# **P#618**

# Gene Editing and Immunotherapeutic Targeting of ADGRE2/EMR2 to Enable **Combinatorial Targeting in Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)**

### INTRODUCTION

- Targeted immunotherapy of Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) has been limited due to lack of tumor-specific antigens resulting in "on-target, off-tumor" effects that can lead to severe cytopenia
- To unlock the full potential of targeted treatments, we engineer hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) by genetically ablating target antigens from healthy, donor-derived HSPCs for hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) transplant. This allows compatible immuno-therapy to specifically kill leukemic cells bearing the AML target-antigen while sparing the antigen-null allogenic graft<sup>1-4</sup>
- Combinatorial targeting of AML antigens may be required to avoid antigen escape and address tissue heterogeneity, while multiplex genome editing of these antigens is necessary to protect the transplanted healthy graft (Figure 1)

### OBJECTIVE

- Elucidate EMR2 antigen as a prospective AML target and advance novel EMR2-targeting CAR-T therapies
- > Assess EMR2 expression in healthy heme tissues, evaluate its biological dispensability, and demonstrate its efficient multiplexing in healthy HSCs to enable combinatorial targeting of AML cells while sparing normal cells



## Genome Engineering and Characterization of Edited HSPCs



Flow cytometric analysis of EMR2 expression on healthy donor bone marrow and peripheral blood. QuantiBrite beads were used for antigen quantitation. Data shown as mean ± standard deviation. Hematopoietic stem cell (HSC), multipotent progenitor (MPP), common myeloid progenitor (CMP), granulocyte-monocyte progenitor (GMP), megakaryocytic-erythroid progenitor (MEP), common lymphoid progenitor (CLP), classical and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (cDCs and pDCs).



loss of EMR2 protein was achieved by day 6 post-electroporation (post-EP) and persisted throughout differentiation, as analyzed by inference of for homozygous LOF variants<sup>10</sup> (A, B, C, D, E, F, and G) is shown. **B**. Presence and distribution of exon 6 only and CRISPR edits (ICE) and flow cytometry, respectively. B. Two days post-EP, guide-control (Control) and EMR2-edited HSPCs were in vitro differentiated exon 6-7 containing isoforms in healthy bone marrow (BM) and HSPCs as analyzed by ddPCR (amplified region into monocytic lineage. There was no observable impact of EMR2 editing on the ability of HSPCs to differentiate into monocytic lineage, as indicated by indicated in A). C. Surface and total protein expression of EMR2 variants. Plasmid constructs with EMR2 variant the expression of myeloid surface markers (CD11b, CD14, CD15, and CD33). Similar results were seen for granulocytic lineage (data not shown). mutations were transfected into 293T cells. EMR2 (2A1) and Flag antibodies were used for surface and total protein C. Cytokine release was measured by Luminex for IL-6, IL-8, MIP-1a and TNFa. N=2 donors. Data shown as mean ± standard deviation. at day 2 (D2) and day 5 (D5). N=2 donors. Data shown as mean ± standard deviation. detection by flow cytometry and Western blotting, respectively.

### CONCLUSIONS

- EMR2 is expressed on a high percent of patient AML blasts and LSCs at similar expression intensities at both diagnosis and relapse timepoints
- Novel EMR2-directed CAR-Ts, engineered with phage display derived scFv and V<sub>H</sub> binders, mediate potent cytolytic activity against EMR2-expressing AML cells in vitro
- Surface protein expression analysis of EMR2 in healthy bone marrow HSPCs and peripheral blood lineages suggests that genetic editing may be necessary to protect healthy hematopoietic lineages from subsequent immunotherapeutic targeting

### References

1. Zhu X, et al. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2019;26(8):679-685. 2. Borot F, et al. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019;116(24):11978-11987. 3. Humbert O, et al. Sci Transl Med. 2019;11(503):eaaw3768. 4. Kim MY, et al. Cell. 2018;173(6):1439-1453.e19., 5. Lin H, et al. Genomics. 2000; 67(2):188-200. 6. Langenhan T, et al. Sci Signal. 2013; 6(276). 7. Stacey M, et al., Blood 2003;102(8):2916-24 8. I KY, et al. Front Immunol. 2017;8:373. 9. Perna F, et al. Cancer Cell 2017. 32(4): 506-519.e5 10. The Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD). 11. Boyden S, et al. NEJM 2016; (374)7: 656-663. 12. I KY, et al. Front Immunol. 2020;11:602016. Certain illustrations created with BioRender.com

Julia Etchin, Yonina Keschner, Mariana Silva, Hillary Hoyt, Hannah Mager, Amanda Halfond, Julia DiFazio, Matthew Ung, Guy Mundelboim, Ciara Tucker, Jaime Sanchez, Julian Scherer, John Lydeard, Tirtha Chakraborty

### Vor Biopharma, Cambridge, MA, USA 02140 **Results: Novel Immunotherapeutic Targeting of EMR2** Figure 3. EMR2 is Expressed on AML Blasts and Leukemia Stem Cells Figure 4. EMR2-directed CARs mediate potent EMR2-specific cytolytic activity against AML cells *in vitro* ongitudinal Analysis Table 1: Binder affinity and kinetic characterization 32 natients NAviHis-EMF ECD EC50 (nl CD33+/EMR2+ CD33+ Only EMR2+ Only ot and of and of and of and EGF1 EGF2 EGF3 EGF4 EGF5 GAIN **A.** EMR2-directed binders were identified by phage display panning against single-chain variable fragment (scFv) Dx Rel GPS 0+ 2° 0+ 2° 0+ 2° 0+ 2° 0<sup>+</sup> 2<sup>e</sup> 0<sup>+</sup> 2<sup>e</sup> 0<sup>+</sup> 2<sup>e</sup> 0<sup>+</sup> 2<sup>e</sup> and heavy chain variable region ( $V_H$ ) libraries. Binder kinetic and affinity characterization (EC<sub>50</sub> and KD) were performed by multipoint flow cytometric, ELISA and Blitz analyses. **B.** Flow cytometric analysis of EMR2-directed A. Gating schema for the flow cytometric surface expression longitudinal profiling of bone marrow binder reactivity to different EMR2 isoforms and CD97 in 293T cells. C-E. EMR2-directed CAR T cells were cosamples from AML patients (N=32). **B**. Percent positivity and number of antigens per antigen<sup>+</sup> cell cultured with CFSE labeled MOLM13 WT and EMR2KO cell lines as AML target cells at 1:1 ratio for 24 and 48 blasts and LSCs. QuantiBrite beads were used for antigen quantitation. Colored dots trace expression hours (n=3). C-D. Percentage of viable target cells was determined by the absence of Annexin V and fixable data for select patients to demonstrate expression pattern for the four antigens. Data shown as box and

whiskers. C. CD33 and EMR2 co-expression analysis for samples from B. Data shown as median : standard error of mean. Leukemic stem cells (LSCs), Diagnosis (Dx), Relapse (Rel).

Acknowledgments We thank Ruijia Wang, Kerry Chios, Michelle Lin, Gabriella Angelini, Mugdha Nikam, Sushma Krishnamurthy. We would like to acknowledge Abound Bio for work performed under a collaboration agreement.



► Highly efficient multiplex base editing of EMR2 supports the feasibility of multi-target edited HSC transplant enabling selective eradication of AML blast and leukemic stem cells by combinatorial immunotherapy while sparing healthy grafts

Evaluation of EMR2-edited HSPCs on long-term engraftment, multilineage differentiation, and persistence of editing *in vivo* is ongoing Further validation studies of the EMR2 human genetics data are ongoing





