acceptable)
Recommended Mode: Pallet mounted instrumentation
Special Requirements: Special precautions required for protection from laser transmission beam, if EVA is involved.

Total Weight: 690 Lbs.


[^9]Table A-18. Search, Navigation and RF Propagation (C/N. 1-III)

## Experiments Supported

C/N 1.3 Surveillance and Search and Rescue Systems Demonstration
C/N 1.4 Satellite Navigation Techniques for Terrestrial Uses
C/N 1.5 On-board Laser Ranging
C/N 1.6 Autonomous Navigation Systems for Space
C/N 1.10 Susceptibility of Terrestrial Systems to Satellite Radiated Energy
C/N 1.12 Plasma Propagation Measurements

## Experiment Items

## ID Numbers

COO1 Voice Communication System to Ground
C002 Telemetry System to Ground
C003 DC Ammeter
$\mathrm{COO5}$ AC Voltmeter
COO6 Multimeter $20 \mathrm{~Hz}-700 \mathrm{MHz}$
C007 Power Meter
$\mathrm{COO8}$ Oscilloscope $50 \mathrm{MHz} 0.145 / \mathrm{cm}$
$\mathrm{COO9}$. Wideband Spectrum $10 \mathrm{MHz}-40 \mathrm{cHz}$ Analyzer
CO 10 . H Wave and mm Wave Noise Generators
CO11 VSWR Meter
C012 - Frequency Counters
CO13 Function Generator
$\mathrm{CO1} 4$ Calibrated Waveguide
CO15 RF-Receiver Common Blocks
C016 RF - Transmitter Common Blocks
C017 Modulator
CO18 Demodulator
CO 19 Data Processor (common blocks)
CO2O Clock
C021 Miltiplexer/Demultiplexer
$C 022$ A-D/D-A Converter
C023 Encoder/Decoder
0024 General Purpose Computer
0025 Bit Error Counter
$C 026$ AMD Space Erectable Antenna
C027 Changeable Feeds, Transmission Line 3 for WB
C028 Antenna Tracking System
C029 Antenna Position Readout
$C 030$ Ensemble of Dipole Array and Antennas
C031 Boresight Telescope
0032 Ephemeris Data Presentation
CO 33 C /NRF Integrated Altitude Control
$C 034$ Analog Recorder (10 Channel)
CO 35 Narrow Band Recorder
C036 Wideband Recorder
c037 35 mm Camera
C038 70 mm Camera
C041 Optical Transmitter
$\mathrm{CO42}$ Optical Auxillary Acquisition
c046 Optical Receiver
C047 Optical Awcillary Acquisition Receiver

Table A-18. Search, Navigation and RF Propagation (C/N. 1-III) (Cont)

Experiment Items
ID Numbers

| C049 | Modulator Peculiar Blocks |
| :--- | :--- |
| C050 | IR Horizon Scanner |
| C051 | Communication to Deep Space Probe |
| C052 | Transponder |
| C053 | Subsatellite |
| C054 | Im Antenna (Parabola) |
| C055 | Antenna (3) |
| C056 | Power Output Scales |
| C057 | Receiving Transponder Electronics |
| C058 | Clock and Code Cenerator |
| C059 | Antenna (2/5) |
| C060 | Laser Tracking Systems |
| C061 | Electromagnetic Sensors |
| C075 | Expandable Antennas 3n |
| C076 | Expandable Antennas 5m |
| C077 | Modulation Envelope Generator |
| C078 | Antenna - VHF |
| C079 | SHF - Polarized Horn |

## OPERATIONAL CONCEPT

Duration: 30 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: One mission for 30 days
Orbit Parameters:

| Altitude:loo- 300 NM (acceptable) <br> Inclination - Polar orbit preferred <br> (any inclination $>28^{\circ}$ acceptable) |
| :--- |
| Recommended Mode: Pallet mounted instrumentation |

Special Requirements: | Several large antennas require deployment, may |
| :--- |
| cause docking interference with shuttle if not |
| folded prior to docking. |

Total Weight: 911 Lbs.
Table A-18A. Communications Navigation (CN. l-III) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30-Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWFR | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 912 W Hr | 310 Watts | 350 Watts |  |  |  |
| CREW <br> SUPPORT | Skil1 Code MH/D   <br> 10 8 Total: $18 \mathrm{MH} / \mathrm{D}$ <br> 12 2   <br> 14 2   <br> 17 6   |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | AX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTI |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | Digital $-126 \times 10^{7}$ Bits <br> Film -60 Frames  <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>   <br>  Analog -200 KBS |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA DISPOSITION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Time, Ephemeris and Altitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | Pointing Accuracy - 0.01 deg <br> Rate Limits - $0.1 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}$ <br> (Sensor Requirements) |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: Guidance/Control worst case requirement is for 1 hour. Otherwise 10 minutes/operating orbit.

Table A-19. Contamination Technology (FPE Tl-I)

## Experiments Supported

T.1.1 Sky Background Brightness Measurements
T.1. 2 Real Time Contamination Measurements
T.1. 4 Contaminant Cloud Composition Measurement
T.1. 5 Contaminant Disposal Measurements

## Experiment Items

ID Numbers
TO1l Photoelectric Polarimeter
TOO2 Control Panel \# l
T003 Contaminant Gage ( $16 \mathrm{req} \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{d}}$ )
TOO4 Control Panel \# 2
T005 Transit Case (4 req'd)
T006 Portable Spectroreflectometer
T009 Mass Spectrometer ( 2 req'd)
TOIO Operating Panel \#4 (2 req'd)
TO11 Camera (2 reqid)
T012 Film Magazine ( 2 req'd)
TO13 Operating Panel \# 5 (2 req'd)
TO14 TV Camera

## OPERATIONAL CONCEPT

## Duration: 7 Days

Recomnended Number of Missions: One Shuttle, without payload
Orbit Parameters: Any altitude, any inclination
Recommended Mode: Housed in module, manned (see below) with modified experiment plans, this payload can be flown "housed in module - no man entry" or "pallet mounted - no man"

Special Requirements: Airlocks and deployment booms required for recomended mode, deployment booms only required for alternate modes

Total Weight:

| Experiment Equipment | 293 Lbs. |
| :--- | ---: |
| Deployment Devices | 390 Lbs. |
| Airlock | 700 Lbs. |
| Expendables | 33 Lbs. |

1416 Lbs.
Table A-19A. Contamination Technology (T. 1-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 7-Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 2040 W Hr 360 Watts 400 Watts |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Code MH/D  <br>    <br> 6 3  <br> 12 12 Total: $9 \mathrm{MH} / \mathrm{D}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTITY |  | MAX SUST RATE |
| DATA OUTPUT | $2.35 \times 10^{9}$ Bits 40.8 KBPS <br> 10 MHz (TV) $\times 2 \mathrm{Hr}$ 10 MHz |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | Digital Data - Display 25\%, Store 100\% <br> TV - Display \& Store 100\% <br> Film - Store 100\% <br> Samples.-Store 100\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Sensor Orientation <br> s/C Orientation <br> Time Code <br> Operational Data (S/C) |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | $\frac{+0.05 \text { degree }}{0.05 \mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{sec}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^10]
# Table A-20. Contamination Technolog) (FPE Tl-II) 

## Experiments Supported

T.1.1 Contamination Measurements
T. 1.2 Real Time Contamination Measurements
T.1. 4 Contaminant Cloud Composition Measurements
T.1. 5 Contaminant Dispersal Measurements

## Equipment Items

TOO1 Photoelectric Polarimeter
TOO2 Control Panel \# I
T003 Contaminant Gage ( 16 req'd)
TOO4 Control Panel \# 2
T005 Transit Case ( 4 req'd)
T006 Portable Spectroreflectometer
T009 Mass Spectrometer ( 2 req'd)
T010 Operating Panei* 4 ( 2 req'd)
T011 Camera ( 2 req'd)
T012 Film Magazine (2 req'd)
T013 Operating Panel 5 ( 2 req'd)
TO14 TV Camera

## OPERATIONAL CONCEPT

## Duration: 30 Days

Recommended Number of Missions: One Shuttle, without payload One for each venting/emitting payload

Orbit Parameters: Any altitude, any inclination
Becommended Mode: Housed in module, manned (see below)
With modified experiment plans, this payload can be flown "housed in module - no man entry" or "pallet mounted - no man"

Special Requirements: Airlocks and deployment booms required for recommended mode, deployment booms only required for alternate modes

## Total Weight:

| Experiment Equipment | 293 Lbs. |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Deployment Devices | 390 Lbs. |  |
| Airlock | 700 Lbs. |  |
| Expendables | 33 Lbs. |  |
|  | 1416 Lbs. |  |

Table A-20A. Contamination Technology (T. l-II) Subsystem Support


[^11]Table A-21. Fluid Management (T2-I)

## Experiments Supported

> T.2.1 Liquid/Vapor Interface Stability
T.2.4 Condensing Heat Transfer
T.2.6 Propellant Transfer in Space
T.2.10 Channel Flow Systems
T.2.11 Conical Flow Systems

Equipment Items
ID Numbers
TO22 Fluid
TO23 Tanks
T024 Structure
TO25 Instrumentation
T039 Conditioning Pack
T040 Support Equipment
T041 Fluids
T042 Cameras
T043 Heat Sink
TO4 4 Power Supply
T045 Instrumentation
T046 Controls
T047 Miscellaneous
TO50 Tanks and Structure
TO51 LH2
TO52 GHe
T053 Fill and Vent System
T054 Instrumentation
T055 Insulation
T056 Test Equipment
T057 TV
T058 Pressurization System
T079 Test Section
T080 Support
T081 Instrumentation
T082 Test Section
T083 Support
T084 Instrumentation

## QPERATIONAL CONCEPT

Duration: 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: Two
Orbit Parameters:

> Altitude: Above 270 nm to facilitate controlled low g intervals at $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-5} \mathrm{~g}$.

Inclination: Any
Recommended Mode: Module, man entry
Special Requirements: Controlled low g intervals, varying up to 12 hours at $10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}$. Total Weight: 3856 Lbs.
Table A-21A. Fluid Management (T. 2-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 7-Day $\quad \because$ |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 13.0 KWH | 1.3 KW | 4.0 KW |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Code Hr/Day  <br> 9 10 Total: 351 Hr <br> 12 40 Note (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | $X$ SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | Digital: $3.6 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{Bi}$ |  | 80 Bits/Sec 8 MHz (TV) |  |  |  |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | Record Digital (100\%) Film - Return to Earth Display TV (100\%) |  |  | - |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Controlled "G" levels versus time |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | Provide sustained low "G" intervals, $10-3$ to $10-5 \mathrm{G}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^12] with 200 manhours or greater.

Table A-22. Fluid Management (T2-II)

## Experiments Süpported

T.2.2 Boiling Heat Transfer
T.2.3 Capillary Studies
T.2.5 Two-phase Flow Regimes
T. 2.9 Two-phase Dynamics

## Equipment Items

T026 Tanks
T027 Structure
T028 Propellant
T029 Transducer System
T030 Vent System
T031 Pressurization System
TO32 Instrumentation
T033 Chambers
T034 Tanks
T035 Methanol
T036 Ethanol
T037 Pentane
T038 Support
T048 Structure
T049 Fluid
T076 Test Section
T077 Support
T078 Instrumentation

## OPERATIONAL CONCEPT

## Duration: 7 Days

## Recommended Number of Missions: Two

## Orbit_Parameters:

Altitude: Above 270 nm to facilitate controlled low g intervals at $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-5} \mathrm{~g}$.

Inclination: Any
Recommended Mode: Module, man entry
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Special Requirements: Controlled low } g \text { intervals, varying up to } \\ & 10.4 \mathrm{hrs} \text {. at } 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \text { and } 70 \text { hours at } 10^{-5} \mathrm{~g} \text { levels }\end{array}$
Total Weight: 3505 Lbs.
Table A-22A. Fluid Management (T.2-II) Subsystem Support


Table A-23. Fluid Management (T2-III)

```
Experiment Supported
    T.2.8 Slush Propellant Behavior
Equigment Items:
    T068 Tank and Insulation
    T069 Heaters
    T070 Structures
    T071 Pressurization System
    T072 Test Equipment
    T073 Slush Propellant System
    T074 Fill and Vent
    T075 Instrumentation
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
    Duration: 30 Days
    Recommended Number of Missions: Two
    Orbit Parameters:
        Altitude: Above 270 nm to facilitate controlled low g
        intervals at }1\mp@subsup{0}{}{-5}\mathrm{ to }1\mp@subsup{0}{}{-3}\textrm{g}\mathrm{ 。
        Inclination: Any
    Recommended Mode: Module, man entry
    Special Requirements: Controlled lowg intervals incfuding
        3.6 \textrm{hrs}\mathrm{ at 10-3,}42 \textrm{hrs}\mathrm{ at }1\mp@subsup{0}{}{-4}\textrm{g}\mathrm{ , and}
        l52 hrs at 10-5}g
        Total Weight: 1450 Lbs.
```

Table A-23A. Fluid Management (T.2-III) Subsystem Support


# Table A-24. Extravehicular Activity (T3-I) 

Experiments SupportedT. 3.1 Astronaut Maneuvering Unit
Equipment Items
T085 Astronaut Maneuvering Unit
T086 CCTV and Video Recorder
T087 Motion Picture Camera
T088 TLM Receiver and Data Displays
T089 Voice Communication Link and Recorder
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Puration: 7 Days
Recompanded Number of Missions: ..... Two
Qrbit Parameters:
Altitude: $<300 \mathrm{~nm}$
Inclination: $<60$ degrees
Recommended Mode: Module with manned entry
Special Requirements: Experiment requires 3 crewmen simultaneouslyduring experiment operation including twoin space suits. Airlock.
Total Weight: 265 Lbs.
Table A-24A. Extravehicular Activity, Astronaut Maneuvering Unit (T. 3-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 7-Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electrical POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 1.6 KWH $330 \mathrm{~W} \quad 370 \mathrm{~W}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Code MH/D   <br> 12 8   <br> 12 8 Total: $24 \mathrm{MH} / \mathrm{D}$ <br> 12 8   |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTI |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | Digital: $\quad 500 \mathrm{Bits} / \mathrm{sec}$$\quad 1.44 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{Bits} \quad$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | Digital: Record (100\%) Film: Return to Earth Display TV |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Voice Communicate to EVA |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | Limit Shuttle maneuvers during EVA |  |  |  |  |  |

# Table A-25. Advanced Spacecraft Systems Test (T4-I) 

## Experiments Supported:

T.4.2 Maintainable Flight ElectT.4.5 Leak Detection and RepairT.4.7 Ball Bearing LubricationT.4.9 Space Calibration of Solar Cell StandardsT.4.12 Fire Sensing and Suppression
Equipment Items
ID Numbers
T100 Maintainable Electronics Package
T105 Absorption Refrigeration Cycle System
T106 Radiator
T107 Leak Detector
T108 Support Equipment
Tllo Motor Mounting System
T111 Control Panel
T116 Solar Cell Package
T125 IR Scanner Fire System
T126 Fire Detection System
T127 Cine Camera (2 Req'd)
T128 Fire Extinguisher System (8 Req'd)
T129 Consumables
T130 Combustibles
T131 Propane
T132 ..... Film
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... Four
Orbit Parameters:
Altitude: ..... None
Inclination: None
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: ..... Airlock
Total Weight: 540 lbs.
Table A-25A. Advanced Spacecraft Systems Tests (T.4-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 7-Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | 89.9 KWH | 3.8 KW | 3.8 KW |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Code MH/D   <br> 9 2   <br> 10 5 Total: $14 \mathrm{MH} / \mathrm{D}$ <br> 11 7 7  <br> 12 10   |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | AX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Digital: } \\ & 2.1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{Bit} \end{aligned}$ |  | $.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{BPS}$ |  |  |  |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | Record Digital (100\%) <br> Film - Return to Earth |  |  | - . |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Solar Vector versus Shuttle Attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | Plat form pointing to $\pm 0.1$ degree |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-26. Medical Research (LSI-I)
Experiments Supported
LS.1.1.2 Neurological Function
LS.1.2.1 Cardiovascular Function
Equipment Items
ID Number
L002 ECG/VCG (leads and preamp)
LOO3 EEG (leads and preamp)
L008 Leg Plethysmographs
L015 Lower body Negative Pressure Device (LBNP)
L019 Blood Pressure Assembly
L020 Stowage Container
LO21 Ear Canal Temperature Probe
L051 Metabolic Cage - Rat
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 30 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 8
Orbit Parameters: ..... None
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Subject requires isolation and the LBNP (LO15)requires vacuum control and overhead clearance to allow one man tostand in it.
Total Weight:

| Equipment | 380 Lbs, |
| :--- | ---: |
| Consumables | 20 Lbs. |
|  | 400 Lbs. |


NOTE: (i) Subject refers to any skill.

Table A-27. Plant Growth Transients (LS3-II)
Experiments Supported
LS.3.2.2 Graviception and Tropism
Equipment Items:
ID Numbers
L041 Microscope
Ll51 Control/Display and Data Management
L060 Roll Film Camera
L094 Plant Lighting System
L096 Clock and Timer
L097 Rack and Cabinet System
L098 Growth and Support Containers
L099 Miscellaneous Tools and Hardware
Plant Holding Unit
Ll01 Rack and Manifold System
L109 Clinostats
L111 Gas Analysis Equipment
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 7 Days
Recommended Numer of Missions: ..... 5
Orbit Parameters: ..... None
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Vibration, isolation and accommodation of angularmomentum due to the centrifuge
Total Weight:
Equipment
Centrifuge

Consumables $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
110 Lbs. <br>

$\quad$

500 Lbs. <br>
<br>
<br>
\end{tabular}

Table A-27A. Cells and Tissues (LS. 3-II) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE | 7-Day |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | $1.0 \mathrm{KWH} / \mathrm{D}^{(1)}$ | 0.120 KW | -- |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Code <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MH } / \mathrm{D} \\ & 5^{(2)} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | $8.6 \times 10^{6}$ |  | 100 bps |  |  |  |
| data <br> DISPOSITION | Display - 25\%; Store 75\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | None |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | $\begin{aligned} & 10^{-3} g-90 \% \\ & 10^{-2} g-10 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A-28. Cells and Tissues (LS4-II)

## Experiments Supported

LS.4.2.1 Effect of the Space Environment in Genetic, Sub-cellular and Molecular Phenomena
LS.4.3.2 Role of Gravity in Interspecies Relationships
Equipment Items
L041 Microscope
L060 Roll Film Camera
$L 105$ Voice Recorder
1112 Standard Holding Units
L113 Holding Unit Lighting
L114 Rack and Cabinet System (Lab)
Ll15 Rack and Cabinet System (Cen
Lll6 Miscellaneous Hardware (Lab)
L117 Miscellaneous Hardware (Centrifuge)
L125 Experiment Package
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 7 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 5
Orbit Parameters: ..... None
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Centrifuge (10 ft. diameter)
Total Weight:
Experiment Centrifuge ..... 300 Lbs.
Consumables
500 Lbs.
800 Lbs.
Table A-28A. Cells and Tissues (LS. 4-II) Subsystem Support


Table A-29. Biosciences (LS4-5-I)
Experiments Supported
LS. 4.1.4 Role of Gravity in Life Processes of Microscopic Organisms
LS. 5.2.2 Effect of Space Environment on Invertebrate Behavior
Equipment Items
ID Number
Ll12 Standard Holding Units
Lll3 Holding Unit Lighting
L114 Rack and Cabinet System (Lab)
Lll5 Rack and Cabinet System
L116 Miscellaneous Hardware
L117 Miscellaneous Hardware
L135 Experiment Management and Display Equipment
OPERATIONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 30 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 5
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements:
Total Weight:
Equipment 344 Lbs. Centrifuge 500 Lbs. Consumables 10 Lbs.843 Lbs.
Table A-29A. Bioscience (LS. 4, 5-I) Subsystem Support


# Table A-30. Life Support (LS6-I) 

## Experiments Supported

LS 6.1 Water Recovery Methods and Components
LS 6.4 Zero-gravity Whole-body Shower
LS 6.9 Advanced Trace-contaminant Control and Monitoring Subsystem

Equipment Items

## ID Number

LO50 Movie Camera
LO60 Roll Film Camera
Ll05 Voice Recorder
L149 Life Support Subsystem Test Unit
L150 Water Recovery Subsystem
L151 Data Management and Display
L152 Biochemical and Microbial Analysis Equipment
L158 "O" -g Whole Body Shower
L163 Advanced Trace Contaminant Control/Monitor
OPERATTONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 30 Days
Recommended Number of Missions: 10
Orbit Parameters: None
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Shower use will require humidity control
Total Weight:
Equipment
1051 Lbs. Consumables

$$
\overline{1051 \text { Lbs. }}
$$

Table A-30A. Life Support (LS. 6-I) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30-Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | $0.60 \mathrm{KWH} / \mathrm{D}$ | 0.200 KW | 0.3 KW |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Code MH/D Skill Code MH/D <br> Subject $0.5(1)$ 12 3.0 <br> 2 1.5 22 0.5 <br> 11 2.4   |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTI |  | IAX SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | $5 \times 10^{5}$ |  | 11.0 bps |  |  |  |
| dATA <br> DISPOSITION | Display - $25 \%$ S Store - 75\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | Atmospheric composition |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND | None |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |

NOTE: (1) For one thirty-day period an additional 1.6 manhours per day are required.

# Table A-31. Man-Systems Integration (LS7-II) 

Experiments Supported
LS.7.2.1 Performance Capability Assessment
Equipment Items
ID Number
Ll05 Voice Recorder
L171 Video Camera (Color)
L172 Viden Tape Recorder
L177 Portable Metabolic Analyser
L179 Portable Accelerometer
L180 Event Timer
181 Selected Restraints and Locomotion Aids
OPERATTONAL CONCEPT
Duration: 30 days
Recommended Number of Missions: ..... 3
Orbit Parameters: ..... None
Recommended Mode: Housed in module - manned
Special Requirements: Shuttle maneuvers should not be performed during the cargo handling
Total Weight:
Equipnent: ..... 223 Lbs.
Table A-31A. Man-Systems (LS. 7-II) Subsystem Support

| PARAMETER | SORTIE MODE: 30-Day |  |  | SORTIE MODE: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELECTRICAL POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER | 24 HR ENERGY | MAX SUST PWR | PEAK POWER |
|  | $0.35 \mathrm{KWH} / \mathrm{D}$ | -- | 0.220 Watt |  |  |  |
| CREW SUPPORT | Skill Code MH/D  <br> Subject 7.0 Two times <br> 21 7.0 per mission |  |  | * |  |  |
| DATA OUTPUT | 24 HR QUANTIT |  | X SUST RATE | 24 HR QUANT |  | MAX SUST RATE |
|  | $5.4 \times 10^{5}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \mathrm{bps} \\ & \mathrm{~J}=4 \mathrm{MHz} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| DATA <br> DISPOSITION | Display - 25\%; Store - 75\% TV - Display All |  |  | $\cdots$ - - |  |  |
| DATA INPUT | None |  |  |  |  |  |
| GUIDANCE AND CONTROL/ OPERATIONS | No maneuvers during handling. |  |  | , |  |  |

Table A-32. Experiment Package Envelopes


Table A-32. Experiment Package Envelopes (Cont)

| Experiment <br> Package | Envelopes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pressurized Volume (Dimensions in Feet) | Unpressurized Volume <br> (Dimensions in Feet) |
| LS. 1-I | $\begin{aligned} & 2.00 \times 4 \\ & 2 \times 2 \times 1.25 \text { Store } \\ & 4 \times 5 \times 1.5 \text { Console } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| LS. 3-I | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \times 1.5 \times 1.5 \\ & 3 \times 1.5 \times 1.5 \text { Store } \\ & 10 \times \text { Dia. } \times 4 \text { Depth } \\ & \text { Centrifuge } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| LS. 4-II | $3 \times 4 \times 1.5$ <br> $8 \times 3 \times 1.5$ Store <br> 10 Dia $\times 4$ Depth, Centrifuge |  |
| LS. 4,5-I | $3 \times 4 \times 1.5$ <br> $8 \times 3 \times 1.5$ Store <br> 10 Dia $x 4$ Depth Centrifuge |  |
| LS. 6-I | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \times 5 \times 2.5 \\ & 4 \times 5 \times 1.5 \\ & 3 \times 2 \times 2 \\ & 6 \times 5 \times 1.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| LS. 7-I | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \times 2 \times 2 \\ & \text { (Recorder) } \end{aligned}$ |  |

## APPENDIX B. INDUCED ENVIRONMENT

The environment induced on the payload while in the payload bay during transportation to and from orbit is described in the following paragraphs.

## PURGE AND VENT

The cargo bay is purged with dry gaseous nitrogen before liftoff. The nitrogen dew point is -65 F ; temperature, $75 \pm 5 \mathrm{~F}$; pressure is $15.2 \pm 0.5$ psia.

The cargo bay is vented during launch and entry, and will be unpressurized during the orbital phase. The pressure differential between the cargo bay and the external environment will be exceeded 2 psi.

## FLIGHT LOADS

Orbiter flight load factors are presented in Table B-1. These load factors are quasi-steady state and are equal to the total externally applied load divided by the total vehicle weight; factors carry the signs of the externally applied loads.

Table B-1. Orbiter Limit Load Factors

| Condition | LOAD FACTOR (g) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | Y | Z |
| Liftoff | 1.6 | $\pm 0.5$ | -0.5 |
| High Q boost | 1.9 | $\pm 0.35$ | +0.5 |
| Booster end burn | 3.0 | $\pm 0.1$ | -0.7 |
| Orbiter end burn | 3.0 | $\pm 0.1$ | -0.5 |
| Entry | $\pm 0.25$ | $\pm 0.5$ | -2.5 |
| Flyback | $\pm 0.25$ | $\pm 0.5$ | +1.0 |
| Landing and braking | +0.8 | $\pm 0.5$ | -2.5 |

The load factors were computed using rigid body analysis methods. Estimated dynamic magnification factors used to account for elastic body effects are summarized in Table B-2.

Table B-2. Dynamic Magnification Factors

| Condition* | Magnification Factor |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$ |
| High Q boost | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Booster end burn | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Orbiter end burn | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Landing | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| * For other conditions listed in Table B-1, the dynamic |  |  |
| magnification factors equal 1. |  |  |

## TEMPERATURE

The internal wall temperatures for the cargo bay are presented in Table B-3.

## ACOUSTICS

The noise level in the cargo bay is 153 db . The associated acoustic spectrum is presented in Figure B-l.

VIBRATION

The vibration environment in the cargo bay is 18 rms for vibration aeroacoustically induced by the booster main engines, and 22 rms for vibration mechanically transmitted from the orbiter main engines (where the mechanically induced vibration applies from fuselage station 1890 aft). No mass loading effects are included. The associated vibration spectra are presented in Figure B-2.

## SHOCK

Only the booster-orbiter stage separation will be initiated by pyrotechnic devices. Severe high-frequency transients are likely in the region of these devices. For normal staging, transient acceleration change during separation are 1.8 g's axially and 0.4 g 's normally. The associated time histories are presented in Figure B-3.
Table B-3. Temperature Limits for Internal Walls of Cargo Bay

| Payload External Surface Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ | Prelaunch* |  | Launch |  | On-Orbit (Doors Closed) |  | On-Orbit (Doors Open) |  | Entry |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max | Min | Max |
| Cargo Bay Doors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 80 | 120 | 80 | 150 | -100 | 150 | N/A** | N/A** | -100 | 250 |
| 70 | 50 | 120 | 50 | 150 | -100 | 150 | N/A | N/A | -100 | 250 |
| 0 | -20 | 120 | -20 | 150 | -100 | 150 | N/A | N/A | -100 | 250 |
| -300 | -100 | 120 | -100 | 150 | -150 | 150 | N/A | N/A | -150 | 250 |
| -420 | -100 | 120 | -100 | 150 | -150 | 150 | N/A | N/A | -150 | 250 |
| Other Cargo Bay Areas (sides, bottom, ends) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 80 | 120 | 80 | 130 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 200 |
| 70 | 50 | 120 | 50 | 130 | -25 | 130 | -25 | 130 | -25 | 180 |
| 0 | -20 | 120 | -20 | 130 | -75 | 130 | -75 | 130 | -75 | 160 |
| -300 | -290 | 120 | -290 | 130 | -300 | 130 | -300 | 130 | -300 | 150 |
| -420 | -290 | 120 | -290 | 130 | -420 | 130 | -420 | 130 | -420 | 150 |
| *Cargo bay is purged with dry $\mathrm{GN}_{2}$ for ground thermal conditioning. For bare $\mathrm{LH}_{2}$ tanks, special provisions (e.g., He purging) will be required to prevent liquid air formation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The exposed surfaces of the payload will be sub;eted to the deep space environment which includes a black body radiation sink at 4 K and direct sun radiation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Figure B-1. Cargo Bay Acoustic Spectrum


Figure B-2. Cargo Bay Vibration Spectra


Figure B-3. Orbiter Acceleration Time History for Booster-Orbiter Separation

Landing shock is 1.5 g 's in the minus Z direction. The landing shock criterion is presented in Table B-4.

Table B-4. Landing Shock

| Acceleration <br> (g peak) | Duration <br> (n sec) | Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.23 | 170 | 0.18 |
| 0.28 | 280 | 0.29 |
| 0.35 | 330 | 0.26 |
| 0.43 | 360 | 0.15 |
| 0.56 | 350 | 0.08 |
| 0.72 | 320 | 0.03 |
| 1.50 | 260 | 0.01 |

OUTGASSING AND EFFLUENTS

Effluent rates from the orbiter vehicle are listed in Table B-5.
Table B-5. Maximum Effluent Rates

| Component | Source | Rate | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Water | Fuel cells | $190 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{day}$ | All-up avionics; can hold for 24 hours |
|  | ECLSS boiler | $220 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{day}$ | Radiators looking at sun |
|  | Cabin leakage | $0.2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
|  | ACPS firings | $280 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ deadband |
| Hydrogen | Auxiliary propulsion Heat exchanger | $0.4 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Prevents boiloff |
|  | Main propulsion venting | 10. $\mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{min}$ | 360 lb . total residuals |
|  | ACPS firings | $50 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ deadband |
| Oxygen | Main propulsion venting | $6 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{min}$ | 1800 lb . total residuals |
|  | Cabin leakage | $2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| Nitrogen | Cabin leakage | $7 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| Carbon dioxide | Cabin leakage | $0.07 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{day}$ |  |
| Urine | Waste management | $3 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{man}$-day | Can hold for 24 hours |
| Fecal vapors | Waste management | $0.25 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{man}$-day | Can hold for 24 hours |

## PART II. REDUCED PAYLOADS SIZE IMPACT STUDY

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This section of DRL 68, Volume VII, summarizes the effects on the modular space station (MSS) of reducing the shuttle payload bay size. The Reduced Payload Size Impact Study was performed as a contract change, authorization (CCA6) under the Phase B Extension, Contract NAS 9-9953.

The study objective was to identify the modular space station effects resulting from a reduction in the diameter and length of individual modules. The effects of a reduction in diameter and length of individual modules are summarized at the conclusion of this section. Impacts are defined for 12- by 40 -foot, 14- by 40 -foot, and 12- by 58 -foot modules and associated shuttle payload bay sizes. The configuration utilized for comparison and identification of impacts was the preliminary design, Phase B 14 -foot module configuration (denoted as the reference concept). The benefits and penalties for design, subsystems, and ground and on-orbit operations are identified.

Guidelines and constraints and ground rules for conducting the study were specified as follows:

1. Impacts will be defined for 12- by 40 -foot modules, 14 - by 40 -foot modules, and 12-by 58-foot modules
2. Space station and modules will satisfy Phase B Programs Definition Study Modular Space Station Guidelines and Constraints document, MSC-03696, Rev. 7, dated 30 July 1971, except Guideline 1. 112A. Guideline and Constraint l. l12A specifies the MSS Phase B module envelope size and the shuttle cargo bay size. For the three modules size studies, the corresponding shuttle bay sizes are defined.

| Concept | Module | Shuttle Bay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $12 \times 40 \mathrm{ft}(\max )$ | $12 \times 40 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| 2 | $14 \times 40 \mathrm{ft}(\max )$ | $15 \times 40 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| 3 | $12 \times 58 \mathrm{ft}(\max )$ | $12 \times 60 \mathrm{ft}$ |

3. Only effects on station modules and special modules will be identified for initial and growth stations
4. Subsystem selection is the same as the current Phase B study
5. Station accommodation and size requirements are the same as the current Phase B study
6. Comparison will be made with Phase B preliminary design configuration and characteristics
7. The 60-foot shuttle manipulator will be used unchanged
8. The Lockheed solar array concept will be utilized
9. The defined configurations will be compatible with berthing and adaptable to docking

The internal accommodations for crew habitability, station operation, and all general purpose laboratory facilities were ground ruled to be the same as the Phase B station for all reduced payload size options. Thus, modular stations assembled from all of the options will have essentially similar experiment capability except for configuration impacts.

The reduced payload size impact study flow is illustrated on Figure 1. This figure denotes the maximum allowable module lengths for the various study configurations. The final lengths will be established so as to be consistent with the maximum launch weight ( 20,000 pounds) and functional accommodation considerations. The main study effort was concentrated in the concept of the 12 - by 40 -foot module configuration (Concept l) based on crew, station operations and experiments accommodations requirements, and subsystems selection used for the $14-$ by 58 -foot Phase B modules (Reference Concept). Comparison 1 was an indepth comparison of the 12-by 40 -foot concept configuration with the 14 -foot Phase B preliminary design configuration. Comparison 2 and 3 for the 14 - by 40 -foot modules (Concept 2 ) and 12- by 58-foot modules (Concept 3) respectively, were accomplished on an abbreviated basis utilizing the depth of the configuration study of the 12- by 40-foot module to provide the final diameter and length effects.

Figure 2 presents a comparison between the Concept 1 growth configuration and the 14 -foot Phase B growth configuration. The Concept 1 configuration is comprised of six station modules and two 40 -foot core modules for the initial station and is comprised of eight station modules and two 40 -foot core modules for the growth station. The Concept 1 initial station exceeds the length of the 14 -foot Phase B initial station by 40 percent and the growth station by 22 percent with appropriate increase in moments of inertia and control penalties.


Figure 1. Reduced Payload Size Impact Study


Figure 2. Reduced Payload Size Concept Dimensions

The reduction in module diameter and the increased configuration length contribute to a general overall reduction in structural stiffness and dynamic characteristics.

Figure 3 provides a comparison of the 14 -foot Phase $B$ and the reduced diameter Concept 1 and Concept 3 configurations. The Concept 2 reduced length configuration is not shown since it is identical to the 14 -foot Phase B configuration.

The 14 -foot Phase $B$ initial space station configuration is characterized by a balanced split of station modules between Volume $1\left(V_{1}\right)$ and Volume 2 $\left(V_{2}\right)$ with a minimum of growth scars. The Concept l space station configuration requires two additional station modules to provide the equivalent accommodations of the 14 -foot Phase B. These additional station modules result in a 2 by 4 split between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. An additional core is required by the initial station resulting in growth scars of additional berthing ports and associated increase in complexity The Concept 3 space station configuration requires five station modules of less than 49-feet in length. This configuration also dictates an uneven 2 by 3 split between $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$. The growth scar of this configuration is further increased over the initial station, since the side berthing ports cannot be totally utilized.


Figure 3. Configuration Comparison

The reduced diameter power module can be packaged within the 12 - by 40 -foot envelope at a launch weight below 20,000 pcunds. The growth 10,000-foot array conversion is accomplished by replacing the array and power boom and retaining the gas storage section on orbit.

A payload size effects matrix comparison of the 14 -foot Phase $B$ initial space station and the Concept 1 and Concept 3 space stations are displayed on Figure 4.

The 14-foot Phase B space station has been optimized for initial station where the Concept 1 and Concept 3 space stations tend to be optimized to the growth station. This is driven by the increased number of station modules requiring an additional core for the initial station.

The reduction in diameter results in marginal stiffness characteristics of the power module. To accommodate equipment installation, an expanded diameter gas storage section has been added to the power module. For growth, the gas storage section is retained and only the power boom is replaced. The emergency egress feature is retained through the power boom.

There is a general increase in interface complexity due to the increased number of modules, the increased configuration overall length and additional core module, and the reduction in available volume and area in the vicinity of the berthing ports.

The Concept 1 and Concept 3 configuration core modules have a marked increase in complexity traceable to: the recessed ports and the reduced interface volume at the berthing ports for distribution of basic subsystem service and utilities from one module to another. The Concept 1 and Concept 3 station modules are of single level internal arrangement driven by the reduction in diameter. The single level arrangement generally expands the traffic patterns, compromises the location of particular accommodations, and contributes to a substantial increase in machinery noise in the station living and operating areas.

In addition, the Concept 3 station modules must be located in the XZ plane to meet dual egress requirements. This results in an unbalance which must be countered with the cargo module placement causing an operation penalty or an RCS consumables penalty. The Concept 3 station modules can be as long as 49 feet before they exceed the 20,000 -pound launch weight limit. Because of this increased length, the modules must be spaced to 5-1/2 feet to be adaptable to a direct docking mode.

|  | POWER MODULE | CORE MODULE | STATION MODULE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 FT PHASE B (REFERENCE) | - structural simplicity <br> - GAS-storage IN POWER BOOM | - SINGLE MODULE (INIT\|AL) <br> - WEIGHT SENSITIVE | - MINIMUM NO OF MODULES |
| 12 FT X 40 FT (CONCEPT 1) <br> $12 \mathrm{FT} \times 58 \mathrm{FT}$ (CONCEPT 3) | - MARGINAL STIFFNESS \& INTERNAL ACCESS <br> - expanded diameter FOR GAS STORAGE | - VOLUME SENSITIVE <br> - STRUCTURAL COMPLEXITY (PARTS RECESSED) <br> - INTERFACE COMPLEXITY <br> - increase no berthing PORTS | - MEET MINIMUM REQMTS <br> - higher noise in living AREAS <br> - ACCOMODATION LOCATIONS COMPROMISED $\qquad$ <br> - XZ Plane unbalance <br> - INCREASED MODULE SEPARATION <br> - 9PDS 110298 |
|  | CONFIGURATION | SUBSYSTEMS | OPERATIONS |
| 14 FT. PHASE B (REFERENCE) | - OPTIMIZED FOR INITIAL | BASELINE | - END BERTH MANIPULATION |
| $12 \mathrm{FT} \times 40 \mathrm{FT}$ (CONCEPT 1) $12 \times 58$ <br> (CONCEPT 3) | - marginal stiffness CHARACTERISTICS <br> - ON ORBIT WEIGHT INCREASE $=28,000 \mathrm{LB}$ <br> - INCREASED INTERFACE COMPLEXITY <br> - potential single CORE WEIGHT LIMITED AT APPROX. 49 FT | - POWER +475 W <br> - WEIGHT +830 (EPS) +4600 (ECLSS) <br> - INCREASED CMG SIZE <br> - beTtER SUBSYSTEM ACCESSIBILITY <br> (NOT ANALYZED) | - +3 FLIGHTS TO IOC <br> - +2 FLIGHTS FOR ADAPTER AIRLOCK \& ANTENNAS <br> - SIDE BERTH MANIPULATION <br> - space for shuttle tariff $\qquad$ <br> (NOT ANALYZED) |

Figure 4. Reduced Payload Size Effects

The Concept l configuration requires a subsystem electrical power increase of 475 watts and a weight increase of 5400 pounds for the electrical power subsystem (EPS) and the environmental control and life support subsystem(ECLSS). The CMG size is increased, accountable to the general increase in configuration size. The increase in CMG size tends to drive CMG technology beyond that required for the 14 -foot Phase B.

Five additional shuttle flights are required to build up the initial Concept l space station: (1) three additional flights to IOC and (2) two flights for shuttle adapter experiment airlocks and antenna packages. Because of the increased configuration length, side berthing of the shuttle is required for manipulation. With the 40 -foot shuttle bay, space for shuttle tariff is impacted and would be required packaged within MSS modules or internal to the shuttle orbiter.

Figures 5 and 6 summarize cost assumptions and delta cost effects, respectively, for the Concept 1 initial space station program. The cost changes attributable to a 12 -foot diameter station versus a 14 -foot Phase $B$ were analyzed at the subsystem (WBS Level 5) level, using 14 -foot Phase B cost estimating relationships (CER's). The factors considered were changes in applicable know-how, complexity, weight, subsystem flight hardware entities, and additional major test hardware. The know-how ratio is based on rankings adapted from AFSCM 173-1. In the ECLSS and RCS, this ratio represents the judgment that the additional equipment is either a minor modification or requires additional integration effort. The ratio greater than one for $G \& C$ indicates that less is known regarding design problems for the 12 -foot-diameter configuration. Complexities are assumed equal to the 14-foot Phase B station except for the core structures, which is considered more complex because of the recessed docking ports and the additional difficulty of accommodating utilities distribution and equipment installation. The deltas to the ECLSS were considered less complex than the overall ECLSS because the additions consisted mainly of tanks and radiators. The $D \& D$ and flight hardware costs derived by procedures described above amount to slightly over $\$ 70$ million for the initial MSS. The estimate of major test hardware (MTH) increased in cost, about $\$ 40$ million, are even more approximate. MTH estimates are based on a rough estimate of increased test hardware weight at an overall flight hardware cost per pound. Estimates have been included for integration costs attendant to the increased interface complexity expected by the addition of more modules, and for project support and floating items. Based on initial cost estimates for the baseline 14 -foot Phase B configuration, the 12 -foot Concept 1 station would cost approximately 12.5 percent more than the 14 -foot Phase.B configuration.

|  | KNOW-HOW RATIO <br> 12' DVS 14' DOB | COMPLEXITY <br> \% OF 14' DIA. © B | dELTA WT ONLY | ADDITIONAL ITENS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STRUCTURES \& MECHANISMS (S\&M) | 1 | $115 \%$ (RECES SED DOCKS) ON CORES, $100 \%$ SM's |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CORE }-2, \\ & \text { SM-5, SM-6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| ECLSS | 1503 | 60\% (TANKS, RADIATORS) |  |  |
| EPS | 1 | 100\% | $\checkmark$ |  |
| CREW HABITABILITY | 1 | SEE S\&M \& ECLSS | $\sqrt{ }, 85 \%$ TO 580 N $15 \%$ TO ECLSS |  |
| G\&C | 3.25 T0 3.00 | 100\% |  |  |
| RCS | 1 TO 4 | 100\% |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ISS (SOFTWARE } \\ & \text { DELETED) } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 100\% | $\checkmark$ |  |

NOTE: MA JOR TEST HARDWARE (NITH) INCLUDES 1.5 EQUIVALENT SETS OF CORE $2 \&$ SM-5

* SOFTWARE ASSUMED IDENTICAL FOR BOTH CONFIGURATIONS
$\checkmark$ COST INCREASE BASED ON WEIGHT INCREASE ONLY

Figure 5. Summary of Cost Assumptions


Figure 6. Summary of Cost Changes - Initial Station

The study results conclude that modular space stations configured with 12 -foot diameter and reduced length modules do meet requirements. Problems unique to these configurations appear to be solvable. As driven by the diameter reduction and increase in numbers of modules, program costs are increased.

The further increase in complexity is a prime issue and concern that resulted from the study. Because of this general increase in complexity of the MSS concept, it is recommended that the reduced diameter modules be avoided.

## 2. DESIGN INTEGRATION ANALYSIS

The design integration configuration concept development and comparison with the 14 -foot Phase B module configuration was accomplished in three segments. An in-depth development of baseline and concept configurations for the 12 - by 40 -foot modules (Concept l), followed by an abbreviated development and analyses of the 14-by 40 -foot (Concept 2) and 12-by 58-foot (Concept 3) configurations.

The 14-foot Phase B accommodations requirements (Table 1) were allocated with equipment to establish a Concept l baseline configuration. From the baseline configuration, initial mass properties were established providing a basis to evaluate special module (power and core) and station module design requirements. From the baseline configuration a concept configuration was derived. The concept configuration was compared with the 14 -foot Phase $B$ configuration to define the final reduced diameter and length effects. The concept configuration, as compared to the 14 -foot Phase B, is shown on Figure 2.

Structures shall provide within the MSS complex two pressure isolatable volumes with facilities allocated as shown in Table l.

CONCEPT 1 (12- BY 40-FOOT) COMPARISON

## Special Modules

The special modules include the power and core modules. Figure 7 compares the concept configuration for the initial station power module for a 12-foot diameter as compared with the 14 -foot Phase B version. The initial station power module shown will fit into the 12 - by 40 -foot shuttle bay. The solar array is a 7000-square-foot Lockheed array; a gas storage section, enlarged to the full 12 -foot diameter, has been added to store emergency $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ repressurization gases and $30-$ day $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ high pressure gases for fuel cell electrical power during buildup. The remainder ( 60 days) of the buildup $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ high pressure gases for electrical power are located in core module 1.

On-orbit conversion to the growth power configuration is accomplished by replacing all except the enlarged gas storage section with a longer boom turret and array assembly containing the larger 10, 000-square foot solar array. The growth station array and boom are also compatible with the -12-by-40-foot constraint.
Table 1. 14-Foot Phase B Accommodation Requirements

| Functional Area | No. Reqd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MinSq} \\ & \mathrm{Ft} / \mathrm{Fac} \end{aligned}$ | Storage $\mathrm{CuFt} / \mathrm{Fac}$ | Functional Area | No. Reqd |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{MinSq} \\ & \mathrm{Ft} / \mathrm{Fac} \end{aligned}$ | Storage $\mathrm{CuFt} / \mathrm{Fac}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vol 2 | Vol 1 |  |  |  | Vol 2 | Vol 1 |  |  |
| General stateroom | 2 | 2 | 50 | 30 | GPL | x | x | 590 |  |
| Commanders stateroom/ office/backup control | 0 | 1 | 90 | 55 | Prime crew care/ |  |  |  |  |
| Executive stateroom | 1 | 0 | 90 |  | exercise | 1 | 0 | 220 | 140-190 |
| Personal Hygiene With Shower | 0 | 1 | 55 |  | Backup medical care/ |  |  |  |  |
| Without shower | 1 | 0 | 40 | 30 | exercise | 0 | 1 | 60 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Photo laboratory | 0 | 1 | 40 | TBD |
| Primary control center (2) | 1 | 0 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary control center (1) | 0 | 1 | 50 | TBD | Data analysis | 0 | 1 | 95 | TBD |
| Primary galley | 0 | 1 | 85 | TBD | EVA/IVA airlock |  |  |  |  |
| Backup galley | 1 | 0 | 15 | TBD | EVA/IVA airlock | 1 | 1 | 35 | 0 |
| Dining/recreation | 0 | 1. | 160 | TBD | Experiment airlock | 1 | 1 | TBD | 0 |
| NOTES: Where two volumes appear in storage column, the first represents the volume required in the immediate area, the second is the total volume required for the facility on the space station. <br> Convenient access to personal hygiene areas is required. Privacy is a prime consideration with capabilities to accommodate male and female crewmembers (not necessarily at the same time). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 7. Special Module (Power)

The staggered and in-plane berthing port core configurations studied are illustrated on Figure 8.

The selected core module configuration incorporates features from various arrangements. The "in-plane" feature allows all berthing ports in line longitudinally to be equally spaced so that all "flexports" can be identical with no restrictions on habitable module location. The 12 -foot-diameter payload limitation reduces the core cross-section area significantly so that the four in-plane berthing port arrangements cannot be employed with the type of construction used in the 14 -foot Phase B core module because of insufficient utility run area. This area can be increased by recessing the berthing ports in an expanded core cylinder diameter. The resulting twocore module configuration minimizes the overall core length while providing the necessary number of initial and growth berthing ports and the internal volume required. The area for utility runs in the vicinity of the berthing ports is still minimal, however, and dictates the removal and remote storage or return to earth of all berthing port covers not required to be retained in the core.


Figure 8. Special Modules (Core) Berthing Port Configuration


Figure 9. Special Module (Core)
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Figure 9 displays a comparison of the $12 \times 40-$ foot (Concept 1) core configuration with the 14 -foot Phase $B$. The recessed berthing port construction is more complex than that used on the 14 -foot Phase B core modules, but it offers an 11-foot 2 -inch-inside diameter cylinder which is only a 10 -inch diametrical reduction for a 2 -foot reduction in payload diameter. Both Concept 1 core modules are required for the initial station to provide sufficient berthing ports and the two required isolatable pressure volumes. The 12 -foot-diameter station requires two core modules for the initial station; hence, the overall length is 40 feet longer than the 14 -footdiameter Phase B core of the initial station. The two core modules are also required for the growth station which is 20 feet longer than the 14 -footdiameter core of the growth station. The 14 -foot Phase B is optimized for the initial station where Concept $i$ is optimized toward growth. The drivers are the reduction in core module volume and the increased numbers of berthing ports. The growth scar on the Concept 1 initial core module is four berthing ports while on the 14 -foot Phase B, the berthing port scar is zero.

The split between Volume 1 and Volume 2 occurs at the end of core module 2 coincident with the interface between the two core modules. The volume of the airlock is irregularly shaped and smaller than the one in the 14-foot-diameter station. No equipment other than that required for airlock operation is located within it, while guidance and navigation equipment occupies space in the 14 -foot version.

## Station Modules

Station modules house all of the basic station functions for crew habitability, station operations, experiments (GPL), and experiments operations. These functions and accommodation requirements are listed in Table 1, and have been met as indicated in the following paragraphs.

The comparison of station module cross section (Figure 10) shows the deck of the 12 -foot-diameter module located for maximum above-deck volumetric efficiency. The above-deck area provides a more rectangular shape to help compensate for the smaller diameter cylinder. Below-deck equipment location has been reduced to utility runs and minimal storage as a penalty to this above-deck optimization. The deck clears the end berthing port hatch openings so that the cutouts at each end of the 14-foot-diameter module deck are not required on this configuration. The environmental systems equipment, located below deck on the 14 -foot modules, is located above deck on these modules. Noise insulation which may be afforded by the deck thickness and volume isolation on the 14-foot arrangement may have to be provided by added enclosure material in these above-deck locations. Access to below-deck utilities will be through access openings in the deck. Overhead utility access is comparable to the 14 -foot module.

Space Division
North American Rockwell


Figure 10. Station Modules - Cross Section Arrangement
The following paragraphs are a comparative evaluation of each basic accommodation of the Concept 1 initial space station compared with the 14-foot Phase B.

The crew stateroom average head height compares favorably with the 14 -foot arrangement (Figure 11). The split level arrangement of the 14 -foot module provided increased habitable deck area, but somewhat reduced habitable volume per square foot of deck area.

The personal hygiene areas (Figure 12) on the smaller diameter modules exemplify the expected longer and narrower shape when compared to the 14-foot arrangement. An advantage of this shape can be taken into account by partitioning the shower from the rest of the area for added privacy which may be desired in the case of crews comprised of both sexes. The waste management machinery associated with the personnel hygiene area is located across the corridor, mounted on the deck. The plumbing runs are overhead or below deck, and are longer runs than those on the 14-foot module which has this machinery located directly below the hygiene area. The personal hygiene area is located in a separate module from the crew quarters which is considered a distinct disadvantage.

Figure 13 displays a comparison of control centers and galleys. The control center area is located adjacent to the commander's stateroom as on


Figure 11. Station Modules Facilities - Staterooms


FEATURES

- AREA: REQUIRED - $55 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$ WITH SHOWER $40 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$ W/O SHOWER - MEETS REQUIREMENTS
- SHORT PLUMBING RUNS
- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ MGMT EQUIPMENT BELOW DECK


FEATURES

- AREA: REQUIRED - $55 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$ WITH SHOWER $40 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$ WIO SHOWER - MEETS REQUIREMENTS
- SHOWER DRESSING AREA PRIVACY (MIXED CREWS)
- NEAR COMMANDER/EXEC STATEROOM
- Separate module from other crew QUARTERS

Figure 12. Station Modules Facilities - Personal Hygiene


Figure 13. Station Module Facilities
the 14 -foot version. The areas are equal on the two stations. All equipment in the 12 -foot module can be monitored from a central position, and all equipment is located in the immediate control center area. The arrangement in the 14 -foot module is such that the control console is visible from the commander's stateroom side, but access to some control center equipment must be gained from behind the console. Some associated equipment is located below the deck on the 14 -foot module, and wiring runs may be shorter when pieces of inter connected equipment are located directly above and below each other.

Primary galley arrangements are similar with equipment accessible from the corridor and intersecting aisles. The food freezer in the 14 -foot Phase B module is located below the deck while the 12-foot module food freezer is located in the immediate area of the galley.

Figure 14 shows a comparison of the dining/recreation areas and the primary crew care/exercise area. The dining area in the 12 -foot module is generally longer and narrower than the area in the 14 -foot module. Permanent dining accommodations for eight people are provided. The nearby hobby areas may be utilized for additional seating and table space if required for growth or crew overlap periods. The 14 -foot arrangement utilizes tables which expand into the corridor area for these purposes.

DINING/RECREATION


Figure 14. Station Module Facilities - Dining and Recreation
The primary crew care and exercise area must have the capability of biological isolation of a patient. The layout in both modules provides this capability, although it can be accomplished in the 14 -foot module arrangement with less interaction with other module functions. The supplies and pharmaceuticals are kept in the area surrounding the examination and treatment table in the 12 -foot module, but only minimal storage of these items is provided for in the area immediate to the table in the larger module.

Module arrangement comparison of backup medical care/exercise and data analysis centers is shown on Figure 15. The backup medical care and exercise area is normally open for isotonic exercise with the examination and treatment table folded out of the way. For use, the table is extended and an isolation curtain can be moved into place. As shown, this curtain would block one access route to the module in the 12 -foot layout.

The data analysis center in both modules is located adjacent to the control center. The 12 -foot-diameter area is more nearly square in this instance, but both have a rectangular arrangement with all equipment located in the immediate area.

Internal station experiment accommodations are provided in the form of the general purpose laboratory area (Figure 16) and two experiment airlocks (Figure 17).


Figure 15. Station Module Facilities - Backup Medical Data Analysis

The general purpose laboratory (GPL) area in both stations is divided into two areas occupying the ends of two modules. An experiment airlock is adjacent to each area on the end of the modules. The division of the areas is more nearly equal in this arrangement. Experiment storage area is provided immediately below the GPL area in both modules of the 14 -foot arrangement.

The greater volume of the 12 -foot module should provide some storage space above deck. Some below deck storage can also be provided in this version. Two experiment airlock modules are provided, one nadir-oriented, and one zenith oriented as on the 14 -foot station. For both stations, they are located adjacent to GPL areas as previously discussed. The 80-inch diameter by 150 -inch length requirements can be provided as required.

A slightly larger photo processing area (Figure 16 ) is provided in the 12-foot-diameter module. This facility is located in a GPL module area, and is adjacent to the data analysis laboratory in the 14 -foot module.

The facility comparisons show that equivalent areas are provided on the 12 - by 40 -foot (Concept l) station as were provided on the 14 -foot Phase $B$ station. The reduced diameter modules of approximately the same length


Figure 16. Station Module Facilities - General Purpose Area

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { - REQUIREMENTS: } & 80 \text { IN. DIA X } 150 \text { IN. LONG } \\
& 2 \text { REQD - ONE EARTH ORIENTED } \\
& \& \text { ONE ZENITH ORIENTED }
\end{array}
$$



Figure 17. Experiment Airlocks
as the larger 14 -foot Phase $B$ modules require two additional modules to house the same facility. A station module summary is shown on Figure 18. The Concept 1 modules of approximately the same length as the larger diameter modules require two additional modules to house the same facilities. The 12-foot initial station volumes are divided such that two station modules are in one volume and four modules are in the other. The two-module volume houses one-half of all required crew accommodations, while the four modules in the other volume house the other half of these accommodations and those remaining that do not require division between the isolatable volumes. For growth, a module containing three crew staterooms, a personal hygiene facility, and environmental control equipment for six men is added to each volume. No added core module facilities are required for growth since they were contained in the initial core configuration. The integrated internal arrangements of the six Concept 1 initial station modules are shown in Figure 19.

CONCEPT 2 (14- BY 40-FOOT) COMPARISON
The station configuration associated with the Concept 2 module (Figure 20) was determined to be identical to the 14 -foot Phase B configuration. However, if the shuttle cargo bay is limited to a 40 -foot length, a number of elements attached to the modules will exceed this length. These elements (1) two antenna modules, (2) two experiment airlocks, and (3) a shuttle-station adapter, require additional shuttle launches, and must be assembled to the station on-orbit.

The reduced cargo bay length raises a major concern for locating the shuttle tariff consumables and station buildup support equipment. These items are needed to extend the shuttle operation on-orbit in the "powered up" phase, and module leakage makeup, etc. Special provisions for installing this equipment temporarily in the module being delivered will be required. Means to remove and return this used equipment must be devised. The net effect of reducing the length of the 15 - by 60 -foot shuttle cargo bay is an increase in the number of shuttle launches required during initial station buildup.

CONCEPT 3 (12- BY 58-FOOT) COMPARISON
Internal arrangements of the maximum length modules were made to determine the number of modules required to accommodate the required station functions listed in Table 1. The four 12-by 58-foot modules (Figure 20) required had a total deck area, $2250 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, equal to the total deck area of the six 12 - by 40 -foot modules in the concept configuration. These arrangements reveal that the shape factor of the deck does not materially change the arrangement efficiency. A mass property analysis revealed the four module arrangements at the full 58 -foot module length resulted in exceeding the 20,000 -pound module lanuch weight.


Figure 18. Station Modules Summary


Figure 19. Station Modules (Concepts 1 and 3)


IMPACT
SHUTTLE PAYLOAD CAPACITY ONE STATION MODULE OR CORE MOCULE NO. I


REQUIRING SEPARATE LAUNCHES:

| - ANTENNA MODULES | DO |
| :--- | :--- |
| - EXPERIMENT AIRLOCKS |  |
| - SHUTTLE INTERFACE | $\square$ |
| REQUIREMENTS | $\square$ |

ADDITIONAL DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS:

- SHUTTLE TARIFF CONSUMABLES
- STATION BUILDUP SUPPORT

Figure 20. Reduced Payload Bay Length Impact - Concept 2 (14-by 40-Foot Modules)
A weight analysis was made utilizing parametric data (Figure 21). This analysis indicated a 12 -foot-diameter module not exceeding 49 feet would meet the launch weight requirements. This analysis assumed the same approximate loading density of the 12 - by 40 -foot modules ( $2 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ). Five of the 49-foot modules approximate the equivalent deck area as the six 40 -foot modules and the four 58 -foot modules.

Figure 22 illustrates the increased length advantages of the 60 -foot shuttle cargo bay. The 60 -foot-long, 12 -foot-diameter shuttle bay used in conjunction with 40-foot-long modules, permits piggy-back and concurrent launches of station accessory packages and modules. A reduction in the number of shuttle launches results, with related accrued benefits of shortened IOC time and reduced buildup consumable requirements.

The benefits derived with 49-foot modules lessen as indicated on Figure 22. The shuttle adapter and tariff items could be launched with a module, but an airlock module or antenna package could not.

Optimizing a Concept 3 space station for a five-module-configuration (i.e., the area just equals that of six 49 -foot modules) results in modules 46. 5 feet long. With these modules in the 60 -foot bay, any accessory package, except the airlock module, will fit in the cargo bay with a station module.


Figure 21. Weight Analysis


Figure 22. Shuttle Bay Length Increase

Because of the increased module length of 46.5 feet over the concept configuration of a 40 -foot module, module spacing requirements were examined for the Concept 3 configuration to facilitate berthing or docking. Figure 23 shows the parametric relationship between module length and module spacing as influenced by station stability and shuttle stability, and position accuracy. The plotted data is for the docking mode which requires the greatest space. Accumulation of shuttle attitude stability and position accuracy is plotted in the lower curve for increasing module lengths. A 30 percent margin of uncertainty is added to this plot to determine the actual module spacing. The spacing for 46.5 - or 49 -foot modules is $5-1 / 2$ feet or an increase of $1 / 2$ foot over the 14 -foot Phase B station.

## CONFIGURATION COMPARISON

Figure 24 compares the final configurations for the Concept 1 and Concept 3 space stations with the 14 -foot Phase B. The 12 -foot diameter station configuration results in an increased number of modules, and therefore berthing interfaces, since the diameter reduction cannot be compensated by increasing the module length without exceeding module weight requirements. Increasing the length to width ratio of the special and station modules has resulted in a general reduction in structural modal frequencies toward unacceptable values. The 12 -foot-diameter station generally meets requirements but not with the degree of efficiency accomplished by the 14-foot-diameter station.

Table 2 compares the mass properties of the three configurations. Individual modules of the 12 -foot-diameter stations are lighter in each case except for the power module, which contains added gas storage provisions.

The attached RAM accommodations for all versions are identical in number, but vary in location and orientation (Figure 25). The 14-foot Phase B station has RAM's located away from the solar arrays; they are oriented in the $Y$ - Y directions initially and cannot be nadir or zenithoriented until the growth core module is assembled. The 12 -foot-diameter station, conversely, has RAM's located next to the solar arrays initially, but they are nadir and zenith-oriented. Detached RAM accommodations are comparable. It is concluded that experiment provisions of the 12 -foot and the 14 -foot Phase B stations are generally equivalent.


Figure 23. Module Spacing
14 FT PHASE B
(REFERENCE)

Figure 24. Configurations

Table 2. Mass Properties (Initial Station)

|  | $\begin{gathered} 14 \mathrm{FT} \text { PHASE B } \\ \text { (LB) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (CONCEPT }) \\ & 12 \mathrm{FT} \& 40 \mathrm{FT}(\mathrm{~KB}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (CONCEPT 31) } \\ & 12 \mathrm{FT} X 49 \mathrm{FT}(\mathrm{LB}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORE MODULE 1 | 21,640 | 19,850 | 20,000 |
| CORE MODULE 2 | - | 15,300 | 15,300 |
| POWER MODULE | 13,980 | 14.750 | 14,750 |
| Station module | (4) 82,010 | (6) 95,640 | (5) 93.140 |



Figure 25. RAM Accommodations

## 3. SUBSYSTEMS ANALYSIS

The subsystems baseline for the reduced payloads size impact study are those subsystem selections resulting from the Modular Space Station Phase B Preliminary Design. A summary of the MSS Phase B preliminary design subsystem selection and basic characteristics is provided for each subsystem, followed by the Concept 1 , reduced module size impacts. Subsystem impacts for Concept 3 were not analyzed.

## ELECTRICAL POWER SUBSYSTEM

The electrical power subsystem (EPS) provides for the functions of: (1) primary power generation, (2) secondary power generation, (3) energy storage, (4) power conditioning, (5) distribution control and wiring, and (6) lighting (Figure 26).

The EPS MSS Phase B preliminary design subsystem selection and basic characteristics are shown on Figure 27.

The primary power generation assembly is a $7000 \mathrm{feet}^{2}$ solar array using the Lockheed technology concept. The only change incorporated was power switching on the solar array.

Energy storage is accomplished by four regenerative fuel cell assemblies (one per primary bus). The fuel cells also serve the function of secondary (emergency) power generation when supplied by high-pressure stored gases.

The primary buses have been selected as $240 / 416$ vac, $400 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3$-phase power and the secondary buses at both the high $240 / 416$ vac and the low $120 / 208 \mathrm{vac}, 400 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3-\mathrm{ph}$ ase power. The selection again was made on cost and availability considerations. The hardware for switching large blocks of power is available only for ac power. The fact that commercial and military aircraft are tending toward all ac systems, utilizing computer-controlled solid state circuit breakers, was a main consideration in the selection. This minimized the cost/development risks to the program for inverters, regulators, transformers/filters solid state circuit breakers, or switching devices and software.

```
SCOPE
- ELECTRICAL POWER GENERATION
PRIMARY POWER GENERATION NORMAL OPERATIONS
SECONDARY POWER GENERATION BUILDUP & EMERGENCY
- POWER TRANSFER & CONDITIONING
- POWER DISTRIBUTION
- ENERGY STORAGE FOR ORBITAL DARK POWER
- SPACECRAFT WIRING
-GENERAL LIGHTING
```



Figure 26. Electrical Power Subsystem (EPS)

- PRIMARY POWER GENERATION $7000 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$ SOLAR ARRAY LOCKHEED TECHNOLOGY
- ENERGY STORAGE regenerative fuel cells FUEL CELL (SHUTTLE) RATED POWER $=7.0$ KWIFC (4 REQD) ELECTROLYSIS (ECLSS)

REACTANT RATE $=3$ LB/HR (4 REQD)
SPEC. PWR CONSUMPT. $=2.32 \mathrm{KWH} / L B \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ aCCUMULATORS
$\mathrm{H}_{2}=33 \mathrm{~N}$. DIAM. (4 REQD)
$\mathrm{O}_{2}=27 \mathrm{IN}$. DIAM. (4 REQD)

- SECONDARY POWER GENERATION ENERGY STORAGE FUEL CELLS HIGH PRESSURE STORAGE
- POWER CONDITIONING \& DISTRIBUTION

PRIMARY BUSSES $240 / 416 \mathrm{VAC}, 400 \mathrm{~Hz}$ SECONDARY BUSSES $240 / 416 \mathrm{VAC}, 400 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $120 / 208$ VAC, 400 Hz 56 VDC
 AND POWER TRANSFER)

Figure 27. EPS Concept Selection - 14-Foot Phase B

The largest impact imposed on the EPS by reduction in shuttle payload size to 12 by 40 feet is the packaging of the 10,000 : eet ${ }^{2}$ solar array (growth station). Conferences with Lockheed indicated that the power boom diameter and turret would have to be reduced. This results in reduced turret clearance for maintenance, and for utilization of the outboard end of the turret as an emergency exit. With the reduction in boom diameter, the docking port between the solar array turret and the power boom must be deleted. This means that the solar array replacement requires power boom replacement. Subsystem power requirements are increased by 473 watts average power. This is broken down by subsystem deltas accordingly, ECLSS + 94 watts, ISS +55 watts, EPS (lighting) +304 watts, and RCS +20 watts.

The additional core module and increased number of station modules dictate additional lighting and secondary power buses, and the relocation of primary power buses and energy storage equipment.

Figure 28 shows the packaging concept for the initial and growth station solar arrays. The power boom internal diameter is 58 inches which results in a turret clearance of 36 inches. This was considered to be sufficient for utilization of the turret end of the solar array for an emergency exit hatch. Clearance for maintenance will be more restricted. The change to incorporate power switching on the solar array will provide a zero power condition at the power transfer slip rings which reduces potential hazards in the turret area. The overall solar array/power boom length for the initial station is approximately 32 feet ( $7000 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \mathrm{SA}$ ) and for the growth station is about 38 feet. Both concepts are within the 40 -foot payload allowance.

The initial station EPS delta weight is estimated at 824 pounds. This represents extra primary buses, feeders and lighting in core module 2 , and secondary buses and lighting in two additional station modules.

CONCEPTS 1 \& 3 POWER MODULE CHARACTERISTICS


- GROWTH STATION $10,000 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$ SOLAR ARRAY - 38.3 ft OVERALL IENGTH delta equipment WIRING 200 LB
LIGHTING FIXTURES 313
SECONDARY BUSSES (4) 160
SOLAR ARRAY ( $150 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$ ) 96
MOUNTS/SUPPORTS $\frac{55}{824 \mathrm{LB}}$
Figure 28. EPS Delta Characteristics


## ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL AND LIFE SUPPORI SUBSYSTEM

The environmental control and life support subsystem (ECLSS) provides for the functions of: (1) gaseous storage, (2) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management, (3) atmos pheric control, (4) thermal control, (5) water management, (6) waste management, (7) hygiene, and (8) special life support. In addition, the electrolysis units of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management assembly are used to supply the RCS propellants (Figure 29).

The ECLSS MSS Phase B preliminary design subsystem selection uses a closed water and oxygen recovery concept with cargo module storage of consumables ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ management assembly uses an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ depolarizer for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ removal, a Sabatier for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reduction, and solid polymer $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ electrolysis for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ recovery. Water control utilizes a central humidity condenser for humidity control, a catalytic burner and nonregenerative charcoal for contamination control with monitoring by gas chromatograph and mass spectrometer. An active dual coolant loop central thermal control concept is used with 180-degree segmented, body-mounted radiators. Water reclamation utilizes the vapor compression technology with thermal and silver ion purity control.
SCOPE

- gaseous storage
- HABITABLE ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
- OXYGEN \& WATER RECOVERY
- THERMAL CONTROL OF CREW, EQUIPMENT, \& STRUCTURE
- UTILITY PROVISIONS FOR

CREW: WATER, WASTE, HYGIENE
SUBSYSTEM: THERMAL CONTROL, RCS PROPELLANTS
EXPERIMENT: THERMAL CONTROL, WATER RECOVERY

- SPECIAL LIFE SUPPORT

FIRE DETECTION \& CONTROL
IVA/EVA SERVICING


Figure 29. Environmental Control and Life Suppōt Sūbsystem-(ECLSS)

The waste management assembly uses the "dry John" concept with vacuum drying. Trash and waste processing are conducted during the 10 -hour crew night to satisfy the 12 -hour no vent experimental requirement. Wallmounted urinals with water flush are provided with the hygiene facilities.

The drivers for the ECLSS are primarily configuration and number of modules. The initial 12-foot-diameter MSS uses two core modules and six station modules. This results in an increase in volume for $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ to approximately 17,680 feet $^{3}$ as a repressurization volume requirement.

The location of the modules in the configuration results in a change in heat rejection capability. The approximate heat rejection capability for the six station modules is indicated for three conditions: (1) initial station without side-docked (Y-axis) cargo modules, (2) initial station with side-docked cargo modules, and (3) growth station with side-docked station modules (no radiators), RAM's and cargo modules. The heat rejection capability is 60.8 kw ( 30.3 kw required) for the initial station and 56.4 kw ( 44.8 kw required) for the growth station. The growth station assumed no radiators on the side-docked station modules (SM-7 and SM-8). Utilizing radiators on these modules would increase the capability by about 3 kw per module. The increased number of modules for the 12 -foot-diameter MSS has greatly increased the heat rejection capability.

The improved heat rejection capability provides two options: (1) reduce the radiator area until capability equals requirements, or (2) simplify the thermal control assembly by removing the redundant freon loops. With $60-\mathrm{kw}$ heat rejection capability, failures of up to 20 radiator panels or two modules can be sustained before heat rejection degraded operation results. It was concluded to delete the redundant freon coolant loop which simplifies the thermal control assembly and reduces the cost.

The option for radiators on the growth station modules 7 and 8 is left open. Present calculations indicate that radiators on these modules are not required. In addition, the added capability is low ( $\Delta 3 \mathrm{kw} /$ module); however, for reliability purposes and to retain a single freon loop concept, they can be utilized.

Table 3 itemizes the ECLSS subassembly and consumable weight increases.

The additional modules resulted in an increased ECLSS equipment weight of 3803 pounds and increased stored consumables. The leakage requirements for the 14 -foot-diameter MSS of 10 pounds per day initial station, and 15 pounds per day growth station were retained for the 12 -footdiameter MSS, and therefore no increase in leakage consumables is shown.

Table 3. ECLSS Delta Characteristics
GASEOUS STORAGE
. HIGH PRESSURE (3000 PSIA) FOR ECLSS/EPS/RCS

- CARGO MODULE \& POWER MODULE STORAGE
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ MANA GEMENT
- $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ REMOVAL $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ DEPOLARIZER (LiOH 96 HR EMERGENCY)
- $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ REDUCTION SABATIER CH4 DUMP
- $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ RECOVERY SOLID POLYMER H2O ELECTROLYSIS

ATMOS PHERIC CONTROL

- HUMIDITY CONTROL CENTRAL HUMIDITY CONDEN SER
- CONTAMINANT CONTROL NON-REGENERABLE CHARCOAL \& CATALYTIC OXIDIZER
- MONITORING GAS CHROMATOGRAPH, MASS SPECTROMETER

THERMAL CONTROL
. ACTIVE CENTRAL DUAL COOLANT ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ \& FREON 21)
. $180^{\circ}$ SEGMENTED RADIATORS ON STATION MODULES
WATER MANA GEMENT

- WATER RECLAMATION VAPOR COMPRESSION
. PURITY CONTROL 160 F \& SILVER IONS
WASTE MANAGEMENT
- DRY JOHN LOW TEMPERATURE VACUUM DRYING
- TRASH COMPACTORS
. URINALS WALL MOUNTED, WATER FLUSH
HYGIENE
. FULL BODY SHOWER, SINKS, VACUUM CLEANING
SPECIAL LIFE SUPPORT
. FIRE CONTROL CONDENSATE NUCLEI DETECTOR $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ FIRE EXTINGUISHER
- IVA AIR \& WATER PLUMBING
. EVA PLSS SERVICING $\mathrm{HP}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{LiOH}$


## REACTION CONTROL SUBSYSTEM

The reaction control subsystem (RCS) provides thrust for stabilization and berthing, orbit maintenance, control moment gyro (CMG) desaturation, and maneuvers (Figure 30). In addition, under the integrated subsystem concept the RCS is responsible for providing the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ accumulators that will store all the gases provided by the ECLSS electrolysis. This includes the orbital dark period $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for the Sabatier, and the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ for the depolarizer. The propellant ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) storage has been integrated into the ECLSS (cargo module storage) and/or the EPS (on-board storage).

The RCS MSS Phase B preliminary design subsystem selection is summarized in Figure 31.

The RCS $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ propellants are supplied by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ electrolysis of the ECLSS. The accumulators are sized for the 6-orbit firing interval, and utilize four each of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ accumulators to meet the failure criteria. Another success path would utilize the EPS regenerative fuel cell accumulators. The accumulators are designed to operate at 300 psia.

The engine quads utilize 10 -pound engines with an oxydizer/fuel $O / F$ ) ratio of $8: 1$. This is the combination ratio of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, and was selected to minimize venting from the station. Some penalty is Isp was accepted (419 versus 320 ). The engines are inflight maintainable by rotation of the mounting supports into the core module.

The configuration and flight mode are the major drivers on the RCS. Table 4 shows the comparison of impulse requirements for the 12 and 14 -foot-diameter MSS. The 120 -day impulse requirement increased by 84,000 pound-second ( $29 \%$ ) for the 12 -foot-diameter MSS.

Figure 32 summarizes Concept 1 configuration influences on the RCS.
The 12-foot-diameter Concept l MSS utilizes two core modules. Core module 1 will require RCS quads at each end of the module (on the Z -axis) to meet the buildup failure criteria. Core module 2 will require two additional quads at the $-X$ end for the normal station operations. This delta equipment is estimated at 60 pounds.

The increased impulse requirements resulted in an increase in water storage requirements of about 4.25 cubic feet. Since water storage tanks are located in the cargo module, no delta-weight-is accounted to the station.

## SCOPE

PROVIDE THRUST FOR

- STABILIZATION \& CONTROL
- CONTROL OF DOCKING TORQUES
- ORBIT MAINTENANCE
- CMG DESATURATION
- MANEUVERS

PROVIDE STORAGE FOR ECLSS $\mathrm{H}_{2} \& \mathrm{O}_{2}$ FOR SABATIER \& HYDROGEN DEPOLARIZER OPERATION


Figure 30. Reaction Control Subsystem (RCS)

- PROPELLANTS

GASEOUS $\mathrm{H}_{2} \& \mathrm{O}_{2}$ SUPPLIED BY $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ELECTROLYSIS (ECLSS)

- EPS ELIETROLYSIS AS BACKUP SUPPLY AND BUILDUP SUPPLY
$4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \& \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ACCUMULATORS
- SIZED FOR 6 ORBIT FIRING INTERVAL (ORBIT NIAKEUP + CMG DESATURATION)
- PROVIDES ECLS S SABATIER \& H2 DEPOLARIZER ORBITAL NIGHT SUPPLIES

ACCUNULLATORS DESIGNED FOR 300 PSI OPERATION

- ENGINE QUADS

10 LB ENGINES
8.1 OIF RATIO

320 ISP
INFLIGHT NIA INTAINABLE

| NOM | $P_{A}=300$ PSIA |
| :--- | :--- |
| MIN | $P_{A}=50$ PSIA |
| ECLSS: | $02=0.109 \mathrm{LBm}$ |
|  | $H_{2}=0.014 \mathrm{LBm}$ |



Figure 31. RCS Concept Selection (14-Foot Phase B)

Table 4. RCS Sizing Influences (12-Foot Diameter MSS)

- IMPULSE REQUIREMENTS*

|  | 12 FT DIA | 14 FT PHASE B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { ORBIT MAKEUP } \\ \text { CMG DESATURATION }\end{array}\right\}$ | 248,000 |  |
| MANEUVERS | 35,500 | 166,000 |
| SHUTTE DOCKED | 28,000 | 47,750 |
| CONTINGENCY | 62,400 | 47,000 |
| TOTAL I20 DAY | 373,900 | 284,500 LB SEC |

*X-POP, Z-LV, 240 N MILE
Z AXIS QUADS
$2 \sigma$ JACCHIA MEAN ATMOSPHERE

- RELOCATE ACCUMULATORS COMPATIBLE WITH ECLSS
- DELTA EQUIPMENT

2 QUADS (NON-MAINTAINABLE FOR BUILDUP) $\quad 60 \mathrm{LB}$
120 day CONSUMABLE STORAGE (H2O TANK S)
$4.25 \mathrm{FT}^{3}$


Figure 32. RCS Delta Characteristics

## GUIDANCE AND CONTROL SUBSYSTEM

The responsibilities of the guidance and control subsystem (Figure 33) include: determination of the positions of the station and free-flying RAM's, issuance of commands to correct station and RAM positions, and determination and control of station attitude. Included in the G\&C hardware are dedicated remote processors and their related software.

- SCOPE

STATE VECTOR DETERMINATION \& GUIDANCE COMMANDS

- STATION
- FREE FLYING RAMS

STATION CONTROL

- ATITUDE HOLD
- maneuvers
- HARDWARE


Figure 33. Guidance and Control Subsystem (G\&C)

The phase B preliminary design selected concepts for the $G \& C$ subsystem are listed as follows:

- Inertial Reference Assembly

Six gyro skew-symmetric (dodecahedron) strapdowns Preprocessor

- Optical Reference Assembly

Two double-gimbal star trackers
One four-head horizon edge tracker
One manual sextant/telescope
Two three-axis autocollimaton alignment links Preprocessor

- Momentum Exchange Assembly

Three double-gimbal control moment gyros (planar array) Preprocessor

- RCS Electronics Assembly

Sixteen RCS jet driver electronics
Two remote processors
As mentioned previously, the $G \& C$ contains dedicated remote processors to perform the required high-speed computations for such functions as firing commands, star angle, steering computations, etc. The manual sextant/telescope is used to orient and periodically check the reference platform. At other times it is available for use by experiments.

The Concept l station differs from the 14 -foot Phase B station in that it is less symmetrical. The 12 -foot-diameter station has more modules in the vertical of $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Z}$ and less in the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ plane. As a result, the surface area normal to the velocity vector is increased, thus increasing the orbit makeup requirements. The decrease in vehicle symmetry also increases the gravity gradient torques, resulting in doubling the momentum storage requirements. These requirements reflect in larger CMG's for the 12 -foot station relative to the 14 -foot station. The three CMG's required are increased in size as summarized in Table 5.

Table 5. CMG Delta Characteristics

| Characteristics | 14-Ft Phase B Reqmts | Deltas |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Electrical power | 161.3 watts | 8.3 watts avg |
| Weight | 1115 pounds | 236 pounds |
| Wheel diameter | 22.2 inches | 3.7 inches |
| Momentum | $6900 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ | $3600 \mathrm{ft}-\mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{sec}$ |

## INFORMATION SUBSYSTEM

The responsibilities of the information subsystem (ISS) (Figure 34) include: communications between the station modules (internal) and between the station, shuttle, detached RAM's, and the ground (external); and display, control, and computational functions as required to permit the management and maintenance of station operations by the crew.

SCOPE

- PROVIDE COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN MSS TO/FROM SPACE SHUTTLE, DETACHED RAMS, GROUND COMPLEX, EVA
- DETERMINE RANGE \& RANGE RATE OF COOPERATIVE TARGETS
- PROVIDE INTERNAL COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN STATION MODULES
- PROVIDE THE PRIMARY interface between the Crew \& THE SUBSYSTEM/EXPERIMENTS
- AUTOMATIC CONTROL \& CHECKOUT (MANUAL ASSISTED) OF THE SUBSYSTEMS
- ONBOARD MANAGEMENT (SHORT TERM) OF STATION \& EXPERIMENT ACTIVITY


Figure 34. Information Subsystem (ISS)

Since computer software (programming) will strongly interact with the development of ISS hardware, NR has elevated this item to the assembly level.

The ISS MSS Phase B preliminary design subsystem selection is summarized as follows:

- Data Processing Assembly

Central processing (multiprocessors)
Universal distributing and acquisition

- Command/Control Monitoring Assembly

> Universal multiformat-callable operational console Commanders multiformat-callable console
> Portable control and checkout
> Local monitor alarm
> Emergency $G \& C$ control
> External Communications

K-bnad-Narrow beam, steerable
S-band-Semidirectional
VHF-Semidirectional

- Internal Communications

Private phone
Intercomm and paging
TV cameras and monitors (color and black and white)
Recorders (audio, video, digital, alarm)

- Software

Computer programs
Microfilm
Paper (printer and facsimile)
The selected concept for the data processing assembly (DPA) is a central multi-processor connected with the subsystems through a digital data bus and remote acquisition and control units (RACU).

The crew interfaces with the ISS through a series of multipurpose display and control consoles located throughout the station.

Wide-band high-data rate external communication is by way of a K-band directive antenna through the tracking and data relay satellite (TDRS), backed up by an S-band semidirective through MSFN. Voice and low data rate is VHF through the TDRS.

Internal communications is via dial-type private phone and centrally controlled paging.

The 12 -foot space station characteristics that drive the ISS are the increased number of core and station modules. ISS control functions are more spread out and increased components are required. These components
are of the general type which allow the crew to communicate with various areas of the station. The resulting delta characteristics are listed in Table 6.

Table 6. ISS Delta Characteristics

| Subassembly | Additional <br> Quantity | Additional <br> Weight (lb) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Portable control console | 1 | 55 |
| Local monitor alarm | 2 | 6 |
| Microfilm projector | 1 | 35 |
| Audio video units | -1 | -9 |
| Hardwire intercom | 2 | 20 |
| TV camera (color) | 2 | 10 |
| TV monitors | 5 | 125 |
| Total |  | 242 |

# SUBSYSTEM COMPARISON SUMMARY, CONCEPT 1 CONFIGURATION 

The Concept 1 subsystem effects as compared with the 14 -footdiameter Phase B preliminary design are summarized in the following paragraphs:

The total increase in subsystems power requirements is 473 watts. The EPS delta weight is estimated at 824 pounds. The EPS solar array requires repackaging with a reduction in dimensions of the turret assembly. The solar array replacement requires power boom replacement. The reduced power boom diameter imposes a requirement for a special gas storage module to provide fuel cell reactants for buildup and emergency repressurization supply.

The improved heat rejection provides the capability to delete the redundant freon coolant loop with attendant reduction in costs for the thermal control assembly. The ECLSS equipment weight increases are 3800 pounds with an increase in stored consumables.

The RCS requires two additional nonmaintainable quads located on core module 1 for builup with an increase in equipment weight of 60 pounds. The increased impulse requirements increase cargo module water storage requirements.

The information subsystem is affected by the increased number of modules, causing an increase in internal communications components and control functions; an increase in attendant weight is 242 pounds.

The G\&C subsystem is affected principally by increased CMG sizing.

## 4. OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

## MISSION OPERATIONS

In determining the buildup sequence, the decision as to what module goes up first or precedes another depends on the major functions contained within each module. Table 7 summarizes module designation, name, and major functions allocated to each module for the 14 -foot Phase B modular station. This concept requires a total of six modules: one core, one power, and four station modules.

Table 8 summarizes the designation, name, and major functions within each of the nine basic modules of Concept 1 station. The functions play an important role in determining the buildup sequence. The 12 -foot-diameter concept configuration consists of two core, one power boom, and six station modules.

The resultant buildup to IOC is summarized in Figure 35 for the 14 -foot Phase B configuration. The selection of the core module for the first launch was based upon fewer activation requirements, minimum scars due to buildup requirements, less complexity in orbit operations, and less sensitivity to subsystem weight growth than would be realized by the selection of another module.

Selection of the power module for the second launch was based upon limited energy storage in the core module and complexity of cargo resupply However, the arrays remain retracted because active solar array operations require primary control.

Primary control is subsequently provided in the third launch and, when activated, permits the use of the solar arrays, automatic attitude control

Table 7. 14-Foot Module Designations

| MODULE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESIGNATION | NAME | MAJOR FUNCTIONS |
| CM-1 | CORE MODULE | evaliva AIrlock, G\&C, RCS, CMG'S, POWER GENERATION \& CONVERSION |
| PB | POWER BOOM | SOLAR ARRAY, EMERGENCY HATCH |
| SM-1 | CONTROL/CREW | COMMANDER STATEROOM, BACK-UP MEDICAL, CC NO. 1, dATA ANALYSIS, PHOTO LAB, PERSONAL HYGIENE, 2 CREW STATEROOMS, WASTE \& WATER MANAGEMENT EQUIPMENT |
| SM-2 | ECS/LABS | MECH. LAB, OPTICS/ELEC LAB, BIOSCIENCE/EARTH OBSERVATION LAB, NADIR AIRLOCK, AIR REVITALIZATION EQUIPMENT VOL 2 |
| SM-3 | ECS/LABS | GALIEY, ZENITH AIRLOCK, PHYSICS/BIOMEDICAL LAB, DINING \& RECREATION, AIR REVITALIZATION VOL 1 |
| SM-4 | CONTROL/CREW | EXEC. STATEROOM, 2 STATEROOMS, MEDICAL \& CREW CARE, PERSONAL HYGIENE, WASTE \& WATER MANAGEMENT EQUIPMENT, CONTROL CENTER NO. 2 |

Table 8. 12-Foot Module Designations

| MODULE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESIGNATION | NAME | MAAJOR FUNCTION'S |
| CNi-1 | CORE MODULE | CMG'S, RCS, G\&C, POWER GENERATION \& CONVERSION |
| PB | POWER BOOM | SOLAR ARRAY, EMERGENCY HATCH |
| SM ${ }^{\text {-1 }}$ | STATEROON/ CONTROL CENTER | COMMANDER STATEROOM, CC \#l, BACKUP GALLEY, PERSONAL HYGIENE WIO SHOWER, WASTE \& WATER MANAGEMENT, AIR REVITALIZATION VOL. I |
| CM1-2 | CORE MODULE | EVAIIVA AIRLOCK, POWER GENERATION \& CONVERSION, RCS |
| SN-4 | general purpose LAB | GPL, PHOTO PROCESSING, NADIR AIRLOCK |
| SM-2 | STATEROOM/ DINING | PRIMARY GALLEY, DININGIRECREATION', 2 CREW STATEROOMS |
| SNi-6 | STATEROONI MEDICAL EXERCISE | CREW MEDICAL CARE/EXERCISE, 2 CREW STATEROOMS |
| SNi-3 | GENERAL PURPOSE LAB | PER SONAL HYGIENE WITH SHOWER, GPL, ZENITH AIRLOCK, WATER MANAGEMENT |
| SM-5 | STATEROOM/ CONTROL CENTER | BACKUP MEDICAL CARE/EXERCISE, AIR REVITALIZATION VOL. 2, DATA ANALYSIS CENTER, CONTROL CENTER \#2, EXEC'S STATEROOM |



Figure 35. MSS Buildup Sequence-14-Foot Phase B
and orientation, regenerative fuel cell operation, and active (automatic) thermal control. In addition, this module provides living quarters for the crew.

The fourth launch provides ECS/LAB capability, thus completing the basic functions to operate the station. Subsequent launches provide redundant subsystems and complete the general purpose laboratories for the initiation of experiment operations.

The rationale for selecting the sequence of core, power, and control (Figure 36) for the 12-foot-diameter concept configuration MSS is the same as for the 14 -foot-diameter Phase B MSS. An additional launch is required to place a module/shuttle adapter in orbit for the reduced diameter concept, if a 40 -foot-long shuttle cargo bay is utilized. This requirement does not exist if the 60 -foot-long shuttle cargo bay is provided.

A marked difference can be noted with the fifth launch which consists of the second core module housing the EVA/IVA airlock. Only one core was required by the 14 -foot Phase B MSS which provided the $V_{1} / V_{2}$ volume capability at the initial launch.

Subsequent launches, 6 through 10 , provide the remaining subsystems and redundancies for station operations. An eleventh launch is required to


Figure 36. 12-Foot Module Initial MSS Buildup Sequence
deliver the antennas and airlock if the 40 -foot shuttle cargo bay is utilized.
IOC for the 12 -foot-diameter concept configuration MSS occurs on the twelfth launch as compared to the seventh for the 14 -foot-diameter Phase B MSS.

Figure 37 summarizes the 12 -foot concept and 14-foot Phase B accumulative buildup of major functions on-orbit with each flight. As shown, five additional launches are required for the 12 -foot MSS to reach the IOC.

Figure 38 indicates that normal operations for the 14 -foot Phase B MSS in the initial station configuration can be conducted by the shuttle berthed at the - X-axis end docking port with the 60 -foot shuttle-based manipulator.

The 14-foot Phase B growth station (Figure 39) requires shuttle berthing operations at the +Y -axis docking port, located on the cargo module. This position is required for access to modules SM-1 and SM-3. End berthing at the - X -axis port is also required.

Concept 1 MSS (Figure 40) permits shuttle end berthing at the -X-axis port in order to service the modules attached to core module 2. This berthing mode is available to both initial and growth configurations.

| functions | MONTHS/FLIGHTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| core | 00 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADAPTER |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| POWEA |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMMANDERS ROOM |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC NO. 1 |  |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BACKUP GALLEY |  |  |  | 00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HYGIENE-NO SHOWER |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WASTE \& WATER MANAGEMENT |  |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AIR REVITALIZATION. VOL 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eva/iva alRLOCK | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 0 |  | A | 14-F0 | PHAS | STA |  |  |  |  |
| MECH LABORATORY |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPTICS LABORATOAY |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIO/EARTH LABORATORY |  |  |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHOTO PROCESSING |  |  | - |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | $\Delta$ | 12.50 | STA |
| NADIR AIALOCK |  | 0 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary galley |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DINING/RECREATION |  |  |  |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 CREW STATEROOMS |  |  | - |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MEDICAL CARE/EXPERIMENTS |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 CREW STATEROOMS |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HYGIENE WITH SHOWER |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHYSICS/BIOMED LAB |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ZENITH AIRLOCK |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| WASTE\& WATER MANAGEMENT |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| BACKUP MEDICAL CARE/EX |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| AIR REVITALIZATION, VOL 2 |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| DATA ANALYSIS CENTER |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| CCNO. 2 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| EXECUTIVES ROOM |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| ANTENNA POOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| CREW/CAAGO |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| RAM 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| RAM 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  | 0 |

Figure 37. Deltas to Buildup


Figure 38. Shuttle/Station Berthing Mode - Initial Station, 14-Foot Diameter


Figure 39. Shuttle/Station Berthing Mode - Growth Station, 14-Foot Diameter


Figure 40. Shuttle/Station Berthing Mode - Initial Growth Station, 12-Foot Diameter

Space Division
North American Rockwell

Figure 41 shows that in order to service the modules located on core module 1, side berthing is required. Side berthing on the relocated cargo module is required for both the initial and growth station. This is an added complexity of the Concept 1 MSS.
the Concept 1 configuration. The reduced diameter results in a 5 -month delay in IOC and the subsequent experiment program. This delay is a direct consequence of the five additional launches required to assemble the MSS. Three launches are required for each of these additional station modules, and two are required for the station/shuttle adapter, airlocks, and high gain antenna packages. These additional launches are required only if the 40 -foot shuttle cargo bay is utilized. This is not a requirement for the 60 -foot shuttle cargo bay.

The length of the initial station has been increased by the addition of a second 40 -foot core module. This condition creates the requirement for side-berthing the shuttle to the cargo module in order to conduct normal operations with the 60 -foot shuttle-based mani pulator.


Figure 41. Shuttle/Station Berthing Mode - Initial/Growth Station, 12-Foot Diameter

Table 9. Mission Operations Benefits/Penalties

| FACTORS | 14-FOOT PHASE B STATION | CONCEPT I STATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPECIAL LAUNCH FOR ADAPTER | NONE | ONE |
| NO. OF MODULES TO A S SEmble | NINE | 12 + 2 AIRLOCKS +2 ANTENNA |
| SPECIAL LAUNCH FOR AIRLOCK \& ANTENNAS | NONE | ONE |
| MANIPULATOR REACH (REQUIRES SHUTTLE BERTHING TO CARGO MODULE) | GROWTH STATION ONLY | INITIAL AND GROWTH |
| RAM LOCATION OPTION: VERTICAL OR HORIZONTAL | GROWTH STATION ONLY | INITIAL AND GROWTH |
| INITIAL OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY (IOC) | TTH LAUNCH | 12TH LAUNCH |
| FLIGHTS TO FULL-UP INITIAL STATION STATUS (2 RAMIS) | NINE | FOURTEEN |
| BERTHING MODES | INITIAL -- END BERTHING GROWTH -- END \& SIDE BERTH | INITIAL -- END \& SIDE BERTH GROWTH -- SAME |
| LOGISTICS IMPACT | -- | NEGLIGIBLE |
| EXPERIMENT PROGRAM IMIPACT | -- | 5-MONTH DELAY IN IOC |

## GROUND OPERATIONS

Ground operations were assessed to determine the prime effects for the Concept 1 configuration. Ground operations are assessed in four major areas: (1) structural and dynamic testing, (2) compatibility assessment vehicle, (3) mission support vehicles, and (4) flight modules checkout vehicle.

## STRUCTURAL AND DYNAMIC TESTING

The 14 -foot Phase B structural and dynamics test program involves the programming of a station module, a core module, and a power module for station and dynamic testing. This testing program would not be affected by the Concept 1 module configuration. A single power module, core module, and station module are required for both the 14 -foot Phase B program and the Concept 1 program.

## COMPATIBILITY ASSESSMENT VEHICLE

Figure 42 illustrates the differences between the 14 -foot Phase B and the Concept 1 compatibility assessment and mission support vehicles.

The purpose of the compatibility assessment vehicle (CAV) is the integration and verification of the station modules and software. Plans for the 14-foot CAV require flight size and configured modules to be physically mated during conduction of these tests. The 12 -foot station, because of its added height, will require either new facilities or major modification to existing facilities. The two core modules add complexity to the 12 -foot support stands, in that more capabilities are required to reconfigure for the various test conditions. One of the major tests performed in the CAV will be the demonstration of one volume of the station controlled by the control center in the opposite volume. To satisfy this requirement on the 12 -foot station requires two core modules and two control centers. The SM-3 module will be utilized to demonstrate the interfaces of the remaining modules.

## MISSION SUPPORT VEHICLES

The mission support vehicle is configured with the same modules that are programmed for the compatibility assessment vehicle, therefore, the same effects also exist on the mission support vehicle.


Figure 42. Compatibility Assessment and (3) Mission Support Vehicles

## FLIGHT MODULES CHECKOUT VEHICLE

A flight modules checkout vehicle, as illustrated in Figure 43 is provided for acceptance testing of the MSS flight modules. This vehicle is composed of groups of modules requiring acceptance level testing prior to initiating launch preparation activity preceding module launch.

In the Ground Operations Guidelines from the 14-foot Phase B Study, the following guideline was used for acceptance testing of the flight modules: "Combined tests of those modules required to accomplish the basic station functions; i.e., multiple berthing power generation, and subsystem control, will be conducted prior to launch of the initial module." To satisfy this guideline, two combinations of modules are required for the 12 -foot station. The first group of modules do not impact the flow since the test and launch order are compatible. The second group consists of the core module (V-2), launched fourth; and the second control module, launched nineth. The difference in launch order necessitates long storage and probably some subsequent delta checkout.


Figure 43. Flight Modules Checkout Vehicle

## GROUND OPERA TIONS IMPACT SUMMARY

1. Additional lengths of test stacks for compatibility assessment vehicle and mission support vehicle increases test facility and GSE costs by 50 percent.
2. Additional modules required for the 12 -foot configuration increases checkout flow time and costs by 20 percent.
3. Additional mockup cost will be incurred to demonstrate the additional module configurations and features.

[^0]:    1 Shuttle Traffic Model, North American Rockwell, Space Division, SD 70-600-23.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ An applications laboratory is defined as being oriented to a high benefits program consisting of earth observation, life science, and material science experiments.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See MSS Preliminary Design, Vol. III - Experiment Analyses, SD 71-217-3 (MSC-02471).

[^3]:    ***Includes 2-man shuttle crew.

[^4]:    (1) Internal processing assumed - reduces max rate from imaging sensors to 4 x $10^{5}$ BPS

    Supplemental stabilization of sensors required for finer pointing than available from Shuttle

[^5]:    (1) Requires additional experiment-provided stable platform to supplement Shuttle stability in inertial mode of $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{deg} / 30 \mathrm{~min}$.

    Notes:

[^6]:    (2) Power, crew and data requirements are based upon 10 orbits of observations per day; 1.8 KWH are standby power.
    (3) Stabilization requirements are for 50 minutes/orbit.

[^7]:    Notes:
    (2) Shuttle provides $\pm 2.0 \mathrm{deg}$ in Earth-referenced attitude hold with Shuttle.
     (TBD) days.

[^8]:    (1) Value indicated in parentheses is supplied from laboratory batteries

    Maximum power demand on spacecraft $=5 \mathrm{KW}$, including 2 KW continuous requirement for battery charging and support equipment

[^9]:    NOTES: (1) Assume data for 3 orbits ( 4.5 hours) for days operated on 30 -day sortie.
    (2) Guidance and Control requirement is 10 minutes for operating orbit.

[^10]:    Cor
    (3) Station operational data correlation required.

[^11]:    NOTES: (1) No shuttle light leaks during operation of T-1.1. and T. 1.5 (3) Station operational data correlation required.

[^12]:    NOTE: 351 manhours estimated for complete runs of all experiments but may accomplish major objectives

