

First Results of Fission Mass Yield Measurements with SPIDER at LANSCE

FIESTA Workshop Santa Fe, NM September 12, 2014

E





Anticipated Benefits - Applications

Improved input for calculations and simulations

²³⁹Pu

Schillebeeckx

Phys. Rev. A545





Simulation of mass yield distribution using expected SPIDER measurements

Interpretation of data collected from device tests



Anticipated Benefits – Fundamental Studies

- Comparison to sophisticated fission models
 - LANL nuclear potential-energy model (P. Moller)
 - Model dynamic evolution of fission across the potential-energy surface (A. Sierk)
 - Probe initial conditions (J. Lestone)





SPIDER





SPIDER Project Goals

- Measure fission-fragment yields as a function of (E_n, Z, A, TKE)
 - Our measurements will reach 2-5% accuracy from 0.01 eV to 20 MeV



Develop theory in order to evaluate fission yield data

- Model dynamic evolution of fission across the potential-energy surface (A. Sierk)
- Provide an evaluation of the Pu-239 fission yields
 - Blend the best of experiment and theory (J. Lestone)



Predictions for A=148 (Green) A=147 (Red) A=147 Uncertainty (Black)





- Neutron beam hits actinide target, inducing fission into two main fragments
- Mass (M) of both outgoing fission products are determined by measuring each fragments time of flight (t), energy (E), and path length (l)





High Resolution Measurement

 Using the 2E-2v method the mass of each product can be measured with improved resolution

Equation to determine mass resolution

$$\frac{\delta M}{M} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta E}{E}\right)^2 + \left(2\frac{\delta t}{t}\right)^2 + \left(2\frac{\delta l}{l}\right)^2}$$

M = mass, E = energy, t = time, I = path length

Mass Measurement Goal:

 $\frac{\delta M}{M} = 1$ AMU or A = 85 \rightarrow 155 : 1.2% to 0.65%

This translates into individual measurements resolution as:

 $\begin{array}{l} d{\sf E}/{\sf E} \to \le 0.5\%, \\ d{\sf I}/{\sf I} \to \le 0.02\%, \\ dt/t \to \le 0.7\% \text{ to } 0.3\% \\ \text{or A } 85 \to 155: 1.32\% \text{ to } 0.72\% \end{array}$



Time-of-flight and Position Measurements







- 70 cm flight path
 - Distance between conversion foils
- Micro channel plates (MCP)
 - Chevron configuration
 - 12 µm channel diameter = fast timing
- RoentDek Delay-line anode
 - (x,y) position readout
 - 1-2 mm resolution achieved with similar size and arrangement



amos

FST 1943



Time-of-flight Resolution

- Characterized with Th-229 α-source
- Five main α-lines with energies between
 4.8 and 8.4 MeV

TOF Data (black) and Simulation





Temporal resolution Δt=250ps (FWHM)



Time-of-flight Detector Efficiency





 The efficiency of the TOF detectors is about 70% for αparticles

SPIDER

- Based on previous work we expect the efficiency for fission fragments to be significantly higher
- Efficiency is not very sensitive to accelerating potential or temporal resolution

Spatial Resolution





 Applied a mask in front of carbon conversion foil

Position resolution 2 mm

- Overall path length uncertainty: <0.1 %.
- Will ultimately use position information to correct for flight path length
 - Need 8 signals per path length – requires high statistics based on relative high percentage for all signals





Energy Measurement

- Axial ionization chamber
 - Isobutane fill gas
 - ~28 sccm flow rate
 - 8 cm path length
 - ~ 5.5 cm/s electron drift
- Entrance window

- Started with 2.5 µm Mylar
- Testing 200 nm silicon nitride membranes, which has been shown to greatly reduce energy losses and straggling



Mylar window with support structure



Silicon nitride window







²⁵²Cf

Energy Resolution

Alphas



Energy Resolution 1% for alpha-particles



SPIDER Installation





<u>Details</u>

- 100 ug/cm² UF₄ on 100 ug/cm² C
- "Thick" Mylar window: 2500 ug/cm²
- Neutron time-of-flight was recorded

Product trajectory through detectors

SPIDER





Beam direction



First Results -



One-arm operation, Mylar entrance window





amos



New Entrance Window

Recently moved to using 200 nm silicon nitride membranes, which has been shown to greatly reduce energy losses and straggling



Kottler et al, Paul Scherrer Institute and ETH Zurich, Switzerland

Silicon nitride window prototype



Window energy loss and straggling TRIM calculations

Energy loss and straggling of 100 MeV light fragment (Tc-97) in different windows

2500 nm Mylar: dE = 21 MeV, straggling = 1.8 MeV (1.8%) 200 nm Si₃N₄: dE = 3.25 MeV, straggling = 320 keV (0.3%)





New Entrance Window

Raw Cf Energy Histograms







One-arm operation, silicon nitride entrance window





First Results –



One-arm operation, silicon nitride entrance window—







- Verify calibration constants
- Known dependencies in TOF measurement
 - Time
 - Position
- Verify energy correction through silicon nitride window
- Add path length calculation from position signals
 - If statistics allow



SPIDER

position over time





Arm pair prototype operation



2014-2015 Run Cycle

- Thin backing U235 at Lujan (thermal)
- Thick backing Pu239 at Lujan (thermal)
- U235 at WNR (no energy binning due to expected low statistics)



Full SPIDER Detector



- Multiple detectors increases efficiency
- Current design calls for 9 arm pairs
 - 36 timing detectors
 - 18 ionization chambers
- System Challenges
 - large high vacuum (10⁻⁷ torr) volume
 - 18 vacuum gas detector interfaces
 - flowing gas system to 18 separate chambers
- More measurements to be done
 - Lots of interesting actinides have low resolution yields measurements







Summary

- SPIDER is providing improved yield measurements and correlated details about fragment masses, charges, and energies over a wide range of incident neutron energies
- New SPIDER detector will measure high resolution fission yields as a function of:
 - Incident neutron energy

• Fragment charge

SPIDE

Fragment mass

- Fragment energy
- Reached individual goal resolution capabilities

 $\begin{array}{c} d\mathsf{E}/\mathsf{E} \rightarrow \leq 0.5\% \quad \checkmark \\ d\mathsf{I}/\mathsf{I} \rightarrow \leq 0.02\% \quad \checkmark \\ dt/t \rightarrow \leq 0.7\% \text{ to } 0.3 \% \quad \checkmark \end{array}$

- First 1E-1v measurements of ²⁵²Cf(sf) with silicon nitride window
- Will take first 2E-2v measurements fall 2014
 - Both at Lujan (thermal) and WNR

The SPIDER Collaboration



- Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) Charles Arnold, Todd Bredeweg, Tom Burr, Matt Devlin, Mac Fowler, Marian Jandel, Justin Jorgenson, Alexander Laptev, John Lestone, Paul Lisowski, Rhiannon Meharchand, Krista Meierbachtol, Peter Moller, Ron Nelson, John O'Donnell, Arnie Sierk, Fredrik Tovesson, Morgan White
- University of New Mexico (UNM)
 Adam Hecht, Rick Blakeley, Drew Mader, Lena Heffern
- Colorado School of Mines (CSM)
 Uwe Greife, Bill Moore, Dan Shields, Sergey Ilyushkin
- Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) Lucas Snyder
- Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory (LBL) Jorgen Randrup











Position measurement with a 1 cm mask



Energy measurement for 252Cf fission products

