otivation Call-string

-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Interprocedural Analysis: Sharir-Pnueli's Call-Strings Approach

Deepak D'Souza

Department of Computer Science and Automation Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.

12 September 2022

Motivation 0000000000	Call-strings method	Correctness 00000000	Bounded call-string method	Approximate call-string method
Outline				



- **2** Call-strings method
- **3** Correctness
- Bounded call-string method
- **5** Approximate call-string method

all-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Handling programs with procedure calls

How would we extend an abstract interpretation to handle programs with procedures?

main(){ f(){ g(){
 x := 0; x := x+1; f();
 f(); return; return;
 g(); }
 print x;
}

Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Handling programs with procedure calls

How would we extend an abstract interpretation to handle programs with procedures?

```
main(){ f(){ g(){
  x := 0; x := x+1; f();
  f(); return; return;
  g(); } } ; } ;
```

Question: what is the collecting state before the print x statement in main?

Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Handling programs with procedure calls

How would we extend an abstract interpretation to handle programs with procedures?

```
main(){ f(){ g(){
    x := 0; x := x+1; f();
    f(); return; return;
    g(); } } ; } ;
```

Question: what is the collecting state before the print x statement in main? Answer:  $x \mapsto 2$ .

Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method

- Add extra edges
  - call edges: from call site (call p) to start of procedure (p)
  - ret edges: from return statement (in p) to point after call sites ("ret sites") (call p).



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

- Assume only global variables.
- Transfer functions for call/return edges?



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

- Assume only global variables.
- Transfer functions for call/return edges? Identity function



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

- Assume only global variables.
- Transfer functions for call/return edges? Identity function
- Now compute JOP in this extended control-flow graph.



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Problem with JOP in this graph

Ex. 1. Actual collecting state at C?



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Problem with JOP in this graph

Ex. 1. Actual collecting state at C?  $\{x \mapsto 2\}$ .



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Problem with JOP in this graph

Ex. 1. Actual collecting state at C?  $\{x \mapsto 2\}$ . Ex. 2. JOP at C using collecting analysis?



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Problem with JOP in this graph

Ex. 1. Actual collecting state at C?  $\{x \mapsto 2\}$ . Ex. 2. JOP at C using collecting analysis?  $\{x \mapsto 1, x \mapsto 2, x \mapsto$  $3, \ldots\}$ .



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Problem with JOP in this graph

Ex. 1. Actual collecting state at C?  $\{x \mapsto 2\}$ . Ex. 2. JOP at C using collecting analysis?  $\{x \mapsto 1, x \mapsto 2, x \mapsto$  $3, \ldots\}$ .

- JOP is sound but very imprecise.
- Reason: Some paths don't correspond to executions of the program: Eg. ABDFGILC.



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Problem with JOP in this graph

Ex. 1. Actual collecting state at C?  $\{x \mapsto 2\}$ . Ex. 2. JOP at C using collecting analysis?  $\{x \mapsto 1, x \mapsto 2, x \mapsto$  $3, \ldots\}$ .

- JOP is sound but very imprecise.
- Reason: Some paths don't correspond to executions of the program: Eg. ABDFGILC.



What we want is Join over "Interprocedurally-Valid" Paths (JVP).

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Interprocedurally valid paths and their call-strings

- Informally a path ρ in the extended CFG G' is inter-procedurally valid if every return edge in ρ "corresponds" to the most recent "pending" call edge.
- For example, in the example program the ret edge *E* corresponds to the call edge *D*.
- The call-string of a valid path  $\rho$  is a subsequence of call edges which have not been "returned" as yet in  $\rho$ .
- For example, cs(ABDFGEKJHF) is "KH".

MotivationCall-strings methodCorrectness0000000000000000000000000

Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Interprocedurally valid paths and their call-strings

• A path  $\rho = ABDFGEKJHF$  in  $IVP_{G'}$  for example program:



- Associated call-string  $cs(\rho)$  is KH.
- For  $\rho = ABDFGEK \ cs(\rho) = K$ .
- For  $\rho = ABDFGE \ cs(\rho) = \epsilon$ .

Motivation Call-strings method 0000000000

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Interprocedurally valid paths and their call-strings

More formally: Let  $\rho$  be a path in G'. We define when  $\rho$  is interprocedurally valid (and we say  $\rho \in IVP(G')$ ) and what is its call-string  $cs(\rho)$ , by induction on the length of  $\rho$ .

- If  $\rho = \epsilon$  then  $\rho \in IVP(G')$ . In this case  $cs(\rho) = \epsilon$ .
- If  $\rho = \rho' \cdot N$  then  $\rho \in IVP(G')$  iff  $\rho' \in IVP(G')$  with  $cs(\rho') = \gamma$  say, and one of the following holds:
  - N is neither a call nor a ret edge. In this case  $cs(\rho) = \gamma$ .
  - 2 N is a call edge. In this case  $cs(\rho) = \gamma \cdot N$ .
  - **3** N is ret edge, and  $\gamma$  is of the form  $\gamma' \cdot C$ , and N corresponds to the call edge C. In this case  $cs(\rho) = \gamma'$ .
- We denote the set of (potential) call-strings in G' by  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $\Gamma = \mathcal{C}^*$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of call edges in G'.

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Join over interprocedurally-valid paths (JVP)

- Let P be a given program, with extended CFG G'.
- Let  $path_{I,N}(G')$  be the set of paths from the initial point I to point N in G'.
- Let  $\mathcal{A} = ((D, \leq), f_{MN}, d_0)$  be an abstract interpretation for P.
- Then we define the join over all interprocedurally valid paths (JVP) at point N in G' to be:

$$\bigsqcup_{\rho \in path_{I,N}(G') \cap IVP(G')} f_{\rho}(d_0).$$

Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Sharir and Pnueli's approaches to interprocedural analysis





Micha Sharir and Amir Pnueli: Two approaches to interprocedural data flow analysis, in *Program Flow Analysis: Theory and Applications* (Eds. Muchnick and Jones) (1981).

Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# One approach to obtain JVP: Call-Strings

- Find JOP over same graph, but modify the abs int.
- Modify transfer functions for call/ret edges to detect and invalidate invalid edges.
- Augment underlying data values with some information for this.
- Natural thing to try: "call-strings".



Call-strings method •0000000 Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# **Overall plan**

- Define an abs int A' which extends given abs int A with call-string data.
- Show that JOP of  $\mathcal{A}'$  on  $\mathcal{G}'$  coincides with JVP of  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{G}'$ .
- Use Kildall (or any other technique) to compute LFP of A' on G'. This value over-approximates JVP of A on G'.



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Call-string abs int $\mathcal{A}'$ : Lattice $(D', \leq')$

• Elements of D' are maps  $\xi: \Gamma \to D$ 

ε.	ε	<i>c</i> 1	c1c2	c1c2c2	
ς.	d <sub>0</sub>	$d_1$	<i>d</i> <sub>2</sub>	d3	

• Ordering on D':  $\leq'$  is the pointwise extension of  $\leq$  in D. That is

$$\xi_1 \leq' \xi_2$$
 iff for each  $\gamma \in \mathsf{\Gamma}, \xi_1(\gamma) \leq \xi_2(\gamma).$ 



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Call-string abs int $\mathcal{A}'$ : Lattice $(D', \leq')$

• Induced join is:



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Call-string abs int $\mathcal{A}'$ : Lattice $(D', \leq')$

• Induced join is:



• Check that  $(D', \leq')$  is also a complete lattice.

Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Meaning of abstract values in $\mathcal{A}'$

- A call-string table  $\xi$  at program point N represents the fact that, for each call-string  $\gamma$ , and each concrete state s in  $\gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\xi(d))$ , there may be an execution following a path with call-string  $\gamma$ , leading to s at N.
- The transfer functions of  $\mathcal{A}'$  should keep this meaning in mind.



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Call-string abs int $\mathcal{A}'$ : Initial value $\xi_0$

• Initial value  $\xi_0$  is given by

$$\xi_0(\gamma) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } \gamma = \epsilon \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

c	ε	<i>c</i> 1	c1 c2	$c_1 c_2 c_2$	
ς0·	<i>d</i> 0	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	

Motivation Call

Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Call-string abs int $\mathcal{A}'$ : transfer functions

• Transfer functions for non-call/ret edge N:

$$f_{MN}'(\xi)=f_{MN}\circ\xi.$$

• Transfer functions for call edge N:

$$f'_{MN}(\xi) = \lambda \gamma. \begin{cases} \xi(\gamma') & \text{if } \gamma = \gamma' \cdot N \\ \bot & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Transfer functions for ret edge *N* whose corresponding call edge is *C*:

$$f'_{MN}(\xi) = \lambda \gamma . \xi(\gamma \cdot C)$$

• Transfer functions  $f'_{MN}$  is monotonic (distributive) if each  $f_{MN}$  is monotonic (distributive).

Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Transfer functions $f'_{MN}$ for example program



Motivation 0000000000	Call-strings method 0000000●	Correctness 00000000	Bounded call-string method	Approximate call-string method
_	4			

# Exercise 1

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the standard collecting state analysis. For brevity, represent a set of concrete states as  $\{0, 1\}$  (meaning the 2 concrete states  $x \mapsto 0$  and  $x \mapsto 1$ ). Assume an initial value  $d_0 = \{0\}$ .

Show the call-string tagged abstract states (in the lattice  $\mathcal{A}'$ ) along the paths

- ABDFGEKJHFGIL (interprocedurally valid)
- ABDFGIL (interprocedurally invalid).



 Motivation
 Call-strings method
 Correctness
 Bounded call-string method
 Approximate call-string method

 000000000
 00000000
 00000000
 0000000
 0000000
 0000000

# **Correctness claim**

Assumption on  $\mathcal{A}$ : Each transfer function satisfies  $f_{MN}(\perp) = \perp$ .

#### Claim

Let N be a point in G'. Then

$$JVP_{\mathcal{A}}(N) = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} JOP_{\mathcal{A}'}(N)(\gamma).$$

Proof: Use following lemmas to prove that LHS dominates RHS and vice-versa.



IVP Paths reaching N

Paths reaching N

Call-strings method 00000000 Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Correctness claim: Lemma 1

#### Lemma 1

Let  $\rho$  be a path in  $IVP_{G'}$ . Then

$$f'_{\rho}(\xi_0) = \lambda \gamma. \begin{cases} f_{\rho}(d_0) & \text{if } \gamma = cs(\rho) \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

ε	<i>c</i> 1	$cs(\rho)$	c1c2c2	
$\perp$	$\perp$	d	$\perp$	

Proof: by induction on the length of  $\rho$ .

Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Correctness claim: Lemma 2

Lemma 2

Let  $\rho$  be a path not in  $IVP_{G'}$ . Then

$$f'_{\rho}(\xi_0) = \lambda \gamma. \bot.$$

ε	c1	c2	c1c2c2	
$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	$\perp$	

Proof:

- $\rho$  must have an invalid prefix.
- Consider smallest such prefix α · N. Then it must be that α is valid and N is a return edge not corresponding to cs(α).
- Using previous lemma it follows that  $f'_{\alpha \cdot N}(\xi_0) = \lambda \gamma \perp$ .
- But then all extensions of  $\alpha$  along  $\rho$  must also have transfer function  $\lambda \gamma . \bot$ .

Motivation 0000000000	Call-strings method	Correctness 00000000	Bounded call-string method	Approximate call-string method
Exercise	e 2			



Motivation 0000000000	Call-strings method	Correctness 00000000	Bounded call-string method	Approximate call-string method
Exercise	- <b>2</b>			



Motivation 0000000000	Call-strings method	Correctness 00000000	Bounded call-string method	Approximate call-string method
Exercise	- 2			



Motivation 0000000000	Call-strings method	Correctness 00000000	Bounded call-string method	Approximate call-string method
Exercise	- 2			



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Computing JOP for abs int $\mathcal{A}'$

• Problem is that D' is infinite in general (even if D were finite). So we cannot use Kildall's algo to compute an over-approximation of JOP (it may not terminate when the program has recursive procedures).

Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Available expressions

- An expression (like "a \* b") is available along an execution if there is a point where the expression is evaluated and thereafter none of the constituent variables (like a and b) are written to.
- An expression is available at a point N in a program, if along every execution reaching N, the expression is available.
- Is *a* \* *b* available at program point *N*?



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Available expressions

- An expression (like "a \* b") is available along an execution if there is a point where the expression is evaluated and thereafter none of the constituent variables (like a and b) are written to.
- An expression is available at a point N in a program, if along every execution reaching N, the expression is available.
- Is a \* b available at program point N? Yes.



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Available expressions analysis

0 (not available)
1 (available)
⊥

Lattice for Av-Exp analysis for a \* b.

- "0" concretizes to the set *States* × {*A*, *NA*}; while "1" concretizes to *States* × {*A*}. "⊥" concretizes to Ø.
- JOP of analysis says *a* \* *b* is not available at program point *N*.



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Available expressions analysis

0 (not available)
1 (available)
⊥

Lattice for Av-Exp analysis for a \* b.

- "0" concretizes to the set *States* × {*A*, *NA*}; while "1" concretizes to *States* × {*A*}. "⊥" concretizes to Ø.
- JOP of analysis says *a* \* *b* is not available at program point *N*.
- JVP says it is available.



 Motivation
 Call-strings method
 Correctness
 Bounded call-string method
 Approximate call-string method

 000000000
 00000000
 00000000
 0000000
 0000000
 0000000

# Computing JOP for abs int $\mathcal{A}'$

- We give two methods to bound the number of call-strings we need to consider, when underlying lattice (*D*, ≤) is finite.
  - Give a bound on largest call-string needed.
  - Use "approximate" call-strings.

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Bounded call-string method for finite underlying lattice D

- $\bullet$  Possible to bound length of call-strings  $\Gamma$  we need to consider.
- For a number *I*, we denote the set of call-strings (for the given program *P*) of length at most *I*, by  $\Gamma_I$ .
- Define a new analysis  $\mathcal{A}''$  (*M*-bounded call-string analysis) in which call-string tables have entries only for  $\Gamma_M$  for a certain constant *M*, and transfer functions ignore entries for call-strings of length more than *M*.
- We will show that JOP(G', A'') = JOP(G', A').



 $\operatorname{JOP}(G',\mathcal{A}'') \quad \operatorname{JOP}(G',\mathcal{A}') \quad \operatorname{JVP}(G',\mathcal{A})$ 

Approximate call-string method 00000

# LFP of $\mathcal{A}''$ is more precise than LFP of $\mathcal{A}'$

- Consider any fixpoint V' (a vector of tables) of  $\mathcal{A}'$ .
- Truncate each entry of V' to (call-strings of) length M, to get V''.
- Clearly V' dominates V''.
- Further, observe that V'' is a post-fixpoint of the transfer functions for  $\mathcal{A}''$ .
- By Knaster-Tarski characterisation of LFP, we know that V'' dominates LFP(A'').



Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method 0000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Sufficiency (or safety) of bound

Let k be the number of call sites in P.

#### Claim

For any path p in  $IVP(r_1, N)$  with a prefix q such that  $|cs(q)| > k|D|^2 = M$  there is a path p' in  $IVP(r_1, N)$  with  $|cs(q')| \le M$  for each prefix q' of p', and  $f_p(d_0) = f_{p'}(d_0)$ .

# Paths with bounded call-strings

Motivation 0000000000	Call-strings method	Correctness 00000000	Bounded call-string method 000●0000	Approximate call-string method

# Proving claim

#### Claim

For any path p in  $IVP(r_1, N)$  such that for some prefix q of p,  $|cs(q)| > M = k|D|^2$ , there is a path p' in  $IVP_{\Gamma_M}(r_1, N)$  with  $f_{p'}(d_0) = f_p(d_0)$ .

• Sufficient to prove:

#### Subclaim

For any path p in  $IVP(r_1, N)$  with a prefix q such that |cs(q)| > M, we can produce a smaller path p' in  $IVP(r_1, N)$  with  $f_{p'}(d_0) = f_p(d_0)$ .

• ...since if  $|p| \leq M$  then  $p \in IVP_{\Gamma_M}$ .

Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Proving subclaim: Path decomposition

A path  $\rho$  in  $IVP(r_1, n)$  can be decomposed as

 $\rho_1 \| (c_1, r_{\rho_2}) \| \rho_2 \| (c_2, r_{\rho_3}) \| \sigma_3 \| \cdots \| (c_{j-1}, r_{\rho_j}) \| \rho_j.$ 

where each  $\rho_i$  (i < j) is a valid and complete path from  $r_{p_i}$  to  $c_i$ , and  $\rho_j$  is a valid and complete path from  $r_{p_j}$  to n. Thus  $c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1}$  are the unfinished calls at the end of  $\rho$ .





- Let  $p_0$  be the first prefix of p where  $|cs(p_0)| > M$ .
- Let decomposition of  $p_0$  be

$$\rho_1 \| (c_1, r_{\rho_2}) \| \rho_2 \| (c_2, r_{\rho_3}) \| \sigma_3 \| \cdots \| (c_{j-1}, r_{\rho_j}) \| \rho_j.$$

- Tag each unfinished-call c in  $p_0$  by  $(c, f_{q \cdot c}(d_0), f_{q \cdot cq'e}(d_0))$ where e is corresponding return of c in p.
- If no return for c in p tag with  $(c, f_{q \cdot c}(d_0), \bot)$ .
- Number of distinct such tags is  $k \cdot |D|^2$ .
- So there are two calls qc and qcq'c with same tag values.

Notivation C

all-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Proving subclaim – tag values are $\perp$



all-strings method 0000000 Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method 00000

# Proving subclaim – tag values are not $\perp$



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method •0000

# Approximate (suffix) call-string method

Idea:

- Consider only call-strings of up to length  $\leq I$ .
- For *l* = 2, call strings can be of the form "*c*<sub>1</sub>" or "*c*<sub>1</sub>*c*<sub>2</sub>" etc. So each table ξ is now a finite table.
- Transfer functions for non-call/ret edges remain same.
- Transfer functions for call edge C: Shift γ entry to γ · C if |γ · C| ≤ I; else shift it to γ' · C where γ is of the form A · γ', for some call A.
- Transfer functions for ret edge N:
  - If  $\gamma = \gamma' \cdot C$  and N corresponds to call edge C, then shift  $\gamma' \cdot C$  entry to  $A \cdot \gamma'$  which are "feasible" at the return site;

Call-strings method

Correctness 00000000 Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method 00000

# From Sharir-Pnueli 1981, p136 of typed version

string. As long as the length of a call string is less than j, update it as in Section 4. However, if q is a call string of length j, then, when appending to it a call edge, discard the first component of q and add the new call block to its end. When appending a return edge, check if it matches the last call in q and, if it does, delete this call from q and add to its start all possible call blocks which call the procedure containing the first call in q. This approximation may be termed a <u>call-string suffix approximation</u>. Correctness

Approximate call-string method 00000

# **Exercise:** approximate call-strings



tivation Call-strings method Correctness Bounded call-string m

Approximate call-string method 00000

# **Exercise:** approximate call-strings



tivation Call-strings method Correctness Bounded call-string me

Approximate call-string method 00000

# **Exercise:** approximate call-strings



tivation Call-strings method Correctness Bounded call-string me

Approximate call-string method 00000

# **Exercise:** approximate call-strings



tivation Call-strings method Correctness Bounded call-string m

Approximate call-string method 00000

# **Exercise:** approximate call-strings



Call-strings metho 00000000 Correctness

Bounded call-string method 00000000

Approximate call-string method

# Example



Call-strings method

Correctness

Bounded call-string method

Approximate call-string method

# Transfer functions $f'_{MN}$ for Example 2

