



Design and Performance of Engineered Barrier Systems for the Finnish Deep Geological Repository

7. Essener Fachgespräch Endlagerbergbau 1.3.2018, DMT, Essen

Dr.-Ing. Edgar Bohner VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd



Outline

Nuclear energy in Finland

Nuclear waste management

Source KBS-3H Heat rock Backet Backet Center

Design and manufacturing of engineered barriers



In-situ demonstrations of EBS concepts and monitoring of EBS performance



Conclusions



Nuclear Energy in Finland



Nuclear power plants in Finland



Four operational reactors (2 BWRs, 2 VVERs)

- Commissioned 1977-80
- Producing 70 TWh (2013)
- Operating at 92% energy capacity factor (world-leader efficiency)

Fifth reactor Olkiluoto 3 (1600 MW, EPR) under construction (2005-20xx)

Sixth reactor Hanhikivi 1 entering construction stage (CLA submitted June 2015)

Finnish nuclear power and waste organisation





Finland's nuclear timeline



6



太



Nuclear Waste Management Finnish Deep Geological Repository for High Level Waste



Source: Tiina Jalonen, Posiva Oy

Finland: Site selection research programme 1983 - 2000

Site Identification 1983 - 1985



More than 100 candidate sites were identified



Source: Tiina Jalonen, Posiva Oy



Site characterization for high level waste repository

- Olkiluoto Site Description works in form of geological and hydrogeochemical 3D models
- Geological modelling describes the long-lasted evolution of the crystalline bedrock
- Hydrogeochemistry monitoring revealed any changes caused by the construction of the ONKALO tunnels
- Simulation of coupled fluid flow, heat transfer, solute transport in fractured, heterogeneous media supports prediction of future behaviour





Long term disposal of highlevel radioactive waste

- International consensus that deep geological disposal on land is most appropriate way for isolating high level waste from entering the biosphere
- Barriers against movement of radionuclides are required
- Barriers include
 - waste form itself
 - corrosion resistant containers for encapsulation of waste (1)
 - special radionuclide- and groundwaterretarding materials around containers, i.e. buffer, backfill, plugs and seals (2),(3)
 - geological formation itself (4)





.



<<<>>>>

Design and Manufacturing of Engineered Barriers

Å.



Engineered barrier system (EBS) – KBS-3 concept



- Primary safety function: complete containment
- Secondary safety function: retardation

Source: SKB

Multi-barrier requirements: thermal-hydraulic-mechanical-(bio)chemical



Repository for spent nuclear fuel in Olkiluoto





Safety functions assigned to EBS in Posiva's repository

Barrier	Safety functions	
Canister	Ensure a prolonged period of containment of the spent fuel. This safety function rests	
	first and foremost on the mechanical strength of the canister's cast iron insert and the	
	corrosion resistance of the copper surrounding it.	
Buffer	Contribute to mechanical, geochemical and hydrogeological conditions that are	
	predictable and favorable to the canister.	
	Protect canisters from external processes that could compromise the safety function of	
	complete containment of the spent nuclear fuel and associated radionuclides.	
	Limit and retard radionuclide releases in the event of canister failure.	
Deposition	Contribute to favorable and predictable mechanical, geochemical and hydrogeological	
tunnel	conditions for the buffer and canisters.	
backfill	Limit and retard radionuclide releases in the possible event of canister failure.	
	Contribute to the mechanical stability of the rock adjacent to the deposition tunnels.	



EBS – basic requirements

- Metal canister/container
 - complete waste isolation
 - high corrosion resistance
- Clay/bentonite buffer, backfill
 - Iow permeability and hydraulic conductivity
 - self-sealing ability
 - maintaining thickness
 - physical and chemical longterm stability
 - minimise microbial activity
 - colloid filter
- Concrete plugs and seals
 - Iow permeability and diffusivity
- chemical conditioning (low pH)







Disposal canister

- Oxygen free copper overpack for corrosion resistance
 - Thickness 50 mm
 - Welding with Friction Stir Welding (FSW)
- Nodular cast iron insert for mechanical strenght
 - Three types
- Design criteria to be set to ensure the integrity of the canister with NDE
 - all the components, including copper overpack, lid and welds
 - NDE testing based on visual, Eddy current, ultrasonic, radiographic methods







Disposal canister

- Mechanical behaviour of the copper disposal canister under complex stress state caused by
 - internal heating due to residual activity of fuel
 - external bentonite buffer
- Experimental testing of copper canister and characterization of damage modes.
- Modelling of stresses and strains during disposal
- Life time estimation of the canister and its welds





Buffer design (Posiva's design 2012)





Buffer design (Posiva's design 2012)

- In the reference design
 - the target density of the saturated buffer is 2000 kg/m³
 - the allowable tolerance is ± 50 kg/m³
- This is achieved using (in installation phase)
 - ring shaped blocks with a nominal bulk density of 2050 kg/m³
 - disk blocks with a nominal bulk density of 1990 kg/m³
 - pellet filling with a loose density of 1075 kg/m³
 - the water content of 17% for all the buffer components







Buffer component manufacturing

- Manufacturing technologies for bentonite blocks, rings and pellets
 - uniaxial compression
 - isostatic compression
 - roller compaction and extrusion of pellets
- Optimal component properties are achieved at varying material types, water contents, achievable densities and strengths to suit installation and operation





Buffer and pellet production process and quality control





Moisture protection systems and coatings for quality management during emplacement

- Protection of bentonite clay against water leakages and air humidity during installation phase
- Deposition hole liners and temporary thin-film coatings of bentonite components
- Bottom plate of buffer tower with integrated moisture protection liner with support rings, dewatering and alarm system.
- Prototype solutions have been made and demonstrated at full-scale





Buffer emplacement

- Automated and remote emplacement with a robot
- Equipment developed within the EURATOM research project
 LUCOEX – Large Underground
 Concept Experiments
 (Grant agreement 269905; URL: www.lucoex.eu)





Backfill design – requirement hierarchy

Reference: Posiva-SKB Report 01 (2017)

Requirement level	Example for backfill
Safety function	Limit advective mass transfer
Performance target	Hydraulic conductivity <1x10 ⁻¹⁰ m/s and swelling pressure > 0.1 MPa
Technical design requirement	Threshold dry density where the backfill material fulfills the performance target in certain site specific conditions.
Design specification	Specifications for the backfill design in order to reach the threshold dry density in the backfilled tunnel. For example, minimum dry density for the backfill blocks.



Backfill design and production

- Backfill concept is based on "block concept" with precompacted backfill blocks and bentonite pellets (Reference POSIVA 2012-18)
- Backfill blocks provide high average dry density for the backfill
- Automated and remote emplacement with a robot
- Full-scale test in 2018 in ONKALO



Source: Posiva Oy



Backfill production process and quality control

1. EXCAVATION, DELIVERY & STORAGE OF RAW MATERIALS





3. INSTALLATION OF BACKFILL COMPONENTS





TRANSPORT TO REPOSITORY LEVEL WITH A TRUCK / TANK TRUCK

TRANSPORT TO INSTALLATION





INTERMEDIATE

STORAGE





QUALITY CONTROL & ACCEPTANCE / RE-EMPLACEMENT



Concrete plugs and seals

Plug

- assures hydraulic isolation for operation phase (100 years)
- keeps backfill in-place
- withstands swelling and hydrostatic pressure

Concrete

- low heat, minimal shrinkage, highly flowable (like self-compacting concrete)
- local materials (including long-term safety, not jeopardizing site)
- target pH leachate < 11 (Ca:Si ratios)</p>
- high durability in saline groundwater
- Rock
 - no continuous or water conducting fractures
 - minimal EDZ



Posiva's Wedge Plug design (left) & water pressure simulations in FEM modeling (right)



Concrete production and quality control









<u>Å</u>.

In-situ Demonstrations of EBS concepts and Monitoring of EBS performance



In-situ demonstrations of EBS concepts

- Demonstration tests in nuclear repository conditions are performed from small to full-scale
- The objective is
 - to obtain information about early phase processes in bentonite
 - to compare construction to design
 - to instrument experiments for data collection to be used in analysis and model development
 - to offer suitability tests for sensors, monitoring systems and other technical equipment
- In-situ tests provide information on the real-time interaction between buffer and backfill and other EBS components
- The outcomes of demonstration tests provide feedback to the design, safety requirements and operation of the repository



In-situ demonstrations of EBS concepts





Source: DOPAS (© Posiva)

POPLU project – tunnel end plug in ONKALO

- Posiva Oy together with VTT demonstrated the first full-scale construction and performance of EBS, with a deposition tunnel end plug (POPLU)
- The plug demonstration needed to develop
 - specialty concrete materials
 - instrumentation and performance monitoring techniques



Background: EU-DOPAS Project Facts

- Consortium of 14 Partners from 8 European countries, funded by EU FP7 Collaboration Program, Posiva Oy coordinator
- Duration: 4 years (September 2012 August 2016)
- Total budget 15.8 M€, with EU contribution 8.7 M€
- Five sets of full-scale experiments, implemented in both under- or above-ground repository conditions
- See details at <u>www.posiva.fi/en/dopas</u>







34

© ANDRA



POPLU monitoring system

- A monitoring system for a full-scale demonstration based on 131 sensors was installed to the deposition tunnel end plug within the POPLU project
- A pressurization system allows for applying a hydrostatic water pressure up to 10 MPa (100 bar) in a filter layer behind the plug

(pressure, displacement)

- A leakage measurement system quantifies any seepage at the plug and in the nearby surrounding at the front face at the plug
- Sensors inside and around the concrete plug were selected to measure continuously during
 - concrete casting and grouting
 - hydration process
 - pressurization phase



(temperature, RH, pressure) (displacements, strain, pressure, RH, temperature)



Source: DOPAS (© Posiva) 35



POPLU Sensor installation



Three cable flanges, which carry in total 51 sensor wires, photographed from inside (left) and outside (right) plug section 2

PVDF and stainless steel tubes, directing the pressure sensor cables to the cable flanges towards the filter layer (right side) and plug section 2 (left side)



POPLU Leakage measurement system



Leakage measurement system at front face of plug during pressurization test

Schematic illustration of the design of the leakage measurement system. © VTT ³⁷



POPLU instrumentation performance

Example: Total pressure in plug area during initial phase of pressurization



date (dd/mm/yy)

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Atomic Energy Community's (Euratom) Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013, under Grant Agreement No. 323273 for the DOPAS project.



Posiva's new demonstration FISST

- Full Scale In-Situ System Test will be implemented in ONKALO[™] demonstration area -420m below surface
- Part of the stepwise propagation from component specific tests towards the joint operation demo
- Design, installation and early evolution of EBS system in crystalline host rock:
 - 2 deposition holes
 - 2 copper-cast iron canisters (with heaters)
 - buffer bentonite in deposition hole
 - 50 meter of deposition tunnel backfill
 - deposition tunnel plug
- Installation starts 2018



Source: Posiva Oy





Conclusions



R&D&I as joint effort

- Examples of recent VTT research financed by the EURATOM programme
 - MIND: Microbes In Nuclear waste Disposal (2015-2019) <u>http://www.mind15.eu/</u>
 - CEBAMA Cement-based materials, properties, evolution, barrier functions (2015-2019), <u>http://www.cebama.eu/</u>
 - BEACON Bentonite Mechanical Evolution (2017-2021), <u>http://www.beacon-h2020.eu/</u>
 - MODERN2020 Development and Demonstration of monitoring strategies and technologies for geological disposal (2015-2019), <u>http://www.modern2020.eu/</u>
 - CAST: Carbon-14 Source Term (2013-2018), <u>https://www.projectcast.eu/</u>
 - DOPAS Full-scale demonstration of plugs and seals (2012-2016), <u>http://www.posiva.fi/en/dopas</u>



Conclusions

- The Finnish final disposal of nuclear spent fuel is based on a system of multi-barriers, both natural and engineered
- Design, development, manufacturing and monitoring of the barriers is a successful approach which is based on scientific research, decision making, regulatory and implementer needs
- Technologies for a successful disposal exist and can often be transferred from other industries, like mining and construction. However, they need to be adapted and modified due to the long time scale and the high safety requirements
- Nuclear waste management in Finland is built on trust and transparency, which is a continuous process of communication between all stakeholders

Thank You!

500

Contacts: Edgar Bohner Email: edgar.bohner@vtt.fi Cell: +358 40 196 9081 www.vtt.fi 次.